Referen	Populati	Media	Study	Intervention,	Duration,	Time for
ce and	on,	n age	design,	mode and	frequency,	measurements and
country	sample	(range)	focus and	setting	length and	main results
	size and		time of		adherence	
	attrition		enrollment			
Hassler	HGG	50	Clinical	Neuro-	1½ hour/1	Pre- and post
et al.,	(n=11)	years	controlled	cognitive	day per	measurements
2010 <sup>22</sup>		(range	pilot trial,	group	week for	
	Attrition	23-73)	single arm,	training	10 weeks	Verbal Memory Total
Austria	0%		no control			Learning (HVLT total
			group	Group +	100%	learning) $\uparrow$ ( <i>P</i> =0.04)
				supervised	adherence	Verbal Memory
			Investigates		and	Delayed Recall ( HVLT
			the outcome	Setting:	satisfaction	recall) $\rightarrow$ ( <i>P</i> =0.11)
			on cognitive	outpatient		Psychomotor speed
			function	clinic		$(TMT-A) \rightarrow (P=0.22)$
						Sustained attention
			Enrollment			(TMT-B) $\rightarrow$ ( <i>P</i> =0.17)
			10-42			Verbal fluency
			months			$(COWAT) \rightarrow (P = 0.29)$
			(median 15)			
			after			
			diagnosis			
Pace et.	BT	60	Clinical	Physical	1 hour/ 3	Pre- and post

al. 2007	(121);	years	controlled	training	days per	measurements
23	62 with	(range	pilot trial,		week for	
	HGG,	14-79)	single arm,	Individual +	12 weeks	BI: An increased
Italy	27 with		no control	supervised		tendency in 39%, a
	AA, 12		group		Adherence	stable tendency in
	with			Setting:	not	16%, and decreased
	Oligode			home	reported	tendency in 44% (no P
	n-		Explores the			value)
	droglio		functional			A tendency for
	ma and		outcome			increased KPS in 24%
	mixed		and impact			(no <i>P</i> value)
	BT, 20		on QOL			
	with					Among a selected
	other		Enrollment:			group of clinical
	BT.		82 after			improved patients:
			diagnosis,			Median BI↑ ( <i>P</i> =0.001)
	Attrition		39 after			and Median KPS $\uparrow$ (P=
	not		recurrence			0.001)
	reported					
						No differences in
						functional gain
						between the
						histological subgroup
						(no <i>P</i> value)

Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							specified)
Geler- Kulcu et al. 2008BTBTClinical controlled and presented trainingPhysical training1 hour/5 days per measurementsPre- and post measurements							
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							72% had an
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for					1		improvement in at
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							least one domain
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							scores compared with
Geler-BTBTClinicalPhysical1 hour/5Pre- and postKulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							their baseline QOL
Kulcu et(n=21);group:controlledtrainingdays permeasurementsal. 20089 with52.5and+week for							score (n=54)
al. 2008 9 with 52.5 and + week for	Geler-	BT	BT	Clinical	Physical	1 hour/5	Pre- and post
	Kulcu et	(n=21);	group:	controlled	training	days per	measurements
24 HGG (range comparative Occupation 5.7-18.7 Both the BT group and	al. 2008	9 with	52.5	and	+	week for	
	24	HGG	(range	comparative	Occupation	5.7- 18.7	Both the BT group and
Stroke 36.5- trial, single al therapy weeks the stroke group		Stroke	36.5-	trial, single	al therapy	weeks	the stroke group
Turkey(n=21)68.5)arm, twoimproved significantly	Turkey	(n=21)	68.5)	arm, two			improved significantly:
groups Individual + Mean				groups	Individual +	Mean	
Stroke matched supervised number of MAS $\uparrow$ (P=0.025/ P =			Stroke	matched	supervised	number of	MAS ↑ ( <i>P</i> =0.025/ <i>P</i> =
group: according to sessions: 0.002) between group			group:	according to		sessions:	0.002) between groups
Attrition56.7lesion sideSetting:BT group(P=0.718)		Attrition	56.7	lesion side	Setting:	BT group	( <i>P</i> =0.718)
0%(rangehospital $11.5 \pm 5.8$ PASS $\uparrow$ (P=0.002/		0%	(range		hospital	11.5± 5.8	PASS ↑ ( <i>P</i> =0.002/
45.7- Examines Stroke <i>P</i> =0.002) between			45.7-	Examines		Stroke	<i>P</i> =0.002) between
67.7) the group groups ( <i>P</i> =0.817)			67.7)	the		group	groups ( <i>P</i> =0.817)
functional 12.3±6.4 BBS ↑ ( <i>P</i> =0.011/				functional		12.3±6.4	BBS ↑ <i>(P</i> =0.011/

