1 SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

2 Supplemental Methods

- 3 TABLE S1 Strains and plasmids used in this study
- 4 **TABLE S2** Primers used in this study
- 5 TABLE S3 Mutants identified as putatively hypersusceptible or hyper-resistant to HNP-1
- 6 **TABLE S4** MICs of HNP-1 and LL-37 against $\triangle envC$
- 7 **TABLE S5** Proteins whose expression level is altered in the $\Delta envC$ mutant relative to WT_{Ec}
- 8 FIG S1 Cationic antimicrobial peptides used in this study
- 9 FIG S2 The neighboring genes of *envC*, *amiA*, *amiB*, and *nlpD* are not involved in CAMP
- 10 resistance
- 11 **FIG S3** EnvC and its cognate amidases do not degrade HNP-1.
- 12 **FIG S4** The *E. coli* $\Delta envC$ mutant exhibits altered outer membrane permeability
- 13 **FIG S5** Microscopic examination of the *E. coli* wild-type and $\Delta envC$ strains
- 14 **FIG S6** Inactivation of *envC* does not alter the length and distribution of LPS
- 15 **FIG S7** The *E. coli* $\Delta envC$ mutant exhibits altered surface properties
- 16 **FIG S8** Membrane and periplasmic protein profiles of the *E. coli* wild-type and $\Delta envC$ strains
- 17 **FIG S9** The *E. coli* $\Delta nlpD$ and $\Delta amiC$ mutants are hypersusceptible to LL-37, but not to HNP-1
- 18 Supplemental References
- 19

20 Supplemental Methods

21 Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial peptides. MICs

of HNP-1 and LL-37 were determined using the protocol of Turner *et al.* (1). This protocol uses

23 "refined Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB)", instead of standard MHB (BBL 211443; Becton

24 Dickinson), in which antimicrobial peptides such as PG-1 and LL-37 precipitate. Refined MHB

25 was prepared by filtering standard MHB through a series of three Sep-Pak Aminopropyl (NH2)

26 Plus columns (WAT020535; Waters). Bacterial strains were grown overnight in refined MHB

and diluted 100-fold in fresh refined MHB. After grown to mid-log phase, cell density was

adjusted to $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ CFU/ml with refined MHB. Cell suspension (90 µl) was mixed with 10 µl of

29 10× stock solutions of HNP-1 or LL-37 in 96-well polypropylene microtiter plates (Cat. No.

30 3790; Costar) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C, without shaking. The next day, cell growth was

31 visually inspected for determination of MIC.

32 Construction of SUMO-fusion protein overexpression plasmids. Plasmids pET-SUMO-

33 AmiA, pET-SUMO-AmiB, pET-SUMO-AmiC, pET-SUMO-NlpD and pET-SUMO-EnvC were

34 constructed as follows. A DNA fragment containing a gene coding for *amiA*, *amiB*, *amiC*, *nlpD*

35 or *envC* but lacking a signal peptide region was amplified by PCR with wild-type *E. coli*

36 MG1655 chromosomal DNA as template. Primers used in PCR for each gene are listed in Table

37 S2. The amplified PCR fragments were purified and cloned into linearized TA-cloning plasmid

38 pET-SUMO (Champion[™] pET SUMO Expression System, ThermoFisher Scientific). Resulting

39 plasmids (pET-SUMO-AmiA, pET-SUMO-AmiB, pET-SUMO-AmiC, pET-SUMO-NlpD, and

40 pET-SUMO-EnvC) were verified by sequencing and transformed into a host strain *E. coli*

