10.1148/radiol.2015150577

## Appendix E1

Table E1 shows the CT numbers (in Hounsfield units) measured on the CT images. For each sample, tube potential, and copper x-ray filter thickness, the mean is the mean of the CT number (in Hounsfield units) measured in the region of interest on the 16 images evaluated for each element (64 images for water and NaCl), and the standard deviation was calculated for the region of interest means between the 16 or 64 images.

In Table E1, the mean values of image contrast for the seven candidate elements ranged from 172 to 530 HU and averaged 293 HU. The standard deviations were typically approximately 10 HU and ranged from 2 to 22 HU. This means that after averaging the measured image contrast from 16 images, the uncertainties in the mean are on the order of a few Hounsfield units, or approximately less than 1% to 2% of the mean. Therefore, we did not perform detailed statistical analysis to assign error bars to each data point; instead, we considered any difference in the final results that exceeded 20 HU or 5% (corresponding to approximately 2 standard deviations) to be statistically significant.

With no added copper filter, the water values are 1–2 HU; therefore, the PMMA phantom has little effect on the water calibration. However, with added copper filters, the water values range from 11 to 93 HU, with the greatest effect occurring at lower tube potentials and with thicker filters. Therefore, correction for errors in the water values is clearly necessary. The mean water values for each tube potential and filter thickness combination in Table E1 were used to correct (by means of subtraction) each of the other measured values for that tube potential and filter combination. Note that the mean values for water were determined by averaging four sets of 16 mages, so the precision of the water value is the square root of 4 or two times more precise than the value that it's being used to correct. Therefore, the precision of the result is only compromised by a factor of the square root of  $[1^2 + (1/2)^2]$  or 1.11 of the precision of the uncorrected result, which is in the range of 1% to 2%, so the precision of the corrected result is only degraded by approximately 0.1%.

Table E2 shows the corrections that we made for material concentration on the basis of measured concentrations. These were determined by multiplying the factors shown in Table 1 (of the primary article) by the raw data shown in Table E1, after correcting for water calibration. The values shown in Table E2 were then added to the water-corrected values from Table E1.

Table E3 shows the corrections that we made for presence of chlorine on the basis of our Hounsfield unit measurements of NaCl solutions and our calculation of chlorine concentration in the compounds that contained them. Because these corrections are approximately equal to our 20-HU limit of statistical significance and are a small fraction of the values we are correcting, and because the attenuation of sodium is a small fraction of the attenuation of chlorine, we did not "correct our correction" for the presence of sodium in our correction material, NaCl. Note that the mean values for NaCl were determined by averaging four sets of 16 images, so the precision of the NaCl value is the square root of 4 or two times more precise than the value that it's being used to correct. Therefore, the precision of the result is only compromised by a factor of the square root of  $[1^2 + (1/2)^2]$  or 1.11 of the precision of the uncorrected result, which is in

the range of 1%-2%, so the precision of the corrected result is only degraded by approximately 0.1%.

Table E1. Raw Data

Copper X- ray Filter Thickness (mm)	Atomic No.	Material	Measured Values at Peak X-ray Tube Energy (HU)								
			80 kVp		10	100 kVp		120 kVp		140 kVp	
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
0.0	8	Water	1	8	2	3	2	3	1	2	
0.0	15	NaCl (6 mg/mL)	14	7	14	4	13	3	10	2	
0.0	53	lodine	367	9	273	4	218	3	179	3	
0.0	56	Barium	360	7	273	4	218	3	180	3	
0.0	64	Gadolinium	450	7	385	4	326	2	279	3	
0.0	70	Ytterbium	342	10	342	3	309	4	276	3	
0.0	73	Tantalum	269	10	304	4	285	3	258	4	
0.0	79	Gold	222	8	228	5	237	3	227	3	
0.0	83	Bismuth	282	6	232	5	248	4	246	3	
0.2	8	Water	21	15	18	9	15	10	11	10	
0.2	15	NaCl (25 mg/mL)	70	11	57	10	49	10	43	10	
0.2	53	Iodine	365	11	271	10	214	11	176	11	
0.2	56	Barium	358	17	270	8	216	8	177	10	
0.2	64	Gadolinium	474	17	392	11	325	9	277	11	
0.2	70	Ytterbium	374	14	357	12	317	9	280	11	
0.2	73	Tantalum	293	11	320	9	299	8	266	10	
0.2	79	Gold	227	12	237	8	245	7	233	7	
0.2	83	Bismuth	286	16	235	10	254	9	253	10	
0.5	8	Water	51	13	38	13	33	12	26	14	
0.5	15	NaCl (25 mg/mL)	96	14	76	10	63	11	55	12	
0.5	53	Iodine	367	13	272	10	216	10	175	10	
0.5	56	Barium	361	14	271	11	213	11	174	11	
0.5	64	Gadolinium	497	11	399	9	323	9	271	10	
0.5	70	Ytterbium	405	11	380	11	329	10	285	10	
0.5	73	Tantalum	320	11	346	11	314	9	275	11	
0.5	79	Gold	237	12	255	11	264	10	246	12	
0.5	83	Bismuth	291	11	244	11	267	10	263	10	
1.0	8	Water	93	17	70	11	54	10	44	11	
1.0	15	NaCl (25 mg/mL)	134	17	103	12	83	12	70	12	
1.0	53	lodine	379	19	276	12	213	12	172	13	
1.0	56	Barium	381	13	277	10	214	12	172	10	

