Supplementary Table A. Quality assessments\* on prospective cohort studies on rice consumption in relation to diabetes risk.

Reference	1. Are the comparison groups from the same source population?	2. Is loss to follow-up rate <20%?	3. Are rice consumption levels measured by using FFQs?	4. Is there a validation study for assessing the validity of rice intake measurements by FFQs?	5. Are diet records used as the reference method in the validation study?	6. Is correlation coefficient ≥ 0.5 between the FFQ and the reference method in the validation study?	7. Are rice consumption assessments updated during follow-up?	8. Are self-reported diabetes cases confirmed by medical records, physicians, or validated questionnaires?
Hodge et al. 2004	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Villegas et al. 2007	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nanri et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Nanri et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
% Studies scoring "Yes"	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	71.4%	85.7%	57.1%	85.7%

<sup>\*1 = &</sup>quot;Yes", 0 = "No", "Unable to determine", or "Not applicable".

Supplementary Table A. Quality assessments\* on prospective cohort studies on rice consumption in relation to diabetes risk (cont).

Reference	9. Are	10. Is an	11. Is the	12. Is Cox	13. Are age,	14. Are	15. Are	Overall
	prevalent	accurate	total	regression	sex, body mass	dietary	gender-	quality
	diabetes	date of	follow-up	used to model	index, and	factors (other	specific	score
	cases	diagnosis	duration	associations	lifestyle	than rice)	results	
	excluded at	available	≥5 years?	between rice	practices	controlled for	available?	
	baseline?	for		consumption	controlled for in	in the		
		diabetes		and diabetes	the statistical	statistical		
		cases?		risk?	analysis?	analysis?		
Hodge et al. 2004	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	7
Villegas et al. 2007	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	12
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
Sun et al. 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
Nanri et al. 2010	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	12
Nanri et al. 2010	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	12
% Studies scoring "Yes"	100.0%	71.4%	85.7%	57.1%	100.0%	71.4%	85.7%	

<sup>\*1 = &</sup>quot;Yes", 0 = "No", "Unable to determine", or "Not applicable"