**Supplementary Information List**

**Supplementary Figure 1. Fold change in protein expression.**

**Supplementary Figure 2. RNA microarray analysis.**

**Supplementary Figure 3. Immunofluorescence staining on mouse liver sections for TLR4, and NANOG.**

**Supplementary Figure 4. Twist1 is required for mesenchymal phenotype, cell proliferation and self-renewal in TICs.**

**Supplementary Figure 5.** *TWIST1* **promoter activation in Huh7.**

**Supplementary Figure 6. Induction of TLR4/NANOG/P-STAT3/TWIST1 pathway components in mouse HCCs***.*

**Supplementary Figure 7. Immunofluoresence staining of mouse liver sections for TLR4, NANOG, TWIST1, AFP, CD133 and CD49F.**

**Supplementary Figure 8. Immunofluorescence analysis of TLR4 and hapatocyte marker in NS5A Tg and wild type mice.** 

**Supplementary Figure 9. Induction of TLR4/NANOG/P-STAT3/TWIST1 pathway components in Human HCC.**

**Supplementary Figure 10. Patient clinical data sets.** 

**Supplementary Figure 11.** *Twist1* **by its very nature promotes tumor formation.**

**Supplementary Table 1: Clinicopathological Features of Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma**

**Supplementary Table 2. Liver histological grading of HCV NS5A, wild type, Tlr4-/- and Tlr4-/-NS5A Tg mice fed the lean-fat diet (LFD), HCFD or HCFD+LPS for 12 months.**

**Supplementary Table 3. Antibody list**

**Supplementary Table 4. qRT-PCR primer sets**

**Supplementary Table 5.** *In vitro* **mutagenesis primer sets**

**Supplementary Table 6. ChIP-qPCR primer sets**

**Supplementary Materials and Methods**

**Supplementary Discussion**

**Supplementary References**



Supplementary Figure 1. Fold change in Twist1 gene expression in TICs. Quantification of TRAF6 expressoin from Figure 1E using Image-J.

> Suppl. Figure 1 Uthaya Kumar et al.

# NS5A+HCFD VS Non-Tg+HCFD Microarray gene expression analysis



Supplementary Figure 2. RNA microarray analysis.

NS5A

**Wild type** 



Supplementary Figure 3. Immunoflurorescence staining on mouse liver sections for TLR4 and NANOG. Note: The TLR4 staining in the low fat diet (LFD) represents non-parenchymal cell (presumably such as Kupffer cells), whereas in liver sections from mice fed HCFD and in mice fed HCFD+LPS, the TLR4 is co-stained with NANOG representing that the origin of TLR4 in these livers is from both TICs and non-parenchymal cells. The scale bar indicates 50um.

Suppl. Fig. 3 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Figure 4. Twist1 is required for the mesenchymal phenotypes, cell proliferation, and self-renewal abilities of TICs. (A) Flow cytometry analysis (forward scatter affected by cell size) indicating the change in cell size after Twist1 knockdown in TICs (B) Analysis of cell number and viability post-infection with Lentivirus expressing sh-Twist1 or sh-scrambled into TICS; significant decrease in both cell number and viability was seen with the infection of the former compared to the later. \*P<0.05, n=5. (C) Sphere formation assay demonstrated the significant decrease in the number of spheroids formed whne the Twist1 gene is silenced in TICs. \*P<0.05, n=3.

> Suppl. Figure 4 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Figure 5. TWIST1 promoter activation in Huh7 cells. TWIST1 promoter analysis with deletion constructs demonstrates the importance of the proximal segments (-209 to -51) in LPS-induced TWIST1 promoter activity.

> Suppl. Figure 5 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Fig. 6. Induction of TLR4/NANOG/P-STAT3/TWIST1 pathway components in mouse HCC. (A) TLR4, STAT3, P-STAT3, NANOG and, TWIST1 protein levels are increased in HCC specimens from HCFD NS5ATg mice, as compared with cirrhotic or healthy livers, N = 5 samples/cohort, n=3 (B) Twist1 (C) Nanog (D) Stat3 mRNA profiling showing significant increase in HCFDNS5ATg mice Liver tumor, in contrast with the healthy livers,  $*p<.05$ , N=8 samples/cohort, n=3.