			outcomes			<i>P</i> =0.109) between
					100%	groups ( <i>P</i> =0.109)
			Enrollment		adherence	FIM mobility ↑
			at time of			( <i>P</i> =0.043/ <i>P</i> =0.007)
			diagnosis			between groups
						( <i>P</i> =0.660)
						There was no
						statistically significant
						difference between the
						two groups in any of
						the four outcome
						measures.
Bartolo	BT	ВТ	A case-	Individual +	1 hour/ 6	Pre- and post
et al.	(n=75);	group:	controlled	supervised	days a	measurements
2012 <sup>25</sup>	43 with	63.5	and		week for 4	
	HGG,	(range	comparative	Physical	weeks	FIM, Sitting Balance,
Italy	32 with	50.3-	trial, single	training +		Standing balance,
	meningi	76.7)	arm, two	speech	100%	Hauser Index and
	oma		groups	therapy	adherence	MGHFAC ↑ for both
	Stroke	HGG	matched			groups ( <i>P</i> <0.001)
	(n=75)	group:	one-to-one,	Setting:		
		62	for age, sex,	Hospital		Patients with
	Attrition	(range	and side of			meningioma achieved

	0%	48.1-	lesion			better results in
		75.9)				regards to
						independence in
		Stroke	Tests the			activities of daily living
		group:	functional			(P=0.02) and mobility
		65.7	outcomes			(P=0.04) compared
		(range				with HGG or stroke.
		55.1-	Enrollment			
		76.3)	after			
			surgery			
Sardell	BT	50	A	Individual +	1	Unscheduled clinic
et al.,	(N=45);	years	quantitative	supervised	telephone	visits and BI: pre and
2000 <sup>26</sup>	43 with	(range	pilot	Psycho-	consultatio	post measurements
UK	HGG,1	23-69)	evaluation	social and	n/month	Satisfaction
	with		study	supportive	for 3	questionnaire
	PNET,1		Measures	intervention	months +	completed at the forth
	with OA		the numbers	with	face-to-	month or later (n=22)
	Attrition:		of	telephone	face	Unscheduled clinic
	unclear		unschedule	follow-up in	consultatio	visits= 41. Thirty-one
			d outpatient	a nurse-led	n in the	were due to disease
			visits, BI,	telephone	clinic on	progression and were
			and patient	follow-up	the fourth	usually initiated by the
			satisfaction	clinic.	month.	nurse after a NTF call.
					Patients	

(n=22)	Setting:	could	The other 10 visits
	Combined	choose to	were due to concern
Enrollment 16 months	home and	continue	regarding symptoms.
after	outpatient	with NTF	The number of
diagnosis		hereafter	unscheduled clinic
and generation		Adherence	visits would not have
		: 80% after	been avoided with
		4 month,	CCF carried out at the
		45% at 8	same time intervals.
		months,	BI at baseline: 43
		34% at 1	patients reported
		year.	normal or near normal
			function. On
			subsequent follow-up
			(n=11) and time not
			specified – 5 patients
			had an improvement,
			six had a reduction,
			one patient was not
			assessed (no <i>P</i> -value)
			Patient satisfaction:
			81% strongly agree
			and 19% agree that

