

Variant	<i>In vitro</i>						<i>In vivo</i>			Ref		
	GIP	RL	GIPR	Results			GIP	GIPR	Results			
GIP(1-3)	B	P	Ha	Pancreas membranes: <i>No binding</i> (10 μ M)							(Maletti et al., 1986)	
GIP(1-6)NH ₂	US	P	R	CHO-cells: No cAMP production, <i>no binding</i> (10 μ M)								
GIP(1-7)NH ₂	US	P	R	CHO-cells: No cAMP production, <i>no binding</i> (10 μ M)							(Hinke et al., 2001)	
GIP(1-13)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: 13% cAMP (20 μ M), 5% <i>binding</i> (10 μ M)								
GIP(1-14)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: 73% cAMP (20 μ M), 28% <i>binding</i> (10 μ M)	P	R	26% insulin release (5 nM), sig. glucose decrease					
					P	R	Pancreas perfusion: No insulin release (10 ng/ml)				(Pederson and Brown, 1976)	
GIP(1-14)	H	P	R	CHO-cells: Full agonist (cAMP), 51% <i>binding</i> (10 μ M)								
GIP(1-15)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: 4% cAMP (20 μ M), 4% <i>binding</i> (10 μ M)							(Hinke et al., 2001)	
GIP(1-15)	P	P	R	CHO-cells: No cAMP production, <i>no binding</i> (10 μ M)								
GIP(1-16)	B	P	H	<i>No binding</i> (10 μ M)							(Maletti et al., 1986)	
	US	US/R	CHL: 35% cAMP, BRIN-BD11: 10-50% insulin (1 μ M)								(Gault et al., 2002)	
	US	R	BRIN-BD11: Insulin 1.4 fold of basal (1 μ M)	US	M	Ob/ob: No effect on glucose/insulin (25 nmol/kg)					(Irwin et al., 2006)	
GIP(1-30)NH ₂	P		R	CHO-cells and L293-cells: Full agonist (cAMP), EC ₅₀ equal to GIP(1-42). IC ₅₀ 2.0 nM. RINm5F: cAMP full agonism, 10-fold decreased EC ₅₀ . 10-fold decreased IC ₅₀	P	R	Equal glucose decrease (1pmol/min/100 g) Pancreas perfusion: Full insulin response (5 nM) Pancreas perfusion: Full insulin response, 65% reduced somatostatin response (1 ng/ml)					(Hinke et al., 2001) (Tseng et al., 1996, Morrow et al., 1996, Gallwitz et al., 1993)
					US	R	Pancreas: Full insulin response (1 nmol/kg/h) Stomach: 10-fold lower gastric acid-inhibition (10 nmol/kg/h)					(Rossowski et al., 1992)
GIP(1-30)OH	Hu	P	P	CHO-cells: 11-fold decreased IC ₅₀ than pGIP(1-42)	Hu	R	Pancreas perfusion: Full insulin response (1 nM)					(Wheeler et al., 1995)
GIP(1-38)					P	R	Pancreas perfusion: Full insulin response (UC)					(Moroder, 1978)
GIP(1-39)					B	R	Pancreas perfusion: Full insulin response (1 nM)					(Sandberg et al., 1986)
GIP(6-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: cAMP: 58% inhibition (0.1 μ M), <i>equal IC₅₀</i>								(Gelling et al., 1997)
GIP(7-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: No cAMP production (20 μ M), IC ₅₀ in nM-range, IC ₅₀ 23.7 nM L293-cells: cAMP inhibition IC ₅₀ 0.1 μ M. IC ₅₀ 200 nM	P	R	Anesthesia: 100 nmol/kg abolishes 1.5 nmol/kg GIP-insulin secretion and reduces meal-induced insulin with 55%.					(Hinke et al., 2001, Tseng et al., 1996)
	P	P	R	CHO-cells: 10 μ M decreases 1 nM GIP-cAMP by 34% IC ₅₀ 177 nM	US	R	3 μ g/250 g: 30% inhibition of glucose-induced insulin, 15% plasma glucose, 54% meal-induced insulin. 20 μ g/kg reduced glucose-uptake 30%.					(Tseng et al., 1999, Gelling et al., 1997)
GIP(10-30)	P	P	R	CHO: 50%-inhi. cAMP (10 μ M). 187 fold decreased IC ₅₀								(Gelling et al., 1997)
GIP(15-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO: cAMP, antagonism (10 μ M): 30 % of GIP(7-30) IC ₅₀ 1400 nM	P	R	Pancreas perfusion: No insulin release (1 ng/ml)					(Hinke et al., 2001, Morrow et al., 1996)
GIP(16-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO: cAMP, antagonism(10 μ M): 20 % of GIP(7-30) IC ₅₀ 2530 nM. L293-cells: No cAMP or GIP-inhib.(10 nM)								(Hinke et al., 2001, Tseng et al., 1996)
GIP(17-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO: cAMP, antagonism (10 μ M): 30 % of GIP(7-30) IC ₅₀ 1540 nM	P	R	Pancreas perfusion: 38% insulin release (1 ng/ml)					(Hinke et al., 2001, Morrow et al., 1996)
	US		US	CHL-cells: 1 μ M inhibits cAMP (0.1 μ M GIP) 15% BRIN-BD11: 1 μ M inhibits insulin (0.1 μ M GIP) 11%								(Gault et al., 2002)