Supplementary Figure 7. Immunofluorescence staining of mouse liver sections for TLR4, NANOG, TWIST1, AFP, CD133 and CD49F. Note: Staining of TLR4, NANOG and TWIST1 is reduced in liver sections of TIr4 -/- NS5A Tg mice fed HCFD for 12 months in comparison to those of wild type and NS5A Tg mice or mice fed low fat diet (LFD). Parenchymal cells of NS5A Tg mice fed LFD have diffuse staining of TLR4 while hepatocytes of wild type mice fed LFD have TLR4 staining mainly in non-parenchymal areas. Note: Liver sections from NS5A Tg mice fed HCFD have co-expression (yellow) of TLR4 (green) and AFP (red) or NANOG (red) mainly in parenchymal cells (with larger nuclei) or TICs.

> Suppl. Fig. 7 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Figure 8. Immunofluorescence analysis of TLR4 and hapatocyte marker in NS5A Tg and wild type mice. The major source of TLR4 in the liver of wild type mice is from non-parenchymal cells, including the Kupffer cells and stellate cells. The low fat diet (LFD)-fed wild type mice have staining shows TLR4 positive cells, which are presumably Kupffer cells and stellate cells. Liver section of HCFD-fed NS5A Tg mice have TLR4 and NANOG-TLR4-double-positive cells, indicating that TLR4 origin is not only from Kupffer cells and stellate cells but rather from the TICs or hepatocytes. In liver of NS5A Tg mice, both parenchymal and non-parenchymal staining of TLR4 are positive while non-parenchymal area of wild type mice fed LFD mainly have positive staining of TLR4, indicating that hepatocytes and TICs of NS5A Tg mice have elevated levels of TLR4.

> Suppl. Figure 8 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Figure 9. Induction of TLR4, pSTAT3 and TWIST1 in human HCC. (A) Quantification of immunoperoxidase staining using Metamorph software showed increased staining intensity for Twist1, TLR4 and pSTAT3 in human liver tumors compared to the adjacent non-tumorous livers from Liver Tissue Cell Distribution System (LTCDS) of University of Minnesota (UMinn) (40X magnification; n=8 samples, paired). (B) Analysis of TCGA data of Twist 1 mRNA levedifferent stages of HCC grades and patient survival days with levels of TWIST1 expression.

> Suppl. Figure 9 Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplemental Fig. 10. Clinical patient dataset summary used in this study.

Generally 1=present, 0=absent unless otherwise indicated. Primary diagnosis text=clinical indication for transplantation Necrosis=tumor necrosis PrLivMal=primary liver malignancy Crypto=cryptogenic cirrhosis

Suppl. Figure 10<br>Uthaya Kumar et al.



Supplementary Fig.11. Twist1 by its very nature promotes tumor formation. (A) Twist1 mRNA was analyzed using qRT-PCR in TICs post TIr4 silencing and Twist1 overexpression, n=3. (B) Tlr4 mRNA was analyzed using qRT-PCR in TICs post Tlr4 silencing and Twist1 overexpression, n=3.

> Suppl. Fig. 11 Uthaya Kumar et al.



**Supplementary Table 1: Clinicopathological Features of Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma**

 $*$  Value = Mean  $\pm$  SEM

**Supplementary Table 2. Liver histological grading of HCV NS5A Tg mice fed the low-fat diet, HCFD or HCFD+LPS for 12 months.**



\**<sup>P</sup>* < 0.05, compared to respective HCFD diet-fed WT mice; \*\**<sup>P</sup>* < 0.05, compared to respective HCFD diet-fed NS5A Tg mice; # *P* < 0.05, compared to HCFD plus LPS-fed WT mice. Fatty liver, 2+: 25%~50% heaptocytes with fat; 3+: 50%~75% with fat; 4+: >75% with fat. Inflammation, 1+: lesions encompassing less than 1/3 acinus; 2+: lesions larger than whole acini.