						the follow up allows
						the patient to get on
						with their life and make
						better use of their time.
						Median patient
						satisfaction score of 9
						on a visual analogue
						scale of 0-10 (range
						3.6-10)
Spetz et	HGG	Patient	A qualitative	Individual +	Ongoing	Field notes and
al.,	(n=16)	s: 50.8	descriptive	supervised	telephone	conversations were
2005 <sup>27</sup>	Caregiv	(range	intervention		contact	written during the
	ers	from	study	Psycho-	with a SN	entire course of the
Sweden	(n=16);	21-71)		social and	during the	disease. Interviews
	10		Explores the	supportive	entire	with patients and their
	spouses	Caregi	patients'	intervention	course.	families every third
		vers:	and their	with a SN		month. The spouses
		not	families'	function		were interviewed after
	Attrition:	reporte	perspective		100%	the patient's death
	0%	d	on the	Setting:	adherence	
			function,	Combined		Four relationships
			relationship	home and		between the family and
			s and their	outpatient		the SN were identified:
			use of a SN			1) a resource for the

			function			family 2) a parallel
						resource within the
			Enrollment			family 3) a resource for
			at time of			the caregiver 4) a
			diagnosis			resource for the patient
Spetz et	HGG	Same	A qualitative	Same study	Same	Field notes and
al.,	patients	study	descriptive	as	study as	conversations were
2008 <sup>28</sup>	(n=16)	as	intervention	described in	described	written during the
Sweden	Caregiv	describ	study	Spetz, 2005	in Spetz,	entire course of the
Sweden	ers	ed in	Explores	26	2005 <sup>26</sup>	disease. Interviews
	(n=16)	Spetz,	how the			with patients and their
		2005				families every third
	Attrition:	26	caregivers utilized the			month. The spouses
	0%		SN function			were interviewed after
			and the			the patient's death
	Attrition					
	from the		relationship between the			Mean number of total
	last		SN and the			contacts= 32 (range 1-
	intervie					115)
	w:		caregivers			
	12.5%		Enrollment			Three main categories
			at time of			of subjects discussed
			diagnosis			with the SN were: 1)
						Subjects related to the

						sick partner's medical
						health and treatment
						2) Subjects related to
						needs and desires
						concerning one-self 3)
						Subjects related to the
						relationship with the
						SN
						Close relationships
						Close relationships
						between the SN and
						the caregivers were
						identified as reducing
						the caregivers' feeling
						of being left alone with
						the responsibility, and
						assisted adequate
						decisions
Boele et	IG:	IG:	A cross-	Individual+	IG: 1 hour/	Four questionnaires at
al.,	caregive	50.77	sectional	supervised	1 day per	baseline and every 2
2011 <sup>29</sup>	rs	(range	randomized		week for 6	months, five times in
	(n=31)	39.3-	controlled		weeks	total
	CG:	62.2)	intervention	Psycho-		
The	caregive		trial	social and	CG: Usual	Patients increased

Netherla	rs	CG:		educational	care e.g.	uncertainty concerning
nds	(n=25)	50.56	Investigates	intervention	interaction	the future and
		(range	the outcome		s with	increments in
		40.2 -	on QOL and	Setting:	specialists	communication deficits
	Attrition:	60.92)	feeling of	Hospital		were negatively
	IG:		mastery of		Adherence	associated with mental
	51.6%		caregivers		:	functioning of the
	CG:				IG:48.4%	caregiver ( <i>P</i> =0.004
	32%				CG:68%	and <i>P</i> =0.043,
			Enrollment			respectively)
			≥12 months			
			from			Caregiver mastery was
			diagnosis			positively related to
			(n=24) ≤12			patient's visual
			months from			disorders ( <i>P</i> =0.031) +
			diagnosis			communication deficits
			(n=32)			( <i>P</i> =0.005)
						Caregiver mastery
						delta scores
						(assessment at test
						time 5 minus baseline
						assessment) differed
						significantly between
			1	l		

