а	pkl1Δ			b pk/14			C wt			pkl1Δ				
10	mC-Atb2	Mal3-GFP	merge	a	mC-Atb2	Mal3-GFP	merge		mCh-	Cut7-		mCh-	Cut7-	
0s				min			and the second		Atb2	3xGFP	merge	Atb2	3xGFP	merge
10	Contraction of the local division of the loc	CALCULAR DE LA CALCUL		1	Contract of the	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Service - Los	min	0.000	1000		the standard standard	COLUMN TWO IS	Carles and
20	Contraction of the loss	Contraction of the local sector	AND PROPERTY OF	2	and the second se	South States	and the second second			Contract Contract	100		States of the second	and the second second
30	Contraction of Addition	Sector Sector Sector		3	S. S. Barre	S. States	CONTRACTOR OF	1	Contraction of the	C.S.C.				
40	The second second			4	A DECEMBER OF	A Constant of the	Contraction of the local division of the loc	2	100	1000		A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY.	100 No. 100 No. 10	-
50	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Contraction in the second	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	5	Sugar Land	Contraction of the local distance	Contraction of the local division of the loc	-	Contraction of the	1.000	A COLOR	and the second second	a subscription of the	a contractor
60	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Constant and the second se	A LOCAL DESIGNATION OF	6	-	COMPLEX STREET	State State	3	Carlo	100	11	Contraction of the		1.000
70	Contraction of the local distance	STREET, DECK	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	7	Contraction of the local division of the loc	C WHILE BOUNDING	Collector Collector		STORE TO	NOTES THE	1	Contraction of the	STATE OF LEVEL	of the local division of
80	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Contract of the second	Contraction (CEE)	8		The state of the state of the	A State of the second sec	4	Sec. 1	6.0013		Constant and	NO DESCRIPTION	Contractor of
90	Contraction of the local division of the loc	And the second s		9	STATISTICS.	C THE R OF STREET, STR	States and a state of the	5	-	1000		Selferance of		
100	and the second s	Contraction of the Party of the	-termine - the second	10	Contraction for street of	Contraction of the	Contraction of the local division of the loc		Contractory of		CONTRACTOR OF	Constant in state of the	and the second s	Contraction of the local division of the loc
110	Contraction of the	Contraction of the local division of the	- national states	11		TRANS SHIELDER	A CONTRACTOR	6	And the second second	Margaret H		and the second	and the second	the strength of
120	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER	Contraction and states	Street and a local diversion of the	12			Concernation of the local division of							-
		- +				-								

Supplementary Figure 1. Long MT protrusions are likely minus-ended MTs.

a) High-temporal resolution (10s interval) time-lapse images of a mitotic spindle of a $pkl1\Delta$ cell expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Mal3-GFP. Mal3-GFP is present all along the spindle. Distinct dot of Mal3-GFP tracks the short growing MT (red arrow head, time 20-60s), and disappears when the MT depolymerizes (time 70s). In contrast, the long MT has no Mal3-GFP at its tip (yellow arrow head). This long MT is stable and does not depolymerize. Note that the MT protrusion can contain more than one individual MT, with both Mal3-GFP labeling and no labeling. Scale bar, 5µm.

b) Low-temporal resolution (1min interval) time-lapse images of a mitotic spindle of a $pk/1\Delta$ cell expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Mal3-GFP. The long MT protrusion (yellow arrow head) persisted for 12min. This relatively stability is indicative of MT minus ends. Scale bar, 5µm.

c) Time-lapse images of *wt* and *pkl1* Δ mitotic cells expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Cut7-3xGFP (kinesin-5 cut7 can move toward to MT minus end ⁶). In the *wt* cell, Cut7-3xGFP localizes to the spindle, and prominently at the spindle poles. In the *pkl1* Δ cell, where there is a long MT protrusion (red arrow head), in addition to localizing to the spindle and spindle poles, Cut7-3xGFP also accumulates at the end of the MT protrusion. Scale bar, 5µm.