(WT-HCFD; \*, P<0.05 \*\*, P<0.01 \*\*\*, P<0.005, green scripts and symbols – statistical analysis in comparison to low fat diet (LFD), purple scripts and symbols - statistical analysis in comparison to HCFD)

## **Supplementary Table 3: Antibody list**





## **Supplementary Table 4: qRT-PCR primer sets**



## **Supplementary Table 5:** *In vitro* **mutagenesis primer sets**



# **Supplementary Table 6: ChIP-qPCR primer sets**





#### **SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated a synergistic interaction between alcohol consumption and HCFD, resulting in the highest observed tumor incidence in NS5A Tg mice (Fig. 2A). Additionally, a classical TLR4 activation was observed through canonical TAK-1, TRAF6 and pIKK-β signaling in both the HCFD- as well as HCFD - fed NS5A Tg mouse models (Figs. 1). We observed from RNA microarray analysis that *Twist1* was increased 11.9-fold in NS5A Tg mice fed HCFD (Fig. 2A). Long-term treatment of mice with HCFD activated *Tlr4-Nanog* signaling (Fig. 2D) and increased leptin and endotoxin levels in the plasma (Fig. 1B). A previous RNA microarray analysis of tissues from alcohol fed NS5A Tg mice<sup>1</sup> did not exhibit *Twist1* induction. These results led us to hypothesize that the adipose tissue-derived leptin-pSTAT3 axis and the TLR4- NANOG axis are needed for activation of *Twist1* in TICs. Consequently we analyzed the *Twist1* promoter for the functional importance of NANOG and pSTAT3 binding sites (Fig. 4). Our experiments showed that relative to the TSS, both NANOG proximal and STAT3 distal sites were required for maximum response to leptin and LPS stimulation, respectively. We postulate that this finding might be due to formation of a transcription complex comprised of these two DNA binding proteins on the *Twist1* promoter allowing contiguous stacking of these two transacting proteins.

In support of such a functional model, Watt *et al*., showed that *Nanog* interacts with Stat3 to regulate its own gene expression.<sup>2</sup> Building upon their research, we further established through sequential-ChIP-qPCR analysis (Fig. 4E) that these two transcription factors indeed interacted with one other to transactivate *Twist1*. The *in vitro* data were corroborated in mice and human tissue sections, where we demonstrated by IHC and IF that TWIST1 co-localized with TLR4, P-STAT3 and NANOG. Nevertheless, future experiments are warranted to understand how these transcription factors activate *Twist1.* Potential mechanisms could be histone modifications in the *Twist1* promoter or enhancer regions.<sup>3</sup> Master regulators involved in EMT during wound healing process have a robust expression of poised enhancer marks. This is to methodically shift the cells to the native state post remodeling. An understanding of such epigenetics marks in HCC associated TICs and specifically targeting the epigenetics marks is needed in both mouse and patient derived models.

Moreover, we observed that over-expression of *Twist1* in the absence of *Tlr4* can independently drive tumor formation and metastasis (Fig. 7) which underscores the importance of various TLR4 dependent oncogenic pathways. We speculate that this phenomenon might be due to basal level expression of *Tlr4* after shRNA treatment.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Isolation of mouse TICs using FACS**

Tumor-initiating stem-like cells (TICs) were isolated from liver tumors in HCV-NS5A transgenic mice fed *ad lib* with an ethanol-containing liquid diet high in cholesterol and saturated fat (HCFD) (as previously described).<sup>4</sup> Briefly tumors were surgically resected and mechanically dissociated by scissors. The tissue homogenate was digested with collagenase IV (BD Biosciences) and dispase (Sigma) mixture by incubation at 37°C for 2 hours. The resulting single cell suspensions were incubated with anti-CD133, anti-CD49f and anti-CD45 antibodies and separated using FACS sorting, according to the manufacturer's protocol as previously described<sup>4</sup>. Isolated TICs were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/F12) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% nucleosides, 1  $\mu$ M dexamethasone, epidermal growth factor (EGF), 1 µg/ml penicillin, 1 µg/ml streptomycin and 1% nonessential amino acids (NEAA). CD133<sup>+</sup> TICs and CD133<sup>-</sup> control cells were cryopreserved in 60% FBS, 20% DMEM/F12, and 20% DMSO.

