Appendix 2: Negative comments in the academic reference [posted as supplied by author]

The list below was provided to the three experienced admissions staff at Nottingham who reviewed the academic references, They were asked to highlight any comments that they found, then to grade them as likely to have a potentially minor, moderate or major effect on the student's progress on the course. This checklist was used in our previous work on Struggling students ¹¹ and the unpublished pilot for this study.

Negative comments in Academic references

The following categories of negative comments have been identified, with some examples given of the type of comment noted.

Please view this only as a general guide and use your own discretion & experience.

Academic aspects

insufficient work lack of self-discipline

slow to grasp new concepts careless

takes short cuts lacking in accuracy lack of independent thought conceptual difficulties

lack of focus unwilling to take academic risks

excess social activities poor motivation

finds A level work demanding/challenging disorganized, deadlines not met

language problems

Personality - introversion

reserved during lessons lacking in confidence

self-effacing not confident in novel situations

impatient

reticent passive / unresponsive

anxious / nervous shy

reluctant to participate in class discussions prefers to work alone

self-conscious unduly quiet

Personality - unsuitable attitude

intolerant, "does not suffer fools gladly" diffident brusque aloof

arrogant casual laconic truculent forceful, over-assertive over-confident self-opinionated laid-back

ruthless, over-ambitious

Medical or social problems

affected by family anxieties / troubles (eg divorce, bereavement) difficulties adjusting to cultural change / new environment

difficulties adjusting to cultural change / new environmen dyslexia

eating disorder

ME

"concerns over health" recurrent illness

Late decision to read Medicine

little or no work experience

The highlighted comments and codings were added to a database, and then a final rating given based on either the 'majority view' (if at least two of the reviewers gave the same rating) or the average of the three if they were all different. A final rating of 2 (moderate) or 3 (major) was then coded as a negative reference, whereas 0 (none) or 1 (minor) was not.

1