STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No		Page in
		Recommendation	study
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	1
		abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2-3
		done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5-6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	5-7
2 com g	U	recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(<i>a</i>) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	6-7
i unicipanto	Ū	participants. Describe methods of follow-up	0 /
		(<i>b</i>) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	NA
		unexposed	1.11
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	6-8
v unuolos	,	effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	00
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	6-7
measurement	0	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	0 /
measurement		there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative	11	Explain how due study she was arrived at Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	8
variables		describe which groupings were chosen and why	0
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	8
Statistical methods		confounding	0
		(<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	NA
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
		(<i>e</i>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	8
D		(c) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	8-9
Participants	15	potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in	0-9
		the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
			NA
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA NA
Descriptivo data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	NA 8-9,
Descriptive data	14"		8-9, 20-21
		and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
		(a) Summarise follow up time (ag. average and total amount)	0
0	1 ~	(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	8
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	9

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	9,22
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which	
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	20
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk	NA
		for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	23
		sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	10
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias	11
		or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	10,12
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	10
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	12,13
		and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.