## **Plasmids, production and propagation of lentivirus and retrovirus vectors**

The NS5A expression plasmid was constructed by inserting HCV-NS5A cDNA downstream of the CMV promoter into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). All retroviruses were based on lentivirus (pPAX2: Addgene) or MMTV vectors (pVPack-GP: Stratagene). Lentivirus vectors were prepared by standard procedures using HEK293T cells. The packaging vector pPAX2 (Addgene), amphotropic envelope gene (VSV glycoprotein), packaging vector expressing GAG-POL: pMDV (Addgene), and shRNA expression cassette were co-transfected into HEK293T cells using BioT transfection reagent (Bioland Scientific LLC). Retroviruses expressing *Stat3C* and *Stat3D* were obtained from Prof. Daniel C. Link (Washington University of School of Medicine).<sup>5</sup> Retroviruses expressing *Stat3C* and *Stat3D* were produced using Phoenix cells/HEK293T.<sup>6</sup> 48 hours post transfection, the virus containing, cell supernatants were harvested, purified, mixed with polybrene (4 µg/ml), and used to infect cells (Huh7 / TICs). The lentivirus titers were determined using LentiX-gostick (Clontech). Human GIPZ lentiviral shRNAmir target gene set was used for human toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) (RHS4531-NR\_024169, RHS4430-98525129, RHS4430- 98843572, and RHS4430-99137800) (Open Biosystems). To increase silencing effects and to reduce off-target effects, a combination of shRNA lentiviruses were used to knock down target genes. MOI was calculated on a case by case basis depending on empirical transduction efficiency. The TWIST1-pGL3 reporter constructs were obtained from Prof. Nakamura (Tokyo Medical and Dental University)*. 7*

## **Tumor collection and analysis**

Tumor-bearing animals were sacrificed at day 30 or 35 (depending on the cell number injected) or whenever the tumor size exceeded the limit, and tumors were collected and measured for volume and weight. The tumor tissues were divided for (1) fixation with neutrally buffered 10% formalin for H&E staining and histological evaluation of the tumor; (2) fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by sucrose treatment for subsequent immunostaining; and (3) snapfreezing in liquid  $N_2$  for mRNA and protein analysis.

## **Endotoxin measurement**

For endotoxin measurements, blood was collected from the inferior vena cava with pyrogen-free heparin as previously described.<sup>8</sup> Extreme precautions were taken to avoid or eliminate pyrogen and endotoxin contamination of all surgical instruments and laboratory supplies. Blood samples were transferred into appropriate glass tubes made pyrogen-free by heat-treatment at 180°C for 24 hours. Pyrogen-free water was supplied by the manufacturer (Kinetic-QCL, Santa Clara, CA; Biowhittaker). Just prior to assay, plasma samples were diluted and heated to 75°C for 10 minutes to denature endotoxin-binding proteins that can mask endotoxin detection. Levels of endotoxin were measured using the Limulus amebocyte lysate pyrogen test and a kinetic program (Kinetic test, Kinetic-QCL, Santa Clara, CA; Biowhittaker). The threshold of detection is

0.1 pg/ml.

