

Appendix 2 Risk of Bias of Included Studies [posted as supplied by author]

Name	Randomisation Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants or Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessment	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Reporting	Other Bias
1 Adhikary, L	Unclear. Reported only as assigned to two groups	Unclear. No method of allocation concealment	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear. 90 participants included in outcome analysis but number randomised not reported	Unclear. Primary and secondary end-points not reported in methodology	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, differences in baseline Hb between groups
2 Agarwal, R	Low. Computer-generated randomisation schedule	Low. Central randomisation	High. Open-label study.	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear. 89 participants randomised, 75 included in intention-to-treat analysis	Low. Data provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention to treat analysis, good baseline balance, administration of ESA prohibited
3 Aggarwal, HK	High. Patients only described as divided into two groups	High. Patients only described as divided into two groups	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Follow up was complete	Low. Data provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. All patients given stable dose of ESA, good baseline balance but whether analysis was intention to treat not reported
4 Al, RA	Low. Use of a computer-generated randomisation table	Low. Use of consecutively numbered opaque envelopes	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Follow up was complete	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention to treat analysis, potential effect of prior use of oral iron explored
5 Al-Momen, AK	High. Sequential selection	High. Sequential selection	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear. Participant numbers differed by 7 in the two groups despite sequential selection	Unclear. List of prespecified outcomes not reported	Unclear. Co-interventions and whether analysis was intention to treat not described.
6 Allen, RP	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	Low. Study staff and participants blinded	Unclear. Described as independently evaluated	Low. Outcome data not available on only 3 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis was intention to treat but co-interventions not described.
7 Anker, SD	Low. Computer-generated permuted block randomisation	Low. Central randomisation	Low. Study staff and participants blinded	Low. Outcome assessment blinded	Low. withdrawal of 37 participants from a total of 459 randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention to treat and co-interventions described
8 Auerbach, M	Unclear.	Unclear.	High. Open-	Unclear. No	Low. Outcome data	Low. Data was	High. Enrolment

9 Auerbach, M	Randomisation sequence generation not described Low. Randomisation list created and maintained by an independent group	Allocation concealment not described Low. Allocation by central telephone system	label study High. Open-label study	reporting of blinding of outcome assessment Unclear. Study blinded for ESA whilst ongoing but unblinded after all participants completed the study	available on 155 of 157 participants randomised Low. Outcome data available for 238 out of 243 participants randomised	provided on all prespecified outcomes Low. Prespecified outcome measures reported	ceased before target enrolment reached due to slow recruitment Unclear. Use of oral iron acceptable but not protocolised in non-IV iron group, no interaction detected between ESA and iron but power low
10 Bastit, L	Low. Randomisation sequence stratified by site	Low. Allocation concealed using an interactive voice response system	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Safety data analysed on all patients randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. All participants received fixed dose ESA, intention to treat analysis provided
11 Bayoumeu, F	Low. Randomisation table used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available on 47 of 50 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention to treat, co-interventions described
12 Beck-Da-Silva, L	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	Low. Participants and study personnel blinded to allocation	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. Primary outcome available for 18 out of 23 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	High. Study terminated early with <30% of planned sample size recruited
13 Benacaiova, G	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence	Low. Consecutively numbered opaque envelopes	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. Outcome data not available for 31 of 260 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention to treat, co-interventions described
14 Bhandal, N	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence.	Low. Consecutively numbered opaque	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome	Low. Outcome data available in 43 out of 44 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis was intention-to-treat but co-interventions were not described