41 BL21(DE3). In each of the overexpression plasmids, all proteins were overexpressed with a

42 His₆-SUMO (H-SUMO) tag fused to their N-termini.

43 Purification of AmiA, AmiB, AmiC, NlpD, and EnvC proteins. E. coli strains carrying 44 respective overexpression plasmids were grown in LB. Overnight cultures were diluted 200-fold 45 into 300 ml of LB supplemented with kanamycin (50 μ g/ml) and glucose (0.05%). After growing 46 cultures to an OD₆₀₀ of \sim 0.4 at 30°C, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM, and 47 growth was continued for an additional 3-4 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and cell 48 pellets were stored at -80°C until use for protein purification. For purification, cell pellets were 49 thawed on ice and resuspended in 15 ml of cold Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM 50 NaCl, 10% glycerol) containing 20 mM imidazole. The cells were disrupted by using French 51 Press, and cell debris and membranes were removed by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 20 min 52 at 4°C. Fusion proteins in the soluble fraction were purified using the ProPur[™] IMAC Kit 53 (NUNC). Each protein was overexpressed and purified with a His₆-SUMO (H-SUMO) tag fused 54 to its N-terminus. Following purification of an H-SUMO fusion protein, the H-SUMO tag was 55 removed by using His₆-tagged SUMO protease (H-SP, Invitrogen). Cleavage reactions were 56 passed through Ni-NTA resin to remove free H-SUMO and H-SP, yielding a pure preparation of 57 the desired protein without added non-native amino acid residues. The only exception was AmiB 58 where the extra sequence CSSG was left at its N-terminus (Fig. S4A). 59 Preparation of dye-labeled sacculi. Sacculi were prepared with cells of an E. coli BW25113 60 Δlpp strain as described by Uehara *et al* (2, 3). Cells were grown overnight in LB, and diluted

61 100-fold in 1 L of LB. After growing cultures to $OD_{600} \sim 0.5$, cells were harvested by

62 centrifugation and resuspended in 20 ml of PBS. The resulting cell suspension was added to 80

63 ml of boiling 5% SDS with vigorous stirring. The samples were boiled for 30 min, and incubated

64 overnight at room temperature. The next day, sacculi were sedimented by ultracentrifugation at

 $100,000 \times g$ for 1 h at 25°C. The pellets were washed three times with water, resuspended in 1

66	ml of water, mixed with α -amylase (a final concentration of 200 µg/ml, Sigma A6380), and
67	incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After adding 110 µl of 10% SDS, the mixture was further incubated
68	for 1 h at 95°C. Sacculi were pelleted by centrifugation at 100,000 \times g for 30 min at room
69	temperature and washed five times each with 1 ml of water. The final pellet was dissolved in 1
70	ml of water containing 0.02% sodium azide and stored at 4°C. To label with the dye Remazol
71	Brilliant Blue R (RBB) (Sigma, R8001), 1 ml of the purified sacculi was mixed with 200 μ l of
72	0.2 M RBB and 83 μ l of 6 M NaOH. After adjusting the mixture volume to 10 ml with water, the
73	mixture was incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, 83 μ l of 6 M HCl was added to
74	neutralize pH and 200 μl of 10 \times PBS. Labeled sacculi were pelleted (13,200 rpm \times 30 min,
75	room temperature) and washed with water until the supernatant become clear. Finally, the dye-
76	labeled sacculi were resuspended in 2 ml water containing 0.2% azide, incubated for 3 h at 65°C
77	to inactivate possibly contaminating lysozyme, and stored at 4°C.
78	Peptidoglycan degradation assay using the dye-labeled peptidoglycan. The assay was
79	performed as described by Uehara <i>et al</i> (3), with a slight modification. Ten μ l of RBB-labelled
80	sacculi were incubated for 3 h at 37°C with purified amidases (AmiA, AmiB, or AmiC; a final
81	concentration of ~3 μ g/ml) and/or their cognate accessory proteins (EnvC or NlpD; a final
82	concentration of ~3 μ g/ml) in 50 μ l of PBS buffer (10 mM Na ₂ HPO4, 2 mM KH ₂ PO4, 137 mM
83	NaCl and 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4). Reactions were terminated by incubating them at 95°C for 5
84	min, followed by centrifugation at 21,000 \times g for 20 min at room temperature. Supernatants were
85	removed and their absorbance was measured at 595 nm using NanoDrop (Fig. S4C).
86	Antimicrobial peptide degradation assay. Purified amidases (AmiA, AmiB, or AmiC; a final
87	concentration of ~3 μ g/ml) and/or their cognate accessory proteins (EnvC or NlpD; a final
88	concentration of ~3 μ g/ml) were incubated with HNP-1 (1 μ g) for 10 h at 37°C (Fig. S4D) or

89 LL-37 (1 μg; data not shown) in 20 μl of PBS buffer (10 mM Na₂HPO4, 2 mM KH₂PO4, 137

90 mM NaCl and 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4) with or without additional CaCl₂, MgCl₂, or ZnCl₂ at a final

91 concentration of 1 mM. Reactions were terminated by adding 6 μ l of 4 \times sample loading buffer

92 (12% SDS, 6% mercaptoethanol, 30% glycerol, 0.05% Coomassie blue G-250, 150 mM

93 Tris/HCl, pH 7.0) and boiling for 5 min and were run on 16% Tricine-SDS PAGE (4) and

94 visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining.