## **Histology & immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples were either fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and cryopreserved (Cryomatrix™) or with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and embedded in paraffin, followed by thinsectioning and mounted on glass slides. Samples were stained with either hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) or processed for immunostaining as appropriate. For the latter, primary antibodies against NANOG (Rabbit ab80892, Abcam), pSTAT3 (Rabbit #9134, Cell Signaling technology), TLR4 (Mouse monoclonal antibody, SC293072, Santa Cruz), TLR4 (goat sc-8694, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or TWIST1 (Rabbit polyclonal antibody, sc-15393, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used along with their respective secondary antibodies. Slides were mounted for microscopy using xylene based mounting media, which includes hematoxylin for nuclei counterstaining (Vector Laboratories), as per the manufacturer's recommendations. The stained samples were then subjected to morphometric analysis. To determine the specificity of IHC, serial sections were similarly processed except primary antibodies were omitted. The area of interest was quantified using Metamorph software. The data shown represent the means  $\pm$  SD.

## **Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) and purified using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA concentration and purity were determined by  $A_{260}$  and  $A_{260}/A_{280}$  ratios, respectively. The RNA samples were treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) to remove residual traces of DNA. cDNA was obtained from 1 µg of total RNA, using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random primers in a final volume of 10 µl. cDNAs were amplified by PCR using the primer pairs listed in the Supplementary Table 4. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on an ABI 7300 HT Real-Time PCR machine using 2X SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Conditions for all reactions: 1 cycle at 50°C for 2 min, followed by 1 cycle at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Specificity of the PCR products were tested by thermal dissociation curves. Gene expression was determined as relative ratio to β-Actin or GAPDH control via the  $\Delta$ Ct method. The data shown represents the means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

### **Gene array analysis of liver tumors**

For identifying anti-apoptotic or proto-oncogenic proteins, we prepared serial cytosections of the mice liver tissues, stained them with H&E, and collected hepatocytes under homeostatic conditions, dysplastic, or transformed morphology by using laser-capture microscopy as described<sup>9-11</sup>. In order to identify changes associated with HCFD, comparative analysis were performed on the cells isolated from livers of mice fed HCFD. A gene microarray analysis requires a minimum of 100-200 cells and proteomic analysis requires approximately 50,000- 100,000 cells for each cell phenotype<sup>12</sup>. The cells were lysed for RNA or protein extraction for gene chip analysis and 1D gel MS/MS analysis $^{9, 10, 12-14}$ . The cells collected from each group of three animals were isolated for RNA or protein individually and later combined to create a representative sample pool and provide sufficient amounts of material for analysis. For gene profiling, the Affymetrix mouse gene chip (GeneChip Mouse Genome 430A 2.0) was used, and analyses were performed in the Genome Core Facility at Los Angeles Children's Hospital. Five individually extracted, mouse liver RNA specimens were pooled for each experimental group for microarray analysis. Data analysis was performed by using Partek Pro 5.1 (Partek Inc.). The

normalization of the array data and statistical analysis were performed as described previously.15-17

## **Proliferation assay**

TICs were initially seeded at  $5x10^4$  cells per well in a 6-well plate. Cell number and viability were measured at day 0, 2, 3, and 4 by the Countess<sup>TM</sup> automated cell counter (Invitrogen) with trypan blue exclusion. All experiments were carried out using three biological replicates and were repeated three times. The data shown represent the means  $\pm$  SD.

## **Wound healing (migration) assay**

Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate and cultured until fully confluent. The confluent cell monolayer was slightly and quickly wounded with a linear scratch made with a sterile 200/100 µl pipette tip. The debris were removed, and the edges of the scratch were levelled with PBS washing. The open gap was inspected and photographed microscopically (10X object, Nikon) at 1 and 24 hours<sup>18</sup>. All experiments were carried out using three biological replicates and were repeated three times. The data shown represents the mean  $\pm$  SD.