Supplementary Figure 2. Msd1 functions in the same pathway as Pkl1.

a) Images of *pkl1* Δ and double-deletion *pkl1* Δ *msd1* Δ cells over-expressing (OE) the rigor mutant Pkl1^{md}-GFP. In *pkl1* Δ cells, Pkl1^{md}-GFP can still localize to the spindle poles. In contrast, in *pkl1* Δ *msd1* Δ cells, Pkl1^{md}-GFP binds along the spindle length, with no clear accumulation at the spindle poles (blue arrow head). Note that MT protrusion (yellow arrow head) can be seen in the *pkl1* Δ *msd1* Δ cells expressing Pkl1^{md}-GFP. Scale bar, 5µm.

b) Comparative plot of frequency of MT protrusions in $pk/1\Delta$ (n=38) and $pk/1\Delta$ msd1 Δ (n=39) cells expressing Pkl1^{md}-GFP. The $pk/1\Delta$ cells have 8% MT protrusion, indicating that the rigor Pkl1^{md}-GFP can mostly (but not completely) rescue the $pk/1\Delta$ MT protrusion phenotype (see Fig. 2e for comparison). The $pk/1\Delta$ msd1 Δ cells have 51% MT protrusion, indicating that in the absence of Msd1, the rigor Pkl1^{md}-GFP can partially rescue the $msd1\Delta$ MT protrusion phenotype (see Fig. 2e for comparison). Bars represent mean \pm s.d. for multiple experiments.



Supplementary Figure 3. Long MT protrusions lead to chromosome cut.

Time-lapse images of *wt*, *pkl1* Δ , and *pkl1* Δ *klp9* Δ cells expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Cut11-GFP. **a)** The *wt* cell shows symmetric and equal segregation of the daughter nuclei into daughter cells at cytokinesis (white arrow head). **b)** In contrast, *pkl1* Δ cells can have unequal distribution of daughter nuclei. The first panel shows one daughter cell with 2 nuclei and its sister with no nucleus. The second panel shows one daughter cell with 1 nucleus and a micro-nucleus, with its sister having less than 1N chromosome. **c)** The double-deletion *pkl1* Δ *klp9* Δ cell shows one daughter cell with 1 large nucleus and, with its sister having a small nucleus.

d) Spindle length versus time plots comparing anaphase B spindle dynamics of *wt* and $pk/1\Delta$ cells. In contrast to *wt*, spindle dynamics in $pk/1\Delta$ cells are varied, approximately falling into three groups. Group (1) has similar dynamics as *wt*. Group (2) has slower elongation dynamics compared to *wt*, but exhibits no chromosome loss. Group (3) is markedly different than *wt*, and has aneuploidy.

e) Quantification of spindle dynamics, protrusion lengths, and aneuploidy in *wt* and *pkl1* Δ cells. Shown are mean ± s.d. Student-t test provides p values.



Supplementary Figure 4. Cut7 functions to slide minus-ended MTs into long protrusions.

a) High-temporal resolution (10s interval) time-lapse images of mitotic spindles of $cut7\Delta$ $pkl1\Delta$ cells expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Mal3-GFP. We observed only short MT protrusions (23 mitotic cells, 32 independent MT protrusions). First panel, the short MT protrusion has Mal3-GFP at it end (red arrow head). Second panel, we observed only 1 MT protrusion with no Mal3-GFP at its end (in 23 mitotic cells). Scale bar, 5µm.

b) Comparison of MT dynamic parameters between MT protrusions with and without Mal3-GFP localization at their ends in *cut7* Δ *pkl1* Δ cells. Based on the relative stability of the one MT end without Mal3-GFP, this end can be classified as minus end.

c) Images of double-deletion $cut7\Delta msd1\Delta$ cells expressing mCherry-Atb2 and Sid4-GFP. The $cut7\Delta msd1\Delta$ cells formed bipolar spindles, and showed only short MT protrusions (yellow arrow head), similar to $cut7\Delta pkl1\Delta$ cells (see Fig. 4b). This indicates that Msd1 and Pkl1 function in the same pathway.

d) Comparative plot of frequency of MT protrusions in $msd1\Delta$ (n=48) and $cut7\Delta$ $msd1\Delta$ (n=47) cells. The $msd1\Delta$ cells have 80% MT protrusion. In contrast, $cut7\Delta$ $msd1\Delta$ cells have 27% MT protrusion, indicating that Cut7 functions to slide the unfocused MT minus ends in $msd1\Delta$ cells producing the aberrant MT protrusions. Bars represent mean \pm s.d. for multiple experiments.