95 Transmission electron microscopy. Bacterial cells were grown overnight in 2 ml of LB,

96 diluted 1:100 in 50 ml of LB, and grown to $OD_{600} \sim 0.5$. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at

97 8,000 rpm in a Beckman Coulter Avanti J-E, washed three times with 0.1 M Sorensen phosphate

98 buffer, and fixed with 1 ml 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Sorensen phosphate buffer.

99 Dispersed/re-suspended cells were stored in the suspension at 4°C and transferred to the UIC

100 electron microscopy facility on ice where cells were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide,

101 dehydrated in a series of ascending ethanol using propylene oxide as transitional solvent, and

102 embedded and cured in Epoxy Resin Lx112. Thin cut sections (83 nm) were placed on 200 mesh

103 copper standard grids and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and Reynolds lead citrate for viewing

104 on Jeol Jem 1220 transmission electron microscope. Digital images were acquired with Gatan

105 Erlangshen ES 10000 W Model 785 digital camera with digital micrograph software program

106 1.7.1 Digital Micrograph DM.

107 Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) purification and detection by immunoblot. For LPS preparation,

108 cells were grown overnight in 2 ml of LD medium (5) (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, and

109 0.5% NaCl) at 37°C with shaking. The overnight culture was adjusted to $OD_{600} \sim 0.5$ for LPS

110 extraction using the method described by Davis and Goldberg (6). For immunodetection of LPS,

111 10 µl of LPS samples were separated on a 12.5% Tris-Tricine PAGE and electro-transferred onto

0.45 micron nitrocellulose membrane (OSMONICS WP4HY00010) in semi-dry Bjerrum 112 113 Schafer-Nielsen transfer buffer (Tris Base, 5.82 g/l; glycerine, 2.93 g/l; and 20% methanol) using 114 BioRad Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system. The membrane was incubated overnight with a 115 blocking buffer (1% casein, 20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.05 % Tween 20) at 4°C, and 116 further incubated for 1 h at 25°C with mouse monoclonal anti-LPS antibody diluted 1:100 117 (Hycult biotech). The membrane was then washed six times for 5 min each, incubated at room 118 temperature for 1 h with fluorescein horse anti-mouse IgG antibodies (Vector laboratories) 119 diluted 1:1000, and finally imaged using Typhoon scanner. 120 For detection of LPS in membrane fractions, the inner and outer membranes were prepared 121 by sucrose gradient fractionation as described by Marani *et al.* (7), with a slight modification. 122 Briefly, cells were grown overnight in LB, diluted 1:100 in 500 ml of LD broth and grown to 123 $OD_{600} \sim 1$, and harvested. Cell pellets were resuspended in buffer K (50 mM triethanolamine, 250 124 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mg/ml Pefabloc at pH 7.5) and lysed twice 125 using French Press. After removing cell debris by centrifugation, supernatant samples (~4 ml) 126 were loaded per tube for 2-step sucrose separation (4 ml of 50% and 70% sucrose on bottom in 127 14×89 mm polyallomer tubes), and centrifuged for 14 h at 39,000 rpm in the SW41 rotor at 128 4°C. From the top of the tubes, 300 µl fractions were collected and stored at -20°C before use. 129 Sixteen μ l of each fraction was mixed with 2 × SDS sample loading buffer, run on a 12.5% Tris-130 Tricine PAGE (BioRad), and subsequently electro-transferred onto 0.45 micron nitrocellulose 131 membrane as described above. LPS was detected using the same antibodies as described above. 132 For quality control, NADH oxidase assays were performed as described by Reusch and Burger 133 (8). Typically, the inner membrane fractions yielded NADH oxidase activity of 0.35-0.4 U/ml 134 and the outer membrane fractions below 0.1 U/ml for both wild-type E. coli and $\Delta envC$ strains.

