

Supplementary Information S1 - Distributions and parameters used in the Bayesian spatial probit model estimation

Eugenio Y. Arima^{1,*}

1 Department of Geography and the Environment, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, USA

□305 E 23rd St Austin, TX 78712

* arima@austin.utexas.edu

SLS Spatial Probit Model

The model description presented here is heavily based on Smith and LeSage [1]. Following the notation described in the main manuscript, the spatial probit model can be written in full matrix notation as:

$$\begin{aligned} y^* &= X\beta + \Delta\theta + \epsilon \\ \theta &= \rho W\theta + \mu \\ \Delta &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where y^* is an $n \times 1$ latent variable vector, X is $n \times k$ matrix of explanatory variables, β is $k \times 1$, ϵ is $n \times 1$, and θ, μ are $m \times 1$. The matrix Δ assigns the same effect parameter to each observation within a region; $\mathbf{1}_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ denotes an $(n_j \times 1)$ vector of ones where n_j is the number of observations within region j . The model

assumes that $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I}_m)$ and $\epsilon|\theta \sim N(0, V)$, where $V = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \nu_m \mathbf{I}_{n_m} \end{pmatrix}$

The matrix V allocates heterogeneity across regions through a set of variance scalars $\nu_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ multiplied by the identity matrix \mathbf{I}_{n_j} .

Define an indicator function $\delta(A) = 1$ for positive outcomes and $\delta(A) = 0$ otherwise. Then, probability of deforestation can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(y_{ij} = 1|y_{ij}^*) &= \delta(y_{ij}^* > 0) \\ Pr(y_{ij} = 0|y_{ij}^*) &= \delta(y_{ij}^* \leq 0) \end{aligned}$$

If we denote Y the $n \times 1$ vector of binary outcomes, we can write $Pr(Y = y|y^*) = \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} \left\{ \delta(y_{jk} = 1)\delta(y_{jk}^* > 0) + \delta(y_{jk} = 0)\delta(y_{jk}^* \leq 0) \right\}$.

The function *semip_g* from LeSage's spatial econometric Matlab library [2] estimates this model through a hierarchical Bayesian estimation procedure using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling approach. The following prior distributions are used:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &\sim N(c, T) \\ \frac{r}{\nu_j} &\sim ID\chi^2(r) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} &\sim \Gamma(\alpha, \tau) \\ \rho &\sim U[\lambda_{min}^{-1}, \lambda_{max}^{-1}]\end{aligned}$$

β is assigned a normal conjugate prior with default values set to $c = 0$, and $T = 1e^{12}$, making it essentially diffuse. The variances σ^2 are given inverse gamma priors with parameters $\alpha = \tau = 0$, also making them diffuse. The prior for each ν_j is the inverse chi-square distribution, with hyperparameter $r = 4$. Finally, a uniform prior is employed for ρ , with $\lambda_{min} = 0, \lambda_{max} = 1$. The corresponding densities are:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta) &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\beta - c)'T^{-1}(\beta - c)\right] \\ \pi(\sigma^2) &\propto (\sigma^2)^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\sigma^2}\right) \\ \pi(\rho) &\propto 1\end{aligned}$$

The implied prior density for the vector θ conditional on ρ, σ_μ^2, V is:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta|\rho, \sigma_\mu^2) &\propto (\sigma_\mu^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} |B| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_\mu^2} \theta' B' B \theta\right) \\ B &= (I)_m - \rho W\end{aligned}$$

The prior density ϵ given (θ, V) is

$$\pi(\epsilon|V) \sim |V|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon'V^{-1}\epsilon\right)$$

and the conditional prior of y^* given $(\beta, \sigma^2, \theta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(y^*|\beta, \theta, V) &\propto |V|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y^* - X\beta - \Delta\theta)'V^{-1}(y^* - X\beta - \Delta\theta)\right\} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} \left\{ \nu_j^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\nu_j}(y_{jk}^* - x'_{jk}\beta - \theta_j)^2\right] \right\}\end{aligned}$$

The posterior densities for $\beta, \theta, \rho, \sigma^2, \nu_j, y_{ij}^*$ can be found in the original manuscript by Smith and LeSage and estimation is achieved via MCMC, a method that samples sequentially from the complete set of posterior conditional densities for each one of the parameters, given initial guesses. This method produces a set of estimates that converge in the limit to the true joint posterior distribution of the parameters [3].

References

1. Smith, T. E., and James P LeSage. A Bayesian Probit Model with Spatial Dependencies. In *Advances in Econometrics: Spatial and Spatiotemporal Econometrics*, edited by James P LeSage and Pace Kelley. 127-60. Oxford: Elsevier, 2004.

2. LeSage, J. Econometrics toolbox. Available: <http://www.spatial-econometrics.com>
3. Gelfand, A. E., and A. F. M. Smith. Sampling-Based Approaches to Calculating Marginal Densities. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 85, no. 410 (1990): 398-409.