Appendix 2: The Camino Verde Intervention: Commonalities and differences in Mexico and Nicaragua [posted as supplied by author]

A. Aspects of interventions common to both countries by reason of the trial's content and objectives

Objective Reduce dengue risk through a) evidence-based knowledge translation and b) development of a sustainable community-oriented vector control approach

Evidence Consisted of: a) Life cycle of the Ae. aegypti mosquito; b) evidence shown to household residents of mosquito development in water receptacles on their own properties; and c) baseline survey evidence regarding reported dengue cases & costs, personal protection measures & costs, aggregate entomological data and saliva sample results.

Neighbourhood as unit of intervention

Clusters of ~140 households were the basic units for analysis and intervention. But since these clusters were generally parts of larger neighbourhoods, many interventions reached either whole neighbourhoods or recognizable neighbourhood subdivisions.

Consent of community leadership

This was requisite for the intervention to proceed, not only general consent but also separate consent for major activities such as saliva sampling, periodic household visits, peer monitoring by brigadistas from other communities, etc.

Intermediaries between investigators

Investigators used intermediaries in both countries but their roles, backgrounds, recruitment and activities varied between and within

countries. In both countries, investigators relied principally on intermediaries called Facilitators.

The government vector control programme continued uninterrupted by SEPA activities in both countries.

B. Aspects of interventions common by reason of the Nicaragua pilot experience

and communities

Official vector control programme

Brigadistas (community volunteers) All brigadistas were residents of the communities where they conducted SEPA activities. All received training in the life-cycle and habits of the dengue-transmitting mosquito as well as in communication skills that include respect for other community members. During these regular visits, brigadistas explained dengue and the life-cycle of the dengue-transmitting mosquito and enlisted the House-to-house visits (visitas de acompañamiento) household residents in overall control efforts. Neighbourhood shops The same procedures were performed as conducted in house-to-house visits. Visits to schools In and out of school, children were key in the SEPA effort in both countries. Despite diverse main agendas, all organisations shared the threat of dengue and the need for mosquito control. The SEPA programme Visits to businesses, churches, clubs and other organisations enlisted as many of these organisations as possible in its mobilisation activities. Collective events (nature and Community-wide publicity and mobilisation tools included leaflets, posters, parades, songs, games, murals, graffiti, t-shirts, piñatas, frequency varying by community bracelets, street theatre and clean-up campaigns to fill potholes and ravines, collect and dispose of empty containers, innovative use of discarded tires and other repositories in which mosquitoes may breed. characteristics and need)

C. Camino Verde Intervention: Aspects that differed by country and/or community

	Mexico	Nicaragua
Stakeholders	- Residents of communities, both urban and rural	- Residents of communities, all urban
	- Community leadership of widely differing character	- Community leadership with clear structures and government support

	- Guerrero State Health Secretariat	- National Ministry of Health
	- Municipal health authorities, both urban and rural	- Managua regional health authority
	- Municipal administration, urban & rural	- Managua municipal administration
Intermediaries between investigators	Facilitators: Recent graduates in social sciences	Territorial coordinators (3 members of the research team) and facilitators
and communities	- Trained with help from Nicaraguan colleagues	- All had previous community experience
	- Remained in the field during the entire intervention	- Presence diminished over the course of the intervention
Brigadistas	- Received a financial stimulus / allowances	- Unpaid volunteers responding to call from community leadership
	- Recruited on criteria of research team and community	- Included some community leaders
Second generation brigadistas trained	Facilitators and the brigade	The brigade
by:		
Intervention launched by:	- Household feedback of results from saliva samples	- Discussion groups with community leaders on costs associated with
	- Focus groups led by facilitators and brigadistas	dengue, organized and led by researchers and facilitators.
House-to-house visits by:	Facilitators (at beginning) and brigadistas	- Facilitators (at beginning) and <i>brigadistas</i> - included community leaders
Relations with official institutions	- State Health Secretariat, regional health authorities, local	- Government-sponsored district and neighbourhood leadership, local health
	health centres and school authorities informed.	centres and city vector control programme were all kept informed.
	- Officials from state dengue control programme	- Health Ministry analysed entomological data and saliva samples.
	participated in all study phases including publication.	- National/local health officials monitored baseline and follow-up surveys.
Schools	- Focus groups with teachers and school children	- Communication channels with school authorities maintained
	- Vector studies at schools used as teaching tools	- Meetings of brigadistas with teachers
	- Recycling generated revenue to cover school needs	- Entomological surveillance and control of school larval development sites
	- Schoolchildren joined work of adult brigadistas	used as teaching tools
Public areas: Empty spaces, ravines,	Residents and brigades met with relevant officials to reach	Residents and brigades met with persons responsible to reach common
cemeteries, parks, streets as well as	an understanding. Where this was not possible, they	understanding. Where this was not possible, they called for support from
grounds surrounding churches and	partnered with public authorities to clean empty spaces,	public services through the local Poder Ciudadano and the Mayor's Office
schools	ravines, streets, and cemeteries.	
Factories	None in any of the intervention neighbourhoods	Concerting of community action with public services accomplished by the
		brigades through the local Poder Ciudadano
Incidental expenses (refreshments,	Managed by facilitators	Responsibility passed from the facilitators to the brigade leaders over the
field work materials, transport, etc.)		course of the intervention.
Brigadista identification: T-shirts	T-shirts and caps both featuring the Camino Verde logo	T-shirts featuring both the SEPA logo and the name of the community.

depicting the A aegypti life cycle		
Intervention monitoring (combining	- Supervisors through regular meetings.	- Supervisors through regular meetings
quantitative and qualitative	- Peers from other neighbourhoods asked when	- Peers from other neighbourhoods asking householders when they were last
instruments)	householders were last visited by a brigadista and what	visited by a brigadista, inspecting water receptacles and promoting
	was the most significant change experienced.	competition for lowest entomological indices.
Meetings	- Facilitators and researchers: weekly	- Brigadistas, facilitators & area coordinators: weekly
	- Brigadistas and facilitators: weekly	- Brigade delegates & researchers: monthly
	- General meetings: every 4 months	- Researchers among themselves: monthly
	- Colloquium all facilitators: once	- Investigators and facilitators: bi-monthly
	- Assembly families and brigadistas: 4 times	- Assembly of all brigades: once
Biological control of mosquito	Fish in non-drinking water containers in several	None
development	communities, mainly rural	