135 Outer and inner membrane protein profiles. Outer and inner membrane protein samples were 136 prepared following the protocol from the laboratory of Dr. Robert E. W. Hancock (9). Wild-type 137 *E. coli* and its isogenic $\triangle envC$ strains were grown to OD₆₀₀ ~1.0 in 500 ml of LB. Cells were 138 harvested by centrifugation (6,300 rpm \times 20 min, at 4°C), and pellets were frozen and stored at -139 80°C until use. Each pellet was resuspended in 10.5 ml of cold lysis solution (10 mM Tris (pH 140 8.8) containing 20% sucrose, 50 µg/ml DNase I, and HALT protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo 141 Fisher Scientific) and incubated at room temp for 15 min. Cells were broken twice using French 142 Press, and cell debris was removed by centrifugation. Supernatant samples (~4 ml) were loaded 143 per tube for 2-step sucrose separation (4 ml of 50% and 70% sucrose on bottom in 14×89 mm 144 polyallomer tubes), and centrifuged for 14 h at 39,000 rpm in the SW41 rotor at 4°C. Visible 145 layers were collected (inner membrane layer is reddish/brown above 50% sucrose; outer 146 membrane layer is white above 70% layer). For quality control, NADH oxidase activity was 147 measured as described above. Collected samples were then concentrated by centrifugation in 148 polyallomer centrifuge tubes (8.9 ml capacity) in Type 50 rotor at 49,600 rpm \times 1 h, supernatants 149 were removed, and final pellets were resuspended in Solubilizer 2 lysis buffer (Invitrogen) 150 containing 2% CHAPS. Samples (~800 µg each in 10 µl) were mixed with 143.3 µl rehydration 151 buffer used to rehydrate Zoom strips pH3-10NL (Invitrogen) overnight at 22°C and kept 152 enclosed within a Styrofoam box containing a moist towel to prevent drying. Remaining steps 153 were performed as recommended by the Invitrogen Zoom IEF manual, except that TCA 154 precipitation step was omitted for membrane proteins (10). IEF strips were run on 1.0 mm \times IPG 155 NuPAGE Novex 4-12% Bis-Tris Zoom Gels (Invitrogen). Finally, proteins were visualized by 156 Coomassie staining, and protein spots were excised and sent to UIC Protein Research Laboratory 157 for identification by mass spectrometry.

158 **Periplasmic protein profiles.** The periplasmic protein samples were prepared by the 159 chloroform release method (11), with a slight modification. Wild-type E. coli and its isogenic 160 $\Delta envC$ mutant strains were grown to OD₆₀₀ ~1.0 in 2 ml of LB, with shaking at 37°C. Cells were 161 harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm \times 10 min, at 4°C), most of the supernatants were 162 removed, and cell pellets were resuspended in the residual supernatant. To release the 163 periplasmic proteins, the cell resuspension was mixed with 20 μ l of chloroform and vortexed, 164 incubated at room temperature for 15 min, and mixed with 200 µl of buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 165 pH 8.0). Finally, cells were removed by centrifugation (4,000 rpm \times 20 min, at 25°C), and 166 supernatants containing periplasmic proteins (200 μ l) were transferred to eppendorf tubes. After 167 determining protein concentrations using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit, periplasmic protein 168 samples (~20 µg each) were run on 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX (BioRad), and visualized 169 with Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. 170 Construction of a non-polar *E. coli* $\Delta nlpD$ mutant. The 3' region of the nlpD gene contains 171 promoter for the downstream gene *rpoS* (12). A non-polar $\Delta nlpD$ mutant was constructed using 172 one-step inactivation method as described by Datsenko and Wanner (13) (see Table S1 and S2 in 173 the Supplemental Materials for primers and strain information).