## **Soft-agar colony formation assay**

Cells (2.5x10<sup>3</sup>) were seeded in 0.35% agarose in TIC growth medium on a layer of 0.5% agar in the TIC growth medium. Cells were incubated for 10-14 days at 37℃ in a humidified atmosphere containing 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in air. The TIC growth medium  $(0.5 \text{ ml})$  was changed two or three times a week, as needed. At the end of the incubation period, colonies were stained with crystal violet (CV) followed by scanning for colony counts. The CV stain was also read at  $OD_{540}$ . All experiments were carried out using three biological replicates and were repeated three times. The data shown represent the means  $\pm$  SD.

### **Site-directed mutagenesis**

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed as per a PCR-based mutagenesis kit (Quikchange site-directed mutagenesis kit, Stratagene, USA) with Advantage polymerase (Clontech). Consensus NANOG and STAT3 binding sites AATGG and TTCCTATAA have been previously observed *in vitro.19, 20* The TWIST1 plasmid -209/+1, containing putative NANOG binding sites (5'-TAAT(G/T)(G/T)-3' or 5'-[CG][GA][CG]C[GC]ATTAN[GC]-3') and STAT3 binding sites (5- TTC(C/T)N(A/G)GAA-3), were mutated utilizing a forward mutagenic primer and a reverse primer as previously described.<sup>20</sup> The mutated sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Primers used in this analysis are listed in Supplementary Table 5. The data shown represent the means ± SD.

### **Confocal immunofluorescent microscopy**

Immunofluoroscence staining of cryosections or paraffin sections was performed using primary antibodies against NANOG (Rabbit ab80892, Abcam), P-Stat3 (Rabbit #9134, Cell Signaling technology), TLR4 (Mouse monoclonal antibody, SC293072, Santa Cruz), TLR4 (goat sc-8694, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or TWIST1 (Rabbit polyclonal antibody, sc-15393, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) (refer Supplementary Table 3). Specimens were mounted on glass slides according to the manufacturer's recommendations using mounting media which included DAPI for nuclei counterstaining (Vector Laboratories). To determine the specificity of IF, serial sections were similarly processed except primary antibodies were omitted. Images were captured on a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope using sequential acquisition imaging. The degree of staining was categorized by the extent and the intensity of staining. Image analysis of nuclear translocation was performed using Metamorph or ImageJ v3.91 software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). A minimum of 10 high power fields were selected for image analysis. To avoid experimental bias for the staining colocalization of TLR4/NANOG/pSTAT3 with TWIST1, nuclear (DAPI) staining was used to identify fields with near-confluent cells for the purpose of maximizing the cell numbers used for analysis. The selected fields were then evaluated for the expression of TLR4, pSTAT3, NANOG, and TWIST1. Quantitative fluorescence data were exported from ImageJ generated histograms in Microsoft Excel software for further analysis and presentation. The data shown represent the means  $\pm$  SD.

## **Tissue microarray analysis (TMA)**

The HCC TMA was constructed as previously described. $^{21}$  Briefly, archived liver cases were reviewed, and areas containing HCC and benign hepatic parenchyma were marked for sampling. Three cores per HCC and matched benign from the same patient, measuring 0.6 mm in diameter, were obtained from selected regions in each donor paraffin block and transferred to a recipient paraffin block.

## **Spheroid assay**

TICs (50 cells) were seeded onto Ultra low attachment 96-well plates (Corning Inc.), followed by incubation at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for 14 days. 100 µl/well of TIC growth medium was replaced twice a week. The number of colonies was counted under brightfield microscopy, and the proliferation was measured using counting numbers of spheroides and Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) followed by manufacturer's instructions. All experiments were carried out using 24 biological replicates and were repeated three times. The data shown represent the means ± SD.

