Supplementary file 2

Table 3: Comparing child age group with UPED questionnaire variables (test statistics reported for the Kruskall-Wallis Test or ANOVA and appropriate post hoc analyses as outlined under 'data analysis')

	Comparing child age			Age groups with significant
	group with UPED			differences (mean, SD) or
	questionnaire variables:			(median, IR):
Variables:	Test	d	р	For all variables a lower
	statistic	f		score (mean/median)
				indicated a more 'negative'
				response e.g. greater
				perceived seriousness
Perceived	F=4.003	4	0.003	<1 year (4.41,1.62) vs.
seriousness				1-4 (5.66,1.90) (p=0.036)
				5-9 (6.06,1.86) (p=0.003)
				10-14 (5.99,1.81) (p=0.003)
Level of worry	X ² =18.175	4	0.001	< 1 year (6,7) vs.
				10-14 (8,5) (p=0.001)
				15-18 (8,4) (p=0.033)
				1-4 vs. 10-14 (p=0.009)
				5-9 (6,4) vs. 10-14 (p=0.002)
Level of stress	X ² =35.082	4	p <u><</u> 0.0005	<1 year (7,3) vs.
				1-4 (8,5) (p=0.035)
				5-9 (9,5) (p=0.028)

				10-14 (10,2) (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				15-18 (10,3) (p=0.001)
				1-4 vs. 10-14 (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				5-9 vs. 10-14 (p=0.001)
Perceived	X ² =23.833	4	p <u><</u> 0.0005	<1 year (6,6) vs.
helplessness				5-9 (10,3) (p=0.033)
				10-14 (10,2) (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				15-18 (10,1) (p=0.007)
				1-4 (9,3) vs.10-14 (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				5-9 vs. 10-14 (p=0.014)
Level of upset	X ² =32.650	4	p <u><</u> 0.0005	<1 year (6,5) vs.
				10-14 (10,3) (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				15-18 (8,4) (p=0.011)
				1-4 (7,5) vs. 10-14
				(p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				5-9 (7,4) vs. 10-14
				(p <u><</u> 0.0005)
Level of	X ² =19.876	4	0.001	<1 year (8,5) (lower levels of
confidence in				confidence) vs.
looking after the				1-4 (10,2) (p=0.007)
child with				5-9 (10,2) (p=0.012)
illness/injury				10-14 (10,0) (p <u><</u> 0.0005)
				15-18 (10,2) (p=0.010)
				1-4 vs. 10-14 (p=0.014)
				5-9 vs. 10-14 (p=0.031)

levels of confidence) vs.
1-4 (9,2) (p=0.045)
5-9 (10,2) (p=0.030)
10-14 (10,2) (p=0.001)
15-18 (10,2) (p=0.014)
1-4 vs. 10-14 (p=0.047)