174

	* *	C
Species or Strain	Relevant genotype or characteristics	Source or
- E1:		reierence
E. COll	Host strain used for aloning and plasmid propagation	I aboutours at a
	Host strain used for cloning and plasmid preparation	Laboratory stock
JIVI109	Host strain used for cloning and plasmid preparation $P_{\text{plasmid}} = A(a_{\text{plasmid}}) = B(a_{\text{plasmid}}) = A(a_{\text{plasmid}}) = B(a_{\text{plasmid}}) = B(a_{plasmi$	Laboratory stoce $(12, 14)$
BW25113	Parent strain, $\Delta(araD-araB)56/\Delta(rnaD-rnaB)508$	(13, 14)
DW25141	Δ (<i>lacZ4</i> /8/:: <i>rrnB</i> -3) <i>nsaK</i> 514 <i>rpn</i> -1	(12)
BW25141	$laci rrnB_{T14} \Delta lacZ_{WJ16} \Delta pnoBK580 nsaK514$	(13)
	$\Delta araBAD_{AH33} \Delta rnaBAD_{LD78} galU95 endA_{BT333}$	
	$uiaA(\Delta Miu1)::pir recA1$	(1 E)
	$\Delta pnnB$::Km	(15)
	$\Delta y n c B$::Km	(15)
	$\Delta pheM::Km$	(15)
	$\Delta nanA::Km^2$	(15)
		(15)
	$\Delta yhcM::Km^{-1}$	(15)
	$\Delta amiB::Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta y_J b S:: Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta y j g X :: \mathbf{K} \mathbf{m}^{T}$	(15)
	$\Delta glp R:: Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta man::Km^{-1}$	(15)
	$\Delta pdn R:: Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta zapB(yuU)::Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta envC(y_ibP)::Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta yhhN::Km^{2}$	(15)
	$\Delta yadM::Km^{2}$	(15)
	ΔyeeA::Km ²	(15)
	$\Delta JW5/28::Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta metA::Km^{2}$	(15)
	$\Delta basR::Km^{2}$	(15)
	$\Delta y jg H$::Km ²	(15)
	$\Delta y j d C :: Km^2$	(15)
	$\Delta purH::Km^{\prime}$	(15)
	$\Delta yagF::Km^{1}$	(15)
	$\Delta glyS::Km^{\prime}$	(15)
	$\Delta y jg L:: Km^4$	(15)
	$\Delta y dd J$::Km ¹	(15)
	$\Delta y ci N:: Km^{1}$	(15)
	$\Delta pgi::Km^{\prime}$	(15)
	$\Delta yhdY::Km^{1}$	(15)
	$\Delta nikD::Km'$	(15)
	$\Delta recO::Km^{r}$	(15)
	$\Delta iclR::Km^{r}$	(15)
	$\Delta yggR::Km^{r}$	(15)
	$\Lambda n \mu t R \cdot \cdot K m^{r}$	(15)

TABLE S1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

		$\Delta atoA::Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta nhaA::Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta tatA::Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta phoP::Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta gpmM:: Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta vibO:: Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Delta amiC::Km^{r}$	(15)
		$\Lambda hem F::Km^r$	(15)
		$\Delta mutL$:: Km ^r	(15)
		$\Delta rnoS:: Km^{r}$	(15)
		Appendix Kin	This study
		$\Delta ami A 6 8 2 \cdots K m^r$	This study
		$\Delta amiR856\cdots$ Km ^r	This study
		$\Delta m n D 0 0 \dots K m^r$	This study
		$\Delta m p D 449$ Kill A gravit A 6 8 2 u fart	This study
		$\Delta amiA082:::ift$	This study
		$\Delta amiB830::$ Ift	This study
		$\Delta amiC::frt$	This study
		$\Delta n l p D 449$::trt	This study
		$\Delta envC::trt/penvC_{Ec}$	This study
		$\Delta y j g X$::Km ¹ /py j g X _{Ec}	This study
	<i>S. enterica</i> Typl	nimurium	
	14028s	Parent strain	ATCC
		$\Delta envC::Cm^{r}$	This study
		$\Delta envC$::frt	This study
		Δ <i>phoP</i> ::Tn10 (MS7953s)	E. Groisman Lab
		$\Delta pmrAB$ (EG13937)	E. Groisman Lab
		$\Delta r faL:: Cm^r$	This study
		$\Delta r f b B$::Cm ^r	This study
Р	lasmid	*	•
	pKD46	<i>araC bla oriR101 repA101</i> (ts) λ red (gam ⁺ bet ⁺ exo ⁺)	(13)
	pKD3	oriR γ bla with the Cm ^r cassette flanked by FRT sites	(13)
	pKD4	oriR γ bla with the Km ^r cassette flanked by FRT sites	(13)
	pCP20	FLP (FRT-specific) recombinase, Amp ^r Cm ^r	(13)
	pCL1920	pSC101-based with Spc ^r	(16)
	$penvC_{Ec}$	pCL1920 carrying a wild-type copy of the <i>E.coli envC</i>	This study
	I LC	gene with its own upstream region	5
	$p_{Zap}B_{Fc}$	pCL1920 carrying a wild-type copy of the <i>E.coli zapB</i>	This study
	1 • 1 10	gene with its own upstream region	5
	$pvigX_{Ec}$	pCL1920 carrying a wild-type copy of the <i>E. coli vigX</i>	This study
	PJJOLE	gene with its own upstream region	1110 0000
	pET-SUMO-	Overexpression of AmiA	This study
	AmiA	T	
	pET-SUMO-	Overexpression of AmiB	This study
	AmiB		
	pET-SUMO-	Overexpression of AmiC	This study
	AmiC		

	pET-SUMO- EnvC	Overexpression of EnvC	This study
	pET-SUMO- NlpD	Overexpression of NlpD	This study
177 178	1		
179			