15 Birregard, G	Low. Minimisation method used	envelopes Low. Centralised randomisation via web-based system	High. Open-label study	assessment Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available in 112 out of 120 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
16 Breymann, C	Unclear. Randomised in 2:1 ratio, stratified by country and severity of anaemia by method of sequence generation not reported	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available in 344 out of 349 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis was intention-to-treat, co-interventions not reported
17 Charytan, C	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. 83 participants out of a total of 102 randomised completed the study	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat, participants stratified according to previous ESA use
18 Coyne, DW	Low. Computer-generated randomisation scheme.	Low. Central randomisation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available in 129 out of 134 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat, ESA dose changes accounted for in study design
19 Dangsuwan, P	Low. Random table used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available on all 44 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat, all patients received RBC transfusion according to standardised protocol
20 Edwards, TJ	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence used	Low. Sealed, sequentially numbered opaque envelopes	Low. Participants blinded, chief investigator and perioperative clinicians blinded,	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 60 out of 62 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat, potential confounders collected, RBC transfusion in accordance with a strict protocol

			investigator administering infusion not blinded				
21 Evstatiev, R	Low. Randomised 1:1 according to predefined computer-generated list	Low. Sequentially numbered envelopes used	Low. Participants blinded	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. Outcome data not available for 52 out of 256 participants randomised	Low. Data provided on all prespecified outcome measures	Unclear. Unclear whether full analysis participant set was intention-to-treat.
22 Friel, JK	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear. Outcome data available for 26 participants, total number randomised not reported	Unclear. Specific primary and secondary a priori-defined end-points not reported	Unclear. Unclear whether analysis was intention to treat and co-interventions such as ESA use not reported
23 Froessler, B	Low. 1:1 randomisation via telephone service	Low. Telephone service used	High. Open-label study	Low. Data were analysed by a statistician blinded to the treatment group	High. No outcome data for 77 out of 271 participants randomised	Low. Data provided on all prespecified outcome measures	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed, transfused patients excluded from further Hb analysis
24 Garrido-Martin, P	Low. Random number list used	Low. Assigned to intervention in pharmacy department	Low. Blinding by placebo	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. No outcome data for 51 out of 210 participants randomised	Unclear. Discussion states no increase in infection but data not provided	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
25 Grote, L	Low. Minimisation method used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for all 60 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Intention-to-treat analysis provided, co-interventions not described
26 Hedenus, M	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 60 of 67 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis included per-protocol and intention-to-treat, ESA dosing accounted for
27 Henry, DH	Low. Central randomisation	Low. Central randomisation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of	Low. Safety population evaluated with 187 out of 189 participants	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis included per-protocol and intention-to-treat,

				outcome assessment	randomised		oral iron, ESA dosing and RBC transfusion accounted for in methodology
28 Hulin, S	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available on 47 of 50 participants randomised	Unclear. Specific primary and secondary a priori-defined endpoints not reported	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, co-interventions not described
29 Karkouti, K	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence used	Low. Sequentially numbered sealed, opaque envelopes	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data missing for 7 of 38 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis was intention-to-treat, transfusion guidelines provided but co-interventions not described
30 Kasper, SM	Unclear. Randomisation sequence described as blocks of 5	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 108 out of 128 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, co-interventions not described
31 Khalafallah	Low. Randomised in blocks of 10	Low. Assignment performed by pharmacy department	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 183 out of 200 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis was intention-to-treat but co-interventions not described
32 Kim, YH	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data missing for 20 out of 76 participants enrolled but safety data analysed on all participants	Unclear. No secondary outcomes measures reported as pre-specified	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, co-interventions not described
33 Kim, YT	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described.	Low. Envelope procedure described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear. Number of participants randomised not reported	Unclear. A-priori endpoints not reported	Unclear. Protocol for RBC transfusion provided, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
34 Kochhar, PK	Low. Randomisation table used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 98 out of 100 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, co-interventions not