e) Plot of MT protrusion length distribution frequency in $pkl1\Delta$ and $cut7\Delta$ $msd1\Delta$ cells. For $pkl1\Delta$ cells, MT protrusions extend from 1µm (the defined minimum length for reliable measurement) up to 7µm. 71% of protrusions are shorter than 3µm, and 29% of protrusions are longer than 3µm. In contrast, all MT protrusions are shorter than 3µm in the $cut7\Delta$ $msd1\Delta$ cells. This suggests that Msd1 and Pkl1 are in the same pathway (compare with Fig. 1e and Fig. 4d), and that Cut7 functions to produce minus end MT protrusions in $msd1\Delta$ (or $pkl1\Delta$) cells. Frequencies are pooled from multiple experiments.

Supplementary Table 1. List of Strains

Strain Genotype

PT.3219	sid4-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3280	pkl1∆:NatR sid4-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3207	cut11-GFP:NatR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3210	pkl1∆:KanR cut11-GFP:NatR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
CF.658	mini Chromosome ch16:ADE6+ ade6-m216 leu1-32 his2 h+
PT.3774	pkI1∆:NatR miniChromosome ch16:ade6-m216 leu1-32 his2 h-
PT.3841	pkI1∆:NatR mal3-linker-GFP:KanR mcherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h?
PT.2973	cut7-3xGFP:KanMX mCherry-atb2:hph ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3380	pkI1∆:NatR cut7-3xGFP:KanMX mCherry-atb2:hph ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3765	msd1∆:KanR sid4-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3767	pkI1Δ:NatR msd1Δ:KanR sid4-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.2753	pkl1-3xGFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3559	msd1∆:NatR pkl1-3xGFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3477	msd1-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3480	pkI1Δ:NatR msd1-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3808	nmt-pkl1-GFP:LEU1+ pkl1∆:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 h-
	nmt-pkl1-GFP:LEU1+ pkl1Δ:KanR msd1Δ:NatR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32
PT.3937	ura4-D18 h-
PT.3981	YFP:URA4+]
	msd1
PT.3985	nmt1-msd1-YFP:URA4+]
PT.3775	msd1∆:NatR miniChromosome ch16:ade6-m216 leu1-32 his2 h?
PT.3777	pkl1Δ:NatR msd1Δ:KanR miniChromosome ch16:ade6-m216 leu1-32 his2 h?
PT.3811	nmt-rigor-pkl1-GFP:LEU1+ pkl1Δ:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 h- nmt-rigor-pkl1-GFP:LEU1+ pkl1Δ:KanR msd1Δ:NatR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32
PT.3939	h+
CF.124	mis12-GFP:LEU1+ mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3235	pkI1Δ:KanR mis12-GFP:LEU1+ mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h?
PT.3251	hht2-GFP:URA4+ mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3382	pkl1∆:NatR hht2-GFP:URA4+ mcherry-atb2:hph ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h+
PT.3681	pkI1∆:KanR hht2-GFP:URA4+ mcherry-atb2:hph ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3818	pkI1Δ:NatR mad2Δ: KanR mis12-GFP:LEU1+ mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4- D18 h?
1 1.0010	klp9Δ:URA4+ pkl1Δ:KanR cut11-GFP:NatR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-
PT.4043	D18 h-
	pkl1Δ:NatR klp9Δ:URA4+ sid4-GFP:KanR mCherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18
P1.3703	nkl1A·KanR cut7A·NatR+ sid4-GEP·KanR mCherry-ath2·HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18
PT.3729	h-
PT.3942	hht2-GFP:URA4+ mCherry-atb2:HphR cdc25-22 ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
PT.3944	pkI1Δ:NatR hht2-GFP:URA4+ mCherry-atb2:HphR cdc25-22 ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 h-
	cut7Δ:NatR pkI1Δ:KanR mal3-linker-GFP:KanR mcherry-atb2:HphR ade6-m210? leu1-32 ura4-
PT.4003	D18 h- msd1A:KanR cut7A:NatR sid4-GEP:KanR mCherry-ath2:HphR ade6-m2102 leu1-32 ura4-D18
PT.4060	h+