Is normal pressure hydrocephalus becoming less idiopathic?

Neill R. Graff-Radford, MBBCh, FRCP

Correspondence to Dr. Graff-Radford: Graff-radford.neill@mayo.edu

Neurology® 2016;86:588-589

Normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) has posed a challenge to neurologists for 5 decades.¹ Difficulties in understanding its pathophysiology have hindered efforts to diagnose it and to develop a better approach to selecting patients for shunt surgery.

We know that many patients with NPH have CSF absorption difficulty as measured by resistance to CSF outflow (R_{out}).² The problem is that many with normal R_{out} also improve with shunt surgery, so other factors are important in NPH pathogenesis. We also know that more than 10% of patients presenting clinically with NPH have congenital chronic hydrocephalus. Congenital hydrocephalus may become symptomatic in older age, as evidenced by the fact that more than 10% of patients with NPH have a head size above the 98th percentile.^{3,4}

There is now increasing evidence that vascular risk factors are associated with hydrocephalus. As long ago as 1959, Bering demonstrated that intraventricular pulse pressure can induce the development of hydrocephalus. He showed that, in a dog model of hydrocephalus, ligation of the choroid plexus in one ventricle (removing the intraventricular pulse pressure in that ventricle) produced hydrocephalus in only the other ventricle. This observation has been approximated in humans recently. In the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, ventricle size was measured on 2 brain MRI scans 10 years apart. Baseline systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure were associated with increased ventricular enlargement.⁵ There are several other reports that indicate an association of hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease with NPH.6-9

The important question is whether persons who have normal R_{out} but vascular risk factors improve with shunt surgery; this was addressed in the only double-blind, randomized, prospective treatment study in NPH.¹⁰ Patients (n = 14) who did not have an absorption problem (R_{out} was normal), but had severe brain white matter change and vascular risk factors, underwent shunting. Half had the shunt tube tied in the neck and left closed for 3 months. Those with an open shunt improved in the first 3 months and those who had occluded shunts did not, but when the shunt was opened, they too improved.

In this issue of Neurology®, vascular risk factors associated with NPH in a population-based study are described.11 The authors found that, of 1,235 persons older than 70 years, 55 had imaging findings of NPH and, of these, 26 fulfilled the International Guidelines¹² for the diagnosis of NPH. Each case was matched to 5 controls on age, sex, and study cohort. Radiologic hydrocephalus was associated with hypertension (odds ratio [OR] 2.7; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.1-6.8), moderate to severe white matter lesions (OR 6.5; 95% CI: 2.1-20.3), and diabetes mellitus (OR 4.3; 95% CI: 1.1-16.3). Important strengths of this population-based study are that the controls came from the same population and exposure data were collected prospectively. An important limitation is that this is a cross-sectional study, so we do not know whether white matter changes cause hydrocephalus, hydrocephalus causes white matter changes, or it is bidirectional.

The associations between vascular risk factors and radiologic or clinical NPH have important implications. Perhaps early effective intervention treating vascular risk factors may reduce the incidence of NPH. Vascular risk factors are clearly associated with NPH, but further prospective studies will be needed to show whether they are causative.

STUDY FUNDING

No targeted funding reported.

DISCLOSURE

The author reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

REFERENCES

- Adams RD, Fisher CM, Hakim S, Ojemann RG, Sweet WH. Symptomatic occult hydrocephalus with "normal" cerebrospinal-fluid pressure: a treatable syndrome. N Engl J Med 1965;273:117–126.
- Boon AJ, Tans JT, Delwel EJ, et al. Dutch Normal-Pressure Hydrocephalus Study: the role of cerebrovascular disease. J Neurosurg 1999;90:221–226.
- Krefft TA, Graff-Radford NR, Lucas JA, Mortimer JA. Normal pressure hydrocephalus and large head size. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2004;18:35–37.
- Wilson RK, Williams MA. Evidence that congenital hydrocephalus is a precursor to idiopathic normal pressure

© 2016 American Academy of Neurology

© 2016 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

hydrocephalus in only a subset of patients. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:508–511.

- Graff-Radford NR, Knopman DS, Penman AD, Coker LH, Mosley TH. Do systolic BP and pulse pressure relate to ventricular enlargement? Eur J Neurol 2013;20: 720–724.
- Graff-Radford NR, Godersky JC. Idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus and systemic hypertension. Neurology 1987;37:868–871.
- Krauss JK, Regel JP, Vach W, Droste DW, Borremans JJ, Mergner T. Vascular risk factors and arteriosclerotic disease in idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus of the elderly. Stroke 1996;27:24–29.
- Casmiro M, D'Alessandro R, Cacciatore FM, Daidone R, Calbucci F, Lugaresi E. Risk factors for the syndrome of ventricular enlargement with gait apraxia (idiopathic

normal pressure hydrocephalus): a case-control study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1989;52:847–852.

- Eide PK, Pripp AH. Increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus patients compared to a population-based cohort from the HUNT3 survey. Fluids Barriers CNS 2014;11:19.
- Tisell M, Tullberg M, Hellstrom P, Edsbagge M, Hogfeldt M, Wikkelso C. Shunt surgery in patients with hydrocephalus and white matter changes. J Neurosurg 2011;114:1432–1438.
- Jaraj D, Agerskov S, Rabiei K, et al. Vascular factors in suspected normal pressure hydrocephalus: a populationbased study. Neurology 2016;86:592–599.
- Relkin N, Marmarou A, Klinge P, Bergsneider M, Black PM. Diagnosing idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus. Neurosurgery 2005;57:S4–S16; discussion ii–v.