1.0	64	Gadolinium	530	16	404	12	320	12	262	13
1.0	70	Ytterbium	467	17	408	12	341	10	289	12
1.0	73	Tantalum	375	20	384	12	332	12	284	14
1.0	83	Bismuth	313	22	261	10	286	11	278	11

Note.—SD = standard deviation.

Table E2. Corrections (Additive) Required to Correct for Measured versus Nominal Elemental Concentrations

Copper X- ray Filter	Atomic No.	Material	Correction at Peak X-ray Tube Energy (HU)					
Thickness (mm)			80 kVp	100 kVp	120 kVp	140 kVp		
0.0	53	Iodine	-33	-25	-20	-16		
0.0	56	Barium	95	72	57	47		
0.0	64	Gadolinium	29	24	21	18		
0.0	70	Ytterbium	11	11	9	9		
0.0	73	Tantalum	2	3	3	2		
0.0	79	Gold	9	9	10	9		
0.0	83	Bismuth	15	12	13	13		
0.2	53	lodine	-31	-23	-18	-15		
0.2	56	Barium	90	67	53	44		
0.2	64	Gadolinium	29	24	20	17		
0.2	70	Ytterbium	11	11	9	8		
0.2	73	Tantalum	2	3	3	2		
0.2	79	Gold	9	9	10	9		
0.2	83	Bismuth	14	11	13	13		
0.5	53	Iodine	-29	-21	-17	-14		
0.5	56	Barium	82	62	48	39		
0.5	64	Gadolinium	28	23	19	16		
0.5	70	Ytterbium	11	11	9	8		
0.5	73	Tantalum	2	3	3	2		
0.5	79	Gold	8	9	10	9		
0.5	83	Bismuth	13	11	12	12		
1.0	53	Iodine	-26	-19	-14	-12		
1.0	56	Barium	77	55	43	34		
1.0	64	Gadolinium	28	21	17	14		
1.0	70	Ytterbium	12	10	9	8		
1.0	73	Tantalum	3	3	3	2		
1.0	83	Bismuth	12	10	12	12		

Table E3. Corrections (Subtractive) Required to Correct for Chlorine Content in Formulations for Gadolinium, Ytterbium, and Gold

Copper X-ray Filter	Atomic No.	Material	Correction at Peak X-ray Tube Energy (HU)				
Thickness (mm)			80 kVp	100 kVp	120 kVp	140 kVp	
0.0	64	Gadolinium	24	22	19	17	
0.0	70	Ytterbium	22	20	17	16	
0.0	79	Gold	19	18	15	14	
0.2	64	Gadolinium	22	18	15	15	
0.2	70	Ytterbium	20	16	14	13	
0.2	79	Gold	17	14	12	12	
0.5	64	Gadolinium	20	17	14	13	
0.5	70	Ytterbium	18	15	12	12	
0.5	79	Gold	16	13	11	10	
1.0	64	Gadolinium	18	15	13	12	
1.0	70	Ytterbium	16	13	12	11	