

Appendix 3: Agreement by domain between the risk of bias assessment made by the review authors and our re-assessment.

<b>Re-assessed risk of bias, by domain n (%*)</b>			
<b>Initial risk of bias, by domain</b>			
<b>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</b>	Low (n=93)	Unclear (n=93)	High (n=14)
Low (n=82)	<b>79 (96)</b>	3 (4)	0 (0)
Unclear (n=102)	13 (13)	<b>89 (87)</b>	0 (0)
High (n=16)	1 (6)	1 (6)	<b>14 (88)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.91 (0.88-0.95)</b>	
<b>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</b>	Low (n=73)	Unclear (n=114)	High (n=16)
Low (n=65)	<b>64 (98)</b>	1 (2)	0 (0)
Not evaluated (n=1)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)
Unclear (n=112)	4 (4)	<b>108 (96)</b>	0 (0)
High (n=22)	2 (9)	4 (18)	<b>16 (73)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.95 (0.93-0.98)</b>	
<b>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</b>	Low (n=69)	Unclear (n=49)	High (n=82)
Low (n=43)	<b>43 (100)</b>	0 (0)	0 (0)
Not evaluated (n=3)	1 (33)	1 (33)	1 (33)
Unclear (n=25)	10 (40)	<b>14 (56)</b>	1 (4)
High (n=129)	15 (12)	34 (26)	<b>80 (62)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.69 (0.61-0.74)</b>	
<b>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</b>	Low (n=112)	Unclear (n=33)	High (n=55)
Low (n=70)	<b>66 (94)</b>	0 (0)	4 (6)
Not evaluated (n=3)	1 (33)	1 (33)	1 (33)
Unclear (n=45)	15 (33)	<b>25 (56)</b>	5 (11)
High (n=82)	30 (37)	7 (9)	<b>45 (55)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.69 (0.63-0.75)</b>	
<b>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</b>	Low (n=96)	Unclear (n=36)	High (n=68)
Low (n=83)	<b>75 (90)</b>	2 (2)	6 (7)
Not evaluated (n=7)	2 (29)	5 (71)	0 (0)
Unclear (n=37)	8 (22)	<b>21 (57)</b>	8 (22)
High (n=73)	11 (15)	8 (11)	<b>54 (74)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.78 (0.72-0.84)</b>	
<b>Trial Level</b>			
At least 1 domain at risk	Low (n=7)	Unclear (n=51)	High (n=142)
High (n=200)	7 (4)	51 (26)	<b>142 (71)</b>
<b>Agreement</b>		<b>0.71 (0.64-0.77)</b>	

\* Percentages are calculated by row