180	TABLE S2	Primers used in this study
100		I multiplice used in this study

Name	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Purpose
NKD2 Cm E		To check deletion
prds cli r	CGCAAGGCGACAAGGIGCI	mutants
NKD3 Cm B		To check deletion
pros cm r	CCAGCIGAACGGICIGGII	mutants
NKD/ Km F	ССПССПССППЛЛСССПЛЛС	To check deletion
	CETEGIGETTIACGGIAIC	mutants
DKD/ Cm R	ССТСССТССАТСТТ	To check deletion
		mutants
		To check deletion
^a Ec phnB del CK F	CGAACTACACTTAACTGGCT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yhcB del CK F	GGATTCCACTTCTGTGGAAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec pheM del CK F	GGAGCTAGTCTCCCTCTTTT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec nanA del CK F	GCCACTTTAGTGAAGCAGAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec fimB del CK F	GCATGGCGTTTGTATGGCAA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yhcM del CK F	GACTGCATATCGCGTAGTGT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec amiB del CK F	GGCCACAACAAGGTACAGG	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yjbS del CK F	CCTCGGCAAATGAGCGAAAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yjgX del CK F	CCTCTTGCAGTACCAGTGTA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec glpR del CK F	GGTTTAGCGATGGCTTTTGT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec mdh del CK F	GCAGCGGAGCAACATATCTT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec pdhR del CK F	CCTGTATGGACATAAGGTGA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yiiU del CK F	GGTAATCGGGACGAGGATTT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yhhN del CK F	GCGACGACAATTAAGCCAAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yadM del CK F	GCAGCAGGGAAATATCAGCA	mutants

		To check deletion
Ec yeeA del CK F	GGTGTGTTAACGCGATGAC	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec basR del CK F	CGGTATCAGGTTGACCAGAA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec metA del CK F	CTTCAACATGCAGGCTCGA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yjdC del CK F	GGCCTACCGACAATTCTCTTT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec purH del CK F	GAGCGTTGCGCAAACGTTTT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yagF del CK F	CGCAGATGTATCAGCTGGAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec glyS del CK F	GCCTACGAGCGTATTCTGAA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yjgL del CK F	CGTGATGACTTTTCGCCGTA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yddJ del CK F	CTGGGAAGAACAATTGCCCTA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yciN del CK F	GCGTCACACTGTACCTGATA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec pgi del CK F	GCGCTAAGGGTTTACACTCA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yhdY del CK F	CGCTATTGGCTATCCCGATA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec nikD del CK F	GCGCTGTTTATCAGCGTGAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec recO del CK F	GCGCGTAAAGACATGCAGGAAA	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec iclR del CK F	CCACCACGCAACATGAGATT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec yggR del CK F	CTGACTGCAAGCAGCGTAAT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec JW5728 del CK F	CGAAGAGCTACCTTTCACCT	mutants
		To check deletion
Ec nhaA del CK F	CGCTGATGGCGCAAATTCTT	mutants
Ec apmM del CK B	CTAAGAACGCTGGCGTAG	To check deletion
		mutants
		To check deletion
Ec envC del CK R	GACGATGGCAAGTTTGCCA	mutants