	P	P	P	CHO: No cAMP production, <i>no binding</i> (1 μ M)								(Wheeler et al., 1995)
GIP(18-28)	Hu	P	P	CHO: <i>No binding</i> (1 μ M)								
GIP(19-30)NH ₂	P	P	R	CHO-cells: 40% cAMP production (20 μ M), 52% <i>binding</i> (10 μ M)	P	R	Pancreas perfusion: 60% insulin release (1 ng/ml) Anesthesia: Sig. glucose decrease (100 pmol/min/100g)					(Hinke et al., 2001, Morrow et al., 1996)
	P	P	R	CHO-cells: No cAMP (1 μ M), <i>no binding</i> (1 μ M)								(Wheeler et al., 1995)
GIP(21-30)NH ₂	P		R	L293-cells: No activity, no inhibition of pGIP(1-42)								(Tseng et al., 1996)
GIP(21-26)	Hu	P	P	CHO-cells: <i>No binding</i> (1 μ M)								(Wheeler et al., 1995)
GIP(3-42)	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 30% cAMP (EC ₅₀ \approx nM) and 1 μ M inhibits 45%. 70% inhibition of insulin release by 1 μ M								(Kerr et al., 2011)
	P	US	R	COS-cells: No cAMP IC ₅₀ \approx nM, 10 fold reduced affinity	P	R	Pan.perfusion: Inhibition of insulin, IC ₅₀ 138 nM					(Deacon et al., 2006)
GIP(4-42)	B	P	Ha	Pancreas membranes: IC ₅₀ 5 nM								(Maletti et al., 1986)
	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 50% cAMP, 30% inhibition of insulin (1 μ M)		M	Ob/ob: Glucose increase (25 nmol/kg)					(Kerr et al., 2011)
	US		US	CHL-cells: 1 μ M inhibits cAMP (0.1 μ M GIP) 40% BRIN-BD11: 1 μ M inhibits insulin (0.1 μ M GIP) 23%								(Gault et al., 2002)
GIP(5-42)	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 35% cAMP (1 μ M), insulin-inhibition 70%	M		Ob/ob: Glucose increase (25 nmol/kg)					
GIP(6-42)	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 80% cAMP (1 μ M), insulin-inhibition 40%								
GIP(7-42)	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 65% cAMP (1 μ M), insulin-inhibition 55%	M		Ob/ob: Glucose increase (25 nmol/kg)					
GIP(8-42)	US		R	RINm5F: 30-fold decrease of GIP(1-42) potency (5 μ M)								(Cheng et al., 2015)
	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 16% cAMP (1 μ M), insulin-inhibition 65%	M		Ob/ob: 1.4 fold glucose increase, sig. insulin decrease (25 nmol/kg)					(Kerr et al., 2011)
GIP(9-42)	US		R	BRIN-BD11: 100% cAMP (1 μ M), 100% insulin secretion								
GIP(13-42)	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: 6-fold lower affinity								(Parthier et al., 2007)
GIP(15-42)	P	P	R	CHO: cAMP, antagonism (10 μ M): 40 % of GIP(7-30) IC ₅₀ 1270 nM	P	R	Pancreas perfusion: 40% insulin response (10ng/ml)					(Kerr et al., 2011, Hinke et al., 2001, Pederson and Brown, 1976)
	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: 10-fold lower affinity								(Parthier et al., 2007)
GIP(17-42)	B	P	Ha	Pancreas membranes: IC ₅₀ 0.5 μ M	B	R	Pan.perfusion: 32% insulin response (5 ng/ml)					(Maletti et al., 1986)
	US	US	R	RINm5F-cells: No cAMP production (1 μ M) IC ₅₀ 0.4 μ M								
	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: 15-fold lower affinity								
GIP(19-42)	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: 17-fold lower affinity								
GIP(21-42)	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: 100-fold lower affinity								
GIP(23-42)	H		H	Isothermal titration calorimetry: <i>No binding</i>								
GIP(31-44)	P		R	L293-cells: No cAMP or pGIP inhibition (10 nM)								(Tseng et al., 1996)

Supplementary Table 1. The table displays the in vitro and in vivo references to figure 8, overview of truncated GIP variants. Percentages compared to full agonist GIP(1-42) or GIP(1-30) results. Affinity data is in *italic*. GIP = species sequence of ligand, RL = GIP(1-42) radioligand, GIPR = GIP receptor, B = bovine, P = porcine, R = rat, M = mouse, Ha = hamster, Hu = human, US = unknown species, UC = unknown concentration.

Reference List

- CHENG, Y. H., HO, M. S., HUANG, W. T., CHOU, Y. T. & KING, K. 2015. Modulation of Glucagon-like Peptide-1 (GLP-1) Potency by Endocannabinoid-like Lipids Represents a Novel Mode of Regulating GLP-1 Receptor Signaling. *J Biol Chem*, 290, 14302-13.
