Supplementary Material Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology

## Carboxydotrophic growth of Geobacter sulfurreducens

Jeanine S. Geelhoed<sup>a,b</sup>, Anne M. Henstra<sup>a,\*</sup> and Alfons J.M. Stams<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Dreijenplein 10, 6703 HB Wageningen, The Netherlands <sup>b</sup>NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The Netherlands <sup>\*</sup>present address: Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, NG7 2EF, Nottingham, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Jeanine S. Geelhoed, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The Netherlands Phone: +31 (0)113577473 Fax: +31 (0)113573616 Email: jeanine.geelhoed@nioz.nl

**Supplementary Table S1** Specific enzyme activities of cell free extracts of cultures grown with carbon monoxide, acetate or formate as electron donor.

**Supplementary Table S2** Genomes containing gene clusters consisting of genes putatively encoding CooS-CooF-FNOR, denoted by locus tags of CooS indicated in bold. Also listed are locus tags for other CooS and CODH-ACS type anaerobic carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes, and the presence of aerobic-type carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes.

**Supplementary Fig S1** Phylogenetic tree of CooS subunits of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* and known CO utilizing microorganisms and of CooS subunits of organisms not reported to use CO that have gene clusters encoding CooS, CooF and FNOR.

## **Supplementary Table S1**

Carboxydotrophic growth of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Jeanine S. Geelhoed, Anne M. Henstra and Alfons J.M. Stams

Electron donor for growth Carbon monoxide, 40 kPa in headspace В Cell free extract, preparation А С D Specific activity ( $\mu$ mol e-donor mg protein<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) (avg  $\pm$  std (n)) Enzymatic conversion E-donor E-acceptor CO dehydrogenase CO, 100 kPa  $0.94 \pm 0.15$  (3)  $3.36 \pm 0.06$  (2)  $1.63 \pm 0.01$  (2)  $4.09 \pm 0.34$  (2) BV, 2 mM Formate dehydrogenase Formate, 20 mM BV, 2 mM  $0.006 \pm 0.0007$  (3)  $0.035 \pm 0.008$  (2)  $0.005 \pm 0.0001$  (2)  $0.005 \pm 0.0016$  (2) Hydrogenase H<sub>2</sub>.100 kPa BV, 2 mM  $0.033 \pm 0.003$  (4)  $0.068 \pm 0.000$  (2)  $0.099 \pm 0.006$  (3)  $0.191 \pm 0.012$  (2) H<sub>2</sub> formation Dithionite,25mM n.t.<sup>b</sup>  $0.003 \pm 0.0001$  (2)  $0.018 \pm 0.0004$  (3) protons  $0.006 \pm 0.0006$  (3) +MV, 5 mMH<sub>2</sub> formation CO, 100 kPa no activity n.t. no activity no activity protons NADPH oxidase NADPH, 5 mM BV, 2 mM  $0.93 \pm 0.06$  (3)  $1.71 \pm 0.04$  (2) NADH oxidase NADH, 5 mM  $0.50 \pm 0.001$  (2) BV, 2 mM  $0.29 \pm 0.04$  (2) NADH, 20 mM  $0.34 \pm 0.02$  (2)  $0.40 \pm 0.04$  (4) BV, 2 mM NADPH formation CO, 100 kPa NADP<sup>+</sup>, 5 mM  $0.062 \pm 0.004$  (2)  $0.15 \pm 0.02$  (2) NADH formation CO, 100 kPa  $NAD^+$ , 5 mM  $0.013 \pm 0.008$  (3)  $0.005 \pm 0.003$  (2)

Specific enzyme activities of cell free extracts of cultures grown with carbon monoxide, acetate or formate as electron donor<sup>a</sup>. Data for Fig. 3.

<sup>a</sup> Fumarate (40 mM) as electron acceptor

<sup>b</sup> n.t. = not tested

Electron donor for growth			Acetate, 16 mM			Formate, 40 mM <sup>c</sup>	
Cell free extract, preparation		Е	F	G	Н		
Enzymatic conversion	E-donor	E-acceptor	Specific activity ( $\mu$ mol e-donor mg protein <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> ) (avg $\pm$ std (n))				
CO dehydrogenase	CO, 100 kPa	BV, 2 mM	0.21 ± 0.01 (2)	0.076 ± 0.005 (2)	$0.30 \pm 0.06$ (4)	5.96 ± 0.21 (3)	
Formate dehydrogenase	Formate, 20 mM	BV, 2 mM	$0.64 \pm 0.009$ (2)	$0.40 \pm 0.02$ (2)	$1.57 \pm 0.17$ (6)	$1.80 \pm 0.17$ (3)	
Hydrogenase	H <sub>2,</sub> 100 kPa	BV, 2 mM	$1.04 \pm 0.09$ (2)	$0.74 \pm 0.03$ (2)	$1.65 \pm 0.06$ (3)	0.53 ± 0.033 (3)	
H <sub>2</sub> formation	Dithionite,25mM	protons	n.t.	n.t.	$0.20 \pm 0.017$ (3)	$0.24 \pm 0.023$ (3)	
H <sub>2</sub> formation	+ CO, 100 kPa	protons	n.t.	n.t.	n.t.	n.t.	
NADPH oxidase	NADPH, 5 mM	BV, 2 mM	$1.54 \pm 0.02$ (2)	$1.35 \pm 0.1$ (2)			
NADH oxidase	NADH, 5 mM	BV, 2 mM	$0.20 \pm 0.01$ (2)	$0.062 \pm 0.002$ (2)			
	NADH, 20 mM	BV, 2 mM	0.31 ± 0.01 (2)	$0.082 \pm 0.004$ (2)			
NADPH formation	CO, 100 kPa	NADP <sup>+</sup> , 5 mM	n.t.	n.t.			
NADH formation	CO, 100 kPa	$NAD^+$ , 5 mM	n.t.	n.t.			