35 Krayenbuehl, PA	Low. Randomisation schedule generated by external provider	Low. Randomisation schedule generated by external provider	Low. participants and study staff blinded	assessment Low. Investigators blinded to study group	Low. Outcome data available on all 90 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	described Low. Intention-to-treat analysis conducted
36 Kulnigg, S	Low. Randomisation schedule generated by external provider	Low. Central randomisation system	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 196 out of 200 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes, no post-hoc analyses performed	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis conducted, co-interventions described
37 Li, H (1)	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for all 46 participants randomised	Unclear. Clearly defined a-priori endpoints not reported	Uncertain. Titration of ESA allowed but not reported as an outcome, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
38 Li, H (2)	Low. Computer-generated random number list used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for all 136 participants randomised	Unclear. Clearly defined a-priori secondary end-points not reported.	Uncertain. Titration of ESA allowed but not reported as an outcome, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
39 Li, H (3)	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described.	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for all 194 participants randomised	Unclear. Clearly defined a-priori secondary end-points not reported	Uncertain. Titration of ESA allowed but not reported as an outcome, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
40 Lindgren, S	Low. Minimisation method used.	Low. Internet used for allocation to treatment arm	High. Open-label study	Unclear. final assessment done from computerized information only	Unclear. 13 participants out of total of 91 randomised were withdrawn	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed, co-intervention data collected
41 Maccio, A	Unclear. Sequence generation not described	Unclear. Randomisation 1:1 but allocation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome	Low. Outcome data available for all 148 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Study design controlled for co-interventions

		concealment not described		assessment			
42 Macdougall, IC	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 37 out of 38 participants randomised	Unclear. Principle end-points provided but without specifics, e.g. 'iron status'	Uncertain. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
43 Madi-Jebara, SN	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for all 120 study participants	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Participants receiving RBC transfusion excluded from further analysis
44 McMahon, LP	Low. Block randomisation	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	High. Outcome data available for 85 out of 100 participants enrolled	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. 6 oral iron group patients received infrequent IV iron, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
45 Meyer, MP	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 39 out of 42 participants randomised	Uncertain. Specific, a priori end-points not reported	Unclear. Analysis not described as intention-to-treat
46 Na, HS	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described.	Low. Sealed envelopes used	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No reporting of blinding of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 108 out of 113 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. RBC transfusion guideline used
47 Neeru, S	Unclear. Block randomisation but no further description of methods	Unclear. No description of allocation concealment	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding reported of outcome assessment	Low. Outcome data available for 89 out of 100 participants randomised	Unclear. RBC transfusion reported only for one group, unclear whether primary outcome prespecified	Unclear. 6 participants crossed over from oral to IV iron
48 Okonko, DO	Low. Computer-generated randomisation in a 2:1 ratio	Low. Treatment allocation concealed from the investigators	Low. Study investigators blinded	Low. outcome assessment by blinded investigators	Unclear. Outcome data available for 30 out of 35 participants	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Missing data imputed but sensitivity analysis conducted without imputation

49 Olijhoek, G	Low. randomisation schedule used, 1:1:1:1 ratio	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	Low. Administration of ESA blinded	Unclear. No outcome assessment blinding reported	Low. Outcome data available for 107 out of 110 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
50 Onken, JE	Low. Randomised 1:1 using interactive voice system	Low. Use of an interactive voice system	High. Open-label	Low. Composite safety events adjudicated by a blinded clinical committee	Low. Outcome data available in 495 out of 507 participants	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
51 Pedrazzoli, P	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Low. Central randomisation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No outcome assessment blinding reported	High. 33 participants out of a total of 149 randomised were exclude from per protocol population	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Study stopped early with 149 out of 420 planned participants recruited
52 Pollack, A	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described.	Low. Sequentially numbered sealed envelopes	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No outcome assessment blinding reported	High. No outcome data for 9 out of 38 participants enrolled	Unclear. Specific a priori-defined primary and secondary end-points not reported	Unclear. Characteristics of participants disqualified did not differ from those who completed study but data not provided
53 Provenzano, P	Low. Telephone system	Low. Telephone system	Low. Open-label study	Unclear. No outcome assessment blinding reported	Low. Outcome data available for 224 out of 230 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis conducted, safety population included all patients receiving at least one dose of study medication
54 Qunibi, WY	Low. Interactive voice-response system used.	Low. Centralised system	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No outcome assessment blinding reported	Low. Outcome data available for 245 out of 255 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Initial 2:1 randomisation ratio, changed to 1:1 due to slow recruitment
55 Schaller, G	Low. Computer-generated randomisation sequence	Unclear. Allocation concealment not reported.	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Low. Laboratory personnel blinded to	Low. Outcome data available for all 38 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Potential differential use of ESA.