					the two groups
					( <i>P</i> =0.008) showing that
					the IG's feelings of
					mastery increased
					( <i>P</i> =0.021)
HGG	Not	A	Individual+	45	Measurements at
(n=26)	reporte	prospective,	supervised	minutes/2	baseline, after week
with	d	single-arm		days per	1,2,3, and 4 and 1
KPS of		intervention		week for 4	week after last
<u>&gt;</u> 70.		pilot study,	Massage	weeks	massage session
		no control	therapy		(week 5)
Attrition:		group		Adherence	Levels of stress $\downarrow$
one				:96.2%	between week 2 and 3
patient		Assesses	Setting: A		( <i>P</i> =0.010)
dropped		the patient	outpatient		A tendency for
out		reported	clinic related		reduction in stress
		psychologic	to the		continued throughout
		al	Hospital		week 4 ( <i>P</i> =0.063)
		outcomes,			FACT-Br at week 4:
		level of			Emotional well-being ↑
		stress and			( <i>P</i> ≤0.001)
		QOL			Additional BT concerns
					↑ ( <i>P</i> ≤0.013)
		Enrollment			Social/family well-
	(n=26) with KPS of ≥ 70. Attrition: one patient dropped	(n=26)reportewithdKPS of/≥ 70./Attrition:/one/patient/dropped/	(n=26)reporteprospective,withdsingle-armKPS ofI dervention≥ 70.J alspilot study,1I andgroupAttrition:J aroupgrouponeAssessesfilot andpatientI andfilot andoutI andgroupoutI andfilot andoutI andfilot andoutI andfilot andoutI andfilot andI andfilot andfilot	(n=26)reporteprospective,supervisedwithdsingle-armKPS ofinterventionintervention≥ 70.Jalepilot study,Massagea 70.JalegrouptherapyAttrition:JaneJaletherapyoneJaleAssessesSetting: AontoJalethe patientoutpatientoutJalepisychologicto theoutJalejaleJaleoutJaleJaleJaleoutJale	(n=26)reporteprospective,supervisedminutes/2withdsingle-armdays perKPS ofinterventionweek for 4≥ 70.Jilot study,Massageweeksa ro controlno controltherapyAdherenceonegroupSetting: AsecspatientKasessesSetting: Asecsoutreportedcontrolcontrolgroupine patientcontrolsecsoutgroupcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientcontrolsecsoutine patientine patientsecsoutine patientine patientsecsoutine patientine patientsecsoutine patientine patientsecsine patientine p

after	being ↑ ( <i>P</i> ≤0.024)
diagnosis	A trend for
	improvement in
	physical well-being
	(P≤0.078)
	At the end of week 4,
	PSS-10 scores of all
	participants were
	below the stress
	threshold

Abbreviations: HGG, Patients diagnosed with a high-grade glioma; KPS, Karnofsky Performance Score; AA, Anaplastic Astrocytoma; BT, Brain tumor; TMT-B, Trail Making Test B; TMT-A, Trail Making Test A; COWAT, Controlled Oral Word Association Test; BI, Barthel Index used to measure Activities of Daily Living; MAS, Motor Assessment Scale; PASS, Postural Assessment Scale for Stroke; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; FIM, Functional Impairment Measurement; MGHFAC, Massachusetts General Hospital Functional Ambulation Classification; PNET, Primitive neuroectodermal tumor; OA, Oligoastrocytoma; SN, Specialist Nurse; NTF, Nurse-led Telephone Follow-up; CCF, Conventional Clinic Follow-up;PSS-10, Perceived Stress Scale; FACT-Br, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Brain; IG, Intervention group; CG, Control group; QOL, Quality of life; →, No change; ↑, Significant increased change; ↓, Significant decreased change.