(continued). Specific enzyme activities of cell free extracts of cultures grown with carbon monoxide, acetate or formate as electron donor<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Fumarate (40 mM) as electron acceptor

<sup>b</sup> n.t. = not tested

<sup>c</sup> 0.8 mM acetate added

## **Supplementary Table S2**

Carboxydotrophic growth of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Jeanine S. Geelhoed, Anne M. Henstra and Alfons J.M. Stams

Genomes containing gene clusters consisting of genes putatively encoding CooS-CooF-FNOR, denoted by locus tags of CooS indicated in bold. Also listed are locus tags for other CooS and CODH-ACS type anaerobic carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes, and the presence of aerobic-type carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes.

Species	Growth with CO	CooS	CODH-ACS	Aerobic-type CO dehydrogenase
Geobacter sulfurreducens	+	GSU <b>2098</b>		
Geobacter daltonii	n.r. <sup>a</sup>	Geob_0362, <b>1046</b>		
Geobacter uraniireducens	n.r.	Gura_0618		
Pelobacter carbinolicus	n.r.	Pcar_0057		
Deferrisoma camini	n.r.	DefcaDRAFT_3102 <sup>b</sup> , 3435	DefcaDRAFT_2710	3 aerobic-type
Clostridium carboxidivorans	+	CcarbDRAFT_0341, 1756	CcarbDRAFT_0164, 2944	
Clostridium autoethanogenum	+	CAETHG_ <b>3005</b> , 3899	CAETHG_1621/1620	
Clostridium ljungdahlii	+	CLJU_c09110, c17910	CLJU_c37670	
Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans	n.r.	Dtox_2327, <b>2933</b>	Dtox_1270, 3780	
Desulfotomaculum gibsoniae	n.r.	Desgi_2753, 3080	Desgi_2052	≥6 aerobic-type
Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus	n.r.	Csac_0400		
Desulfobacterium autotrophicum	n.r.	HRM2_ <b>43440</b>		
Desulfobacula toluolica	n.r.	TOL2_00990, 38110		
Azotobacter vinelandii	n.r.	Avin_04490		
Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans	+	CHY_0034, 0085, 0736, 1824	CHY_1221	
Carboxydothermus ferrireducens	+	CarfeDRAFT_00002400,	CarfeDRAFT_00014810	1 aerobic-type
		00009660, 00010430		

<sup>a</sup>n.r.= not reported. <sup>b</sup>Grey shading indicates the presence of a gene cluster with a different order of genes putatively encoding CooS, CooF and FNOR.

## **Supplementary Fig S1**

Carboxydotrophic growth of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Jeanine S. Geelhoed, Anne M. Henstra and Alfons J.M. Stams



Phylogenetic tree of CooS subunits of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* (bold) and known CO utilizing microorganisms (black) and of CooS subunits of organisms not reported to use CO that have gene clusters encoding CooS, CooF and FNOR (in this order: green, see also Fig. 4, or in a different order: blue, see also Table S2). The CODH subunit of the CO dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase complex of *C. hydrogenoformans* was used as outgroup. Accession numbers in UniProt and/or Locus tags in IMG are listed. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% are indicated at the nodes. The scale bar indicates the evolutionary distance corresponding to 5 substitutions per 100 amino acids.

Phylogenetic analysis of monofunctional CODH (CooS) protein sequences showed that CooS sequences of *Geobacter/Pelobacter* sp. and *Deferrisoma camini* are placed together (Group I). The proteins in Group I are most closely related to CooS proteins of the Archaeal *Thermococcus* spp., that oxidize CO + H<sub>2</sub>O to H<sub>2</sub>. The deltaproteobacterial CooS proteins of the CO-oxidizing sulfate reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* are more distantly related. The CooS protein sequences of *Clostridium carboxidivorans*, *Cl. autoethanogenum* and *Cl. ljungdahlii* that are part of CooS-CooF-FNOR gene clusters grouped together with the CooS protein sequences of *Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus* and *Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans* and *Dsm. gibsoniae* that are also part of such clusters (Group II). The CooS protein sequences of *Desulfobacterium autotrophicum*, *Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans* (CHY0736), *Desulfobacula toluolica* and *Azotobacter vinelandii* are scattered over the tree and not closely related to other putative CooS proteins that can be directly linked to CooF and FNOR.