56 Schindler, E	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	group assignment Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for all 60 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis not described as intention-to-treat
57 Schroder, MD	Low. Computer-generated random number table	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	High. Outcome data not available for 11 out of 46 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Differential distribution of inflammatory bowel disease type
58 Seid, MH	Low. 1:1 randomisation, stratified by baseline Hb	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. All 291 participants randomised included in the intention-to-treat analysis	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
59 Serrano-Trenas, JA	Low. Randomisation list used with 1:1 ratio	Low. Sealed, opaque envelopes	Low outcome data assessor blinded	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Unclear. Outcome data available for 179 out of 200 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat, protocol provided for RBC transfusion
60 Shafi, D	Low. Computer generated randomisation sequence	Low. Sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available on all enrolled participants	Low. Data provided on all prespecified outcome measures	Unclear. All participants analyses in group to which randomised but RBC transfusion not described
61 Singh, H	Unclear. Randomisation 2:1 but sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for 121 out of 126 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Analysis was intention-to-treat
62 Singh, K	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Unclear. 100 participants randomised but number with outcome data not reported	Unclear. Primary outcome specified but not specifics of secondary outcome measures	Unclear. 12 participants in oral iron group switched to IV iron
63 Sloand, JA	Unclear. Randomisation	Unclear. Allocation	Low. participants	Unclear. No blinding of	Low. Outcome data available for 23 out of	Low. Data was provided on all	Unclear. Plan to recruit 30 participants

	sequence generation not described	concealment not described	and study staff blinded	outcome assessment reported	25 participants randomised	prespecified outcomes	but study stopped after 25 recruited
64 Spinowitz, BS	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for all 304 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed
65 Steensma, DP	Low. 1:1:1 stratified randomisation	Low. Central allocation concealment	Low. Patients and investigators blinded to oral or no iron	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for 490 out of 502 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Stopped early due to excess serious adverse events in IV iron arm
66 Stoves, J	Low. Computer-generated randomisation schedule	Low. Computer-based allocation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for all 45 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
67 Toblli, JE	Low. Random number table used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	Low. Participants and study staff blinded	Low. Physicians performing echocardiography blinded	Low. Outcome data available for all 40 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Baseline balance and co-interventions described
68 Van Iperen, CE	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for all 36 participants enrolled	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Co-interventions described, intention-to-treat analysis performed
69 Van Wyck, DB (1)	Low. Computerised random number generation	Low. Interactive voice-response system	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data for safety evaluation available for 352 out of 361 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed
70 Van Wyck, DB (2)	Low. Computerised random number generation	Low. Interactive voice-response system	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data for safety evaluation available for 456 out of 477 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed
71 Van Wyck, DB (3)	Low. Computerised	Low. Interactive	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of	Low. Outcome data available for 182 out of	Low. Data was provided on all	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis

	random number generation	voice-response system		outcome assessment reported	188 participants randomised	prespecified outcomes	performed
72 Verma, S	Unclear. Randomisation sequence generation not described	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Unclear. 150 participants included in outcome analysis but number randomised not reported	Unclear. No prespecified outcome parameters other than Hb	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat, co-interventions not described
73 Warady, BA	Low. Random number table used	Unclear. Allocation concealment not described	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for all 35 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Unclear. 1 patient in oral iron group received IV iron, analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
74 Weisbach, V	Unclear. Randomisation list used	High. Chronological enrollment with sequential order of trial medication	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	High. Outcome data not available for 33 out of 123 participants randomised	Unclear. Secondary end-points not specifically reported	Unclear. Analysis not reported as intention-to-treat
75 Westad, S	Low. Minimisation used	Low. Central randomisation	High. Open-label study	Unclear. No blinding of outcome assessment reported	Low. Outcome data available for 117 out of 129 participants randomised	Low. Data was provided on all prespecified outcomes	Low. Intention-to-treat analysis performed