



Supplemental table 1. Definitions and interview probes for Bullying and Being Bullied				
Variable	How assessed?	How often?	Definition	Interview Questions*
Being bullied/teased	Structured interview with the child and their parent	4 to 6 times between ages 9 and 16	Child is a particular object of mockery, physical attacks or threats by peers or siblings.	<p>Do you get teased or bullied at all by your siblings or friends/peers?</p> <p>Is that more than other children?</p> <p>Are other boys and girls mean to you?</p>
Bullying	Structured interview with the child and their parent	4 to 6 times between ages 9 and 16	Child engages in deliberate actions aimed at causing distress to another or attempts to force another to do something against his/her will by using threats, violence, or intimidation.	<p>Do you ever do things to upset other people on purpose or try to hurt them on purpose?</p> <p>Do you ever try to get other people into trouble on purpose?</p> <p>Have you ever forced someone to do something s/he didn't want to do by threatening or hurting him/her?</p> <p>Do you ever pick on anyone?</p>

*Interviewer begins with standard questions, but may ask additional questions to ensure that the definition is met in full. Furthermore, interviewer asks who the perpetrator was (sibling or peers). Only peer bullying coded for this study. Frequency within the past 3 months and onset of bullying involvement were also assessed.

Supplemental table 2. Definitions for family hardship variables and their indicators	
http://www.ncparks.gov/Visit/parks/jord/facilities.php	Definition
Low SES	Positive if child's family met 2 or more of the indicators
Family Poverty	Meets the federal guidelines for poverty based on income and family size
Low parental educational attainment	At least one did not graduate from high school
Low parental occupational prestige	Highest parental prestige in lowest 25% of occupations based on NORC/GSS Occupational Prestige Scale.
Unstable family structure	Positive if child's family met 2 or more of the indicators
Single parent family	Self-explanatory
Recent parental separation/divorce	Self-explanatory
Presence of step-parent	Self-explanatory
Change in family structure	Self-explanatory
Family dysfunction	Positive if child's family met 5 or more of the indicators
Inadequate parental supervision	Parents do not exert age-appropriate control over child's activities or friends
Parental overinvolvement	Parent involved into the child's activities in an age-inappropriate manner
Interparental violence	Physical violence between parents
Maternal depression	Mother scored 9 or higher on the Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire
High parental conflict	Top 20% of reported arguments between parents

Poor marital relationship	Marital relationship is characterized by absence of affection, apathy or indifference.
Child involved in parent's arguments	Child is upset by or actively involved in arguments between parents
High parent-child arguments	Top 20% of reported arguments between a parent and the child
Poor parent-child relations	Many parent-child activities involve tension, worry or disinterest in the child
Maltreatment	Positive if any of the indicators below endorsed
Physical Abuse	Subject victim of intentional physical violence by family member
Sexual Abuse	Subject involved in activities for purposes of perpetrators sexual gratification including kissing, fondling, oral-genital, oral-anal, genital or anal intercourse
Parental neglect	Caregiver unable to meet child's need for food, clothing, housing, transportation, medical attention or safety

Codebooks for all items available at <http://devepi.duhs.duke.edu/codebooks.html>

Supplemental table 3. Tests of anxiety symptoms as a mediator of the association between bullying groups and eating disorder symptoms/features					
		1. Predictors to mediator	2. Mediator to outcome	3. Predictor to Outcome	4. Sobel test
Predictor	Outcome	β (SE)	β (SE)	β (SE)	p
Victim	Anorexic symptoms	0.68 (0.11)§	0.14 (0.02)§	0.38 (0.23)	<0.001
Victim	Bulimic symptoms	0.68 (0.11)§	0.07 (0.02)§	0.35 (0.13)‡	0.002
Victim	Ass. Features	0.68 (0.11)§	0.08 (0.01)§	0.30 (0.08)‡	<0.001
Bullies	Bulimic symptoms	0.60 (0.17)§	0.07 (0.02)§	0.42 (0.28)	0.01
Bullies	Ass. Features	0.60 (0.17)§	0.47 (0.03)	0.27 (0.14)†	<0.001
Bully-victims	Anorexic symptoms	1.40 (0.16)§	0.14 (0.02)§	0.76 (0.50)	<0.001

Models were tested with Poisson^a regression. Columns numbered 2 and 3 provide results from models in which both predictor and potential mediator predicted eating outcome status. Models adjusted for demographics, prior levels of eating symptoms/features, prior psychiatric status and family adversities. Sobel test assess significance of indirect pathway.

† $P \leq 0.05$; ‡ $P \leq 0.01$; § $P \leq 0.0001$.

