Supporting Information: Multiple Binding Poses in the Hydrophobic Cavity of Bee Odorant Binding Protein AmelOBP14

Maria Pechlaner and Chris Oostenbrink*

Institute of Molecular Modeling and Simulation, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna Muthgasse 18, 1190 Vienna, Austria

*E-mail: chris.oostenbrink@boku.ac.at

Figure S1: Backbone atom positional root-mean-square deviations.

Figure S2: Root-mean-square-fluctuations of the amide nitrogens.

Figure S3: Timeseries of total number of distinct protein conformations for single simulations.

Figure S4: Additional views of the three most populated ligand cluster structures.

Figure S5: Cumulative and sequential time series of the decoupling free energy $\Delta G_{EOL \to DUM}$. Figure S6: Plots of $\langle \frac{\partial H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \rangle_{\lambda}$ vs. λ for thermodynamic integration.

Figure S7: RMSD of the ligand in "TI"-simulations with respect to the "RE"-pose in non-replica exchange simulations.

Figure S8: Bonded and non-bonded GROMOS 54A8 force-field parameters for eugenol.

Table S1: Binding free energies from thermodynamic integration of non-replica exchange simulations.



Figure S1: Backbone RMSDs.



Figure S2: RMSF of backbone nitrogens.



Figure S3: Timeseries of total number of distinct protein conformations for single simulations.



Figure S4: Top: Superposition of central member structures of clusters 0-2 (blue, red, green) from Figure 8 in the main article (clustering criterion ligand heavy atom rmsd < 0.2 nm). Bottom: Additional close-up views of EOL in the same structures (here the backbone is colored from blue to red as in Figure 1 of the main article). Amino acid residues that form part of the cavity wall in the crystal structures and those forming hydrogen bonds to the crystal water are shown as sticks.



Figure S5: Cumulative (blue) and sequential (gray) time series of the decoupling free energy $\Delta G_{EOL \to DUM}$ in replica exchange simulations of the free (top) and the protein-bound ligand (four simulations below).



Figure S6: Final plots of $\langle \frac{\partial H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \rangle_{\lambda}$ vs. λ for thermodynamic integration after 20 ns of simulations with (left) and without (right) Hamiltonian replica exchange. Simulations 1 and 2 are identical except for the random seed, "nw" indicates simulations where cavity water was removed. Seemingly in contrast with the values in Table 2 (main article), in the left graph the differences between RE1 and RE-nw1/RE-nw2 seem larger than between RE1 and RE2. However, the striking difference observed between $\lambda=0$ and 0.1 is compensated by the differences at higher λ . In addition to the simulations at equally spaced λ values (in steps of 0.1), we inserted more simulations at the apparent extrema close to $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=0.8$.

	$\Delta G_{EOL \to DUM}$	$\Delta G_{restraint}$	ΔG_{bind}
TI1	91.2 ± 2.7	-13.4	-25.5
TI2	95.8 ± 2.4	-13.4	-30.1
TI-nw1	99.3 ± 2.0	-13.4	-33.6
TI-nw2	89.8 ± 2.8	-13.4	-24.1

Table S1: Binding free energies from thermodynamic integration of non-replica exchange simulations.



Figure S7: RMSD of the ligand with respect to the "RE"-pose (the dominant alternative ligand pose found in our RE simulations, see Figure 9B, cluster 2) in four sets of simulations performed without replica exchange between the individual simulations at different λ values. Data for additional λ points which were recorded around the extrema close to $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=0.8$ are not shown.

Molecular building block for Eugenol (EOL)



Figure S8: Eugenol bonded and non-bonded parameters for the GROMOS 54A8 set.

MTBUILDBLSOLUTE # building block (residue, nucleotide, etc.) # RNME EOL # number of atoms, number of preceding exclusions # NMAT,NLIN												
# pred	v redin	g exc]	lusion	8								
#ATOM	Journ	6 oro-		5		MAE	MSAE					
# ator	ng						попы					
		TACM	млаа	CONT	2014	N7 A 17	MOAT					
#AIUM	ANM	TACM	MASS	CGMIC	JG™	MAL	MSAL					
1	C1	12	12	-0.14000	0	10	2	3	4	5	6	8
							11	12	13	14		
2	H1	20	1	0.14000	1	6	3	4	5	11	13	14
3	C2	12	12	-0.14000	0	8	4	5	6	8	9	11
	13 14											
4	H2	20	1	0.14000	1	4	5	6	8	13		
5	C3	12	12	0.20300	0	7	6	7	8	9	11	12
							13					

	6	03	3	16	-0.61100	0	4	7	8	9	11		
	7	HЗ	21	1	0.40800	1	1	9					
	8	C4	12	12	0.16200	0	6	9	10	11	12	13	14
	9	04	4	16	-0.32400	0	4	10	11	12	13		
	10	CA4	16	5	0.16200	1	0						
	11	C5	12	12	-0.14000	0	3	12	13	14			
	12	H5	20	1	0.14000	1	2	13	14				
	13	C6	12	12	0.00000	1	2	14	15				
	14	CA	15	4	0.00000	1	2	15	16				
	15	СВ	19	3	0.00000	1	1	16					
	16	CG	15	4	0.00000	1	0						
#	bond	ls											
#	NB												
	16												
#	ΙB	JB	MCB										

π	тD	50	nob	
	1	2	3	
	1	3	16	
	1	13	16	
	3	4	3	
	3	5	16	
	5	6	13	
	5	8	16	
	6	7	1	
	8	9	13	
	8	11	16	
	9	10	18	
	11	12	3	
	11	13	16	
	13	14	27	
	14	15	27	
	15	16	10	
#	bond	angl	es	
#	NBA			
	22			
#	ΙB	JB	KB	MCB
	2	1	3	25
	2	1	13	25
	3	1	13	27
	1	3	4	25
	1	3	5	27
	4	3	5	25
	3	5	6	27
	3	5	8	27
	6	5	8	27
	5	6	7	12

	5	8	9	27	
	5	8	11	27	
	9	8	11	27	
	8	9	10	12	
	8	11	12	25	
	8	11	13	27	
	12	11	13	25	
	1	13	11	27	
	1	13	14	27	
	11	13	14	27	
	13	14	15	15	
	14	15	16	27	
#	impro	per	dihed	rals	
#	NIDA				
	12				
#	ΙB	JB	KB	LB	MCB
	1	2	3	13	1
	1	3	5	8	1
	3	1	4	5	1
	3	1	13	11	1
	3	5	8	11	1
	5	3	6	8	1
	5	8	11	13	1
	8	5	9	11	1
	8	11	13	1	1
	11	8	12	13	1
	13	1	3	5	1
	13	1	11	14	1
#	dihed	lrals			
#	NDA				
	4				
#	ΙB	JB	KB	LB	MCB
	3	5	6	7	11
	5	8	9	10	11
	1	13	14	15	40
	13	14	15	16	40
#	LJ ex	cept	ions		
#	NEX				
	0				
#	ΙB	JB	MCB	NCO	IND
EI	ID				

CON