- DEACON, C. F., PLAMBOECK, A., ROSENKILDE, M. M., DE, H. J. & HOLST, J. J. 2006. GIP-(3-42) does not antagonize insulinotropic effects of GIP at physiological concentrations. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab*, 291, E468-E475.
- GALLWITZ, B., WITT, M., FOLSCH, U. R., CREUTZFELDT, W. & SCHMIDT, W. E. 1993. Binding specificity and signal transduction of receptors for glucagon-like peptide-1(7-36)amide and gastric inhibitory polypeptide on RINm5F insulinoma cells. *J Mol Endocrinol*, 10, 259-68.
- GAULT, V. A., HARRIOTT, P., FLATT, P. R. & O'HARTE, F. P. 2002. Cyclic AMP production and insulin releasing activity of synthetic fragment peptides of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide. *Biosci.Rep.*, 22, 523-528.
- GELLING, R. W., COY, D. H., PEDERSON, R. A., WHEELER, M. B., HINKE, S., KWAN, T. et al. 1997. GIP(6-30amide) contains the high affinity binding region of GIP and is a potent inhibitor of GIP1-42 action in vitro. *Regulatory Peptides*, 69, 151-154.
- HINKE, S. A., MANHART, S., PAMIR, N., DEMUTH, H. U., GELLING, W., PEDERSON, R. A. et al. 2001. Identification of a bioactive domain in the amino-terminus of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP). *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, 1547, 143-155.
- IRWIN, N., GREEN, B. D., PARKER, J. C., GAULT, V. A., O'HARTE, F. P. & FLATT, P. R. 2006. Biological activity and antidiabetic potential of synthetic fragment peptides of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, GIP(1-16) and (Pro3)GIP(1-16). *Regul Pept*, 135, 45-53.
- KERR, B. D., FLATT, A. J. S., FLATT, P. R. & GAULT, V. A. 2011. Characterization and biological actions of N-terminal truncated forms of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 404, 870-876.
- MALETTI, M., CARLQUIST, M., PORTHA, B., KERGOAT, M., MUTT, V. & ROSSELIN, G. 1986. Structural requirements for gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) receptor binding and stimulation of insulin release. *Peptides*, 7, Supplement 1, 75-78.
- MORODER, L. H., A.; THAMM, P.; WILSCHOWITZ, L.; BROWN, J. C.; WÜNSCH, E 1978. Studies on gastric inhibitory polypeptide: Synthesis of the octatricontapeptide GIP(1-38) with full insulinotropic activity. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 13.
- MORROW, G. W., KIEFFER, T. J., MCINTOSH, C. H., MACGILLIVRAY, R. T., BROWN, J. C., ST PIERRE, S. et al. 1996. The insulinotropic region of gastric inhibitory polypeptide; fragment analysis suggests the bioactive site lies between residues 19 and 30. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol*, 74, 65-72.
- PARTHIER, C., KLEINSCHMIDT, M., NEUMANN, P., RUDOLPH, R., MANHART, S., SCHLENZIG, D. et al. 2007. Crystal structure of the incretin-bound extracellular domain of a G protein-coupled receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 104, 13942-7.
- PEDERSON, R. A. & BROWN, J. C. 1976. The insulinotropic action of gastric inhibitory polypeptide in the perfused isolated rat pancreas. *Endocrinology*, 99, 780-5.
- ROSSOWSKI, W. J., ZACHARIA, S., MUNGAN, Z., OZMEN, V., ERTAN, A., BAYLOR, L. M., JIANG, N. Y. et al. 1992. Reduced gastric acid inhibitory effect of a pGIP(1-30)NH₂ fragment with potent pancreatic amylase inhibitory activity. *Regul Pept*, 39, 9-17.
- SANDBERG, E., AHREN, B., TENDLER, D., CARLQUIST, M. & EFENDIC, S. 1986. Potentiation of glucose-induced insulin secretion in the perfused rat pancreas by porcine GIP (gastric inhibitory polypeptide), bovine GIP, and bovine GIP(1-39). *Acta Physiol Scand*, 127, 323-6.
- TSENG, C. C., KIEFFER, T. J., JARBOE, L. A., USDIN, T. B. & WOLFE, M. M. 1996. Postprandial stimulation of insulin release by glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP). Effect of a specific glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor antagonist in the rat. *J.Clin.Invest*, 98, 2440-2445.
- TSENG, C. C., ZHANG, X. Y. & WOLFE, M. M. 1999. Effect of GIP and GLP-1 antagonists on insulin release in the rat. *Am.J.Physiol*, 276, E1049-E1054.
- WHEELER, M. B., GELLING, R. W., MCINTOSH, C. H., GEORGIOU, J., BROWN, J. C. & PEDERSON, R. A. 1995. Functional expression of the rat pancreatic islet glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor: ligand binding and intracellular signaling properties. *Endocrinology*, 136, 4629-39.