### **Immunoblotting**

Total cell lysates were prepared by lysing the cells in cold NP-40 buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1.0% NP-40, 10% Glycerol, and 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0) containing complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche) for 1 h on ice, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 15 min and collection of the clarified supernatant. Protein concentrations were determined using the DC protein assay Kit (Bio-Rad), and the supernatant was mixed with 6X Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Thermo). The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk + 0.1% tween-20 for 1 h, followed by incubation with the primary antibodies: TWIST1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), E-CADHERIN (BD Biosciences), N-CADHERIN (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), TLR4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), NANOG (Abcam), pSTAT3 (Cell signaling Technology) and β-ACTIN (sigma) (all at 1:1,000 dilution) at 4°C overnight. Horseradish peroxidase–conjugated IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:2,000) was used to treat the membranes for 1 h at room temperature, and visualized with SuperSignal® West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo). The immunoreactive bands were detected with Premium Clear Blue X-Ray films (Bioland Scientific LLC). Quantification of the bands was performed using ImageJ software. The data shown represent the means  $\pm$  SD. Antibodies used for these studies are listed in Suppl. Table 3.

#### **Promoter luciferase reporter assays**

TICs obtained from NS5A transgenic mice (<10 passages in culture) were cultured in six-well plates and cotransfected using BioT (Bio land Scientific) with 1 µg Twist1 promoter-fused to *Firefly* luciferase reporter and 50 ng (SV40) *Renilla* luciferase expression vector to control for transfection efficiency. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were lysed in 1x passive lysis buffer, and luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Glo Luciferase System (Promega) using a Lumat LB9501 luminometer (Berthold). At least three independent biological replicates were used for this experiment and were performed for at least total of three determination. Plasmids used in this assay are listed in Supplementary Table 5. The data shown represent the means ± SD.

### **Subcutaneous xenograft transplantation of the TICs into immunodeficient mice**

NOG mice were purchased from Taconic and housed under pathogen-free conditions in accordance with approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols. TICs  $(10^5)$  in 100 µl solution were mixed with 100 µl Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and were injected into the dorsal flanks of female NOG mice 8-9 weeks of age. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) cocktail through I.P. during the procedure. The tumor volume was measured with a caliper and calculated according to the formula  $V=[a \times (b^2)]/2$ , where "*V*" represents tumor volume, "a" represents the largest, and "b" the smallest superficial diameter $2$ . The data shown represents the mean ± SD.

### **Live animal imaging**

The tumor bearing mice was monitored using noninvasive imaging by whole-body GFP imaging utilizing the bioluminescence imaging system (IVIS 200 Imaging Series, Xenogen) at day 21 and 35.

### **Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and re-ChIP analysis**

CD133+ liver TICs grown in 10-cm cell culture dishes following LPS and leptin treatment were fixed for 10 min at room temperature by addition of 1% paraformaldehyde to the growth medium. Cells were washed twice in cold PBS supplemented with complete protease inhibitor mixture and gently scraped from the plate. Cell lysis and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) were performed using the ChIP Assay Kit (Millipore). For chromatin fragmentation, cells were sonicated using a Branson Sonifier 450 on power setting 4 in 30-s bursts with 1 min cooling on ice for a total sonication time of 4 min. For immunoprecipitations, 8 µg of each antibody was used. Anti-Nanog (Abcam) and Anti Stat3 (Cell signaling technology) monoclonal antibody were used for immunoprecipitation. Preimmune IgG was used as the antibody specificity control. Immunoprecipitated DNA was quantified for *Twist1* promoters using q-PCR primers which are listed in Supplementary Table 6. The Re-ChIP or Sequential ChIP analysis was performed according to the manufacture's protocol (Active Motif Re-ChIP IT®), whereas all the initial sample preparation where the same as explained above. The data shown represent the means  $±$  SD.

### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was estimated by un-paired, two-tailed Student's *t* test. P values are indicated in the figures. Bars represent the mean and error bars the SD. For most of the figures,

statistical significance is represented by asterisks above each column: \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.005, \*\*\*P<0.001 and \*\*\*\*P<0.0001. Some figures have been represented with pound sign or ampersand, details of which are given in the respective figure legends. For Figure 7 B, statistical significance was calculated using two-way ANOVA method. In this specific analysis the time point used was where all mice were still alive, before any required euthanasia.

## **References**

- 1. Machida K, Tsukamoto H, Mkrtchyan H, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 mediates synergism between alcohol and HCV in hepatic oncogenesis involving stem cell marker Nanog. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:1548-53.
- 2. Torres J, Watt FM. Nanog maintains pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells by inhibiting NFkappaB and cooperating with Stat3. Nat Cell Biol 2008;10:194-201.
- 3. Yang MH, Hsu DS, Wang HW, et al. Bmi1 is essential in Twist1-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition. Nat Cell Biol 2010;12:982-92.
- 4. Chen CL, Tsukamoto H, Liu JC, et al. Reciprocal regulation by TLR4 and TGF-beta in tumor-initiating stem-like cells. J Clin Invest 2013;123:2832-49.
- 5. McLemore ML, Grewal S, Liu F, et al. STAT-3 activation is required for normal G-CSFdependent proliferation and granulocytic differentiation. Immunity 2001;14:193-204.
- 6. Saitoh S, Akashi S, Yamada T, et al. Lipid A antagonist, lipid IVa, is distinct from lipid A in interaction with Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-MD-2 and ligand-induced TLR4 oligomerization. Int Immunol 2004;16:961-9.
- 7. Ohkuma M, Funato N, Higashihori N, et al. Unique CCT repeats mediate transcription of the TWIST1 gene in mesenchymal cell lines. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2007;352:925-31.
- 8. Mathurin P, Deng QG, Keshavarzian A, et al. Exacerbation of alcoholic liver injury by enteral endotoxin in rats. Hepatology 2000;32:1008-17.
- 9. Marko-Varga G, Berglund M, Malmstrom J, et al. Targeting hepatocytes from liver tissue by laser capture microdissection and proteomics expression profiling. Electrophoresis 2003;24:3800-5.
- 10. Michel C, Desdouets C, Sacre-Salem B, et al. Liver gene expression profiles of rats treated with clofibric acid: comparison of whole liver and laser capture microdissected liver. Am J Pathol 2003;163:2191-9.
- 11. Espina V, Milia J, Wu G, et al. Laser capture microdissection. Methods Mol Biol 2006;319:213-29.
- 12. Wulfkuhle JD, Sgroi DC, Krutzsch H, et al. Proteomics of human breast ductal carcinoma in situ. Cancer Res 2002;62:6740-9.
- 13. Wulfkuhle JD, Liotta LA, Petricoin EF. Proteomic applications for the early detection of cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:267-75.
- 14. Espina V, Mehta AI, Winters ME, et al. Protein microarrays: molecular profiling technologies for clinical specimens. Proteomics 2003;3:2091-100.
- 15. Storey JD, Tibshirani R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:9440-5.
- 16. Sitkiewicz I, Musser JM. Expression microarray and mouse virulence analysis of four conserved two-component gene regulatory systems in group a streptococcus. Infect Immun 2006;74:1339-51.
- 17. Graham MR, Virtaneva K, Porcella SF, et al. Group A Streptococcus transcriptome dynamics during growth in human blood reveals bacterial adaptive and survival strategies. Am J Pathol 2005;166:455-65.
- 18. Rodriguez LG, Wu X, Guan JL. Wound-healing assay. Methods Mol Biol 2005;294:23-9.
- 19. Jauch R, Ng CK, Saikatendu KS, et al. Crystal structure and DNA binding of the homeodomain of the stem cell transcription factor Nanog. J Mol Biol 2008;376:758-70.
- 20. Cheng GZ, Zhang WZ, Sun M, et al. Twist is transcriptionally induced by activation of STAT3 and mediates STAT3 oncogenic function. J Biol Chem 2008;283:14665-73.
- 21. Huang J, Yao JL, Zhang L, et al. Differential expression of interleukin-8 and its receptors in the neuroendocrine and non-neuroendocrine compartments of prostate cancer. Am J Pathol 2005;166:1807-15.
- 22. Carlsson G, Gullberg B, Hafstrom L. Estimation of liver tumor volume using different formulas - an experimental study in rats. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 1983;105:20-3.