Ea phop dol CK E		To check deletion
EC phor del CK r	GITIACCCCCATAACCACATAAT	mutants
pCL1920 seq F	GCTTCCGGCTCGTATGTTG	Cloning and Sequencing
pCL1920 seq R	GTGCTGCAAGGCGATTAAGT	Cloning and Sequencing
Ec envC npfwd		Cloning into pCL1920
HindIII	CTAGAAGCTTCAACTGCTGATCACCGCTG	
Ec envC rev BamHI	CTAG <u>GGATCC</u> ACGACGAAATGGAAACAAAAC	Cloning into pCL1920
Ec envC rev2	GCTGACCTTGCTGGATGGA	Sequencing
Ec zapB npFwd HindIII	ATG <u>AAGCTT</u> GAGCGTTATCGCGCCATTTA	Cloning into pCL1920
		Cloning into pCL1920
Ec zapB EcoRI	GTC <u>GAATTC</u> TACCTGTTGGCCTACACAGT	croning inco pelijzo
Ec amiA del F	\underline{g} atataacatctggaactttattattacaactcaggccgtgtgtaggctggagctgcttc	amiA682 deletion
Ec amiA del R	$\frac{caccagcaccgaaggaaccgacggtgatttcaacaccaca}{atgggaattagccatggtcc}$	amiA682 deletion
		To check deletion
EC AMIA del CK F	aggelgallalggeglgaac	mutants
Fo amin dol CK P		To check deletion
EC AMIA GEI CA A		mutants
Ec amiB del F	$\underline{gctggcgcgtttagccggttaacctttgaaaggtggcggg}gtgtaggctggagctgcttc$	amiB856 deletion
Ec amiB del R	agccagctggccatttcactgttggcgcgacggttagagaatgggaattagccatggtcc	amiB856 deletion
For amiR dol CK F		To check deletion
EC AMIB GEI CK F		mutants
Fc amiB del CK B	ttotttaactaccaacaa	To check deletion
		mutants
Ec nlpD del F	$\frac{\texttt{ttatgtcactggttattaaccaatttttcctgggggataa}{\texttt{gtgtaggctggagctgcttc}}$	nlpD449 deletion
Ec nlpD del R	$\underline{caaggtctgaccaacgttcagcgcgtatggtgcctgaataatgggaattagccatggtcc}$	nlpD449 deletion
Ea plan del CK E		To check deletion
EC NIPD del CK F	lgegettigteeettagtg	mutants
		To check deletion
EC HIPD del CK R	agticololloggocaaalo	mutants
EC AMIA SUMO E		amiA cloning into pET-
	yeeneeyeeaaayaeyaac	SUMO
Ec amia SIMO P		amiA cloning into pET-
LC AIITA JONO K		SUMO
Ec amiB SUMO E		amiB cloning into pET-
LC AINTE SOMO F	lyeleeleeyy lyeyacyeleeleya lattea	SUMO

Ec amiB SUMO R	gtaagacctgaattggcatc	amiB cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec envC SUMO F	gatgagcgtgaccaactcaa	envC cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec envC SUMO R	cgttacgacgaaatggaaac	envC cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec amiC SUMO F	gcggtcagccaggtcgtg	amiC cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec amiC SUMO R	cgccattcagcgcctttta	amiC cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec nlpD SUMO F	tctgacacttcaaatccacc	nlpD cloning into pET- SUMO
Ec nlpD SUMO R	cttgacggaacattcaagca	nlpD cloning into pET- SUMO
pet-sumo f	caagctgatcagacccctga	Sequencing pET-SUMO plasmids
pet-sumo r	gcagccggatctcagtggt	Sequencing pET-SUMO plasmids
^b St envC del F	gactggtaagccgctgttcatcgtggaataatccctccccgtgtaggctggagctgcttc	envC deletion
St envC del R	gccttgtagccagtccgccagaatcaccctgccgtcggcgatgggaattagccatggtcc	envC deletion
St envC del CK F	CAGACATTGCGCCTACCATG	To check deletion mutants
St rfaL del F	agagactctgtctcatcccaaacctattgtggagaaaaggtgtaggctggagctgcttc	rfaL deletion
St rfaL del R	gaaaacgcgctgataccgtaataagtatcagcgcgtttttatgggaattagccatggtcc	rfaL deletion
St rfaL del CK F	ccacaagcgtatttggaaag	To check deletion mutants
St rfaL del CK R	gtgattgagtcctgatgatg	
St rfbB del F	aattaaataccacattaaatacgccttatggaatagaaaagtgtaggctggagctgcttc	rfbB deletion
St rfbB del R	caactctgatacgccccacttttaacattgtttacccattatgggaattagccatggtcc	rfbB deletion
St rfbB del CK F	gtgtaacgacttgagcaatt	To check deletion mutants
St rfbB del CK R	ccttcatagttctgttctat	

^aEc indicates *E. coli* BW25113 and ^bSt *Salmonella enterica* Typhimurium 14028s.

Mutants putatively identified as being hypersusceptible to HNP-1					
No.	Fold Change (Control/HNP-1)	Gene name	JW annotation in W3110	b annotation in K-12	
1	9.20	phnB	JW4068	b4107	
2	7.80	yhcB	JW5539	b3233	
3	7.23	pheM	JW1705	b1715	
4	6.75	nanA	JW3194	b3225	
5	6.60	fimB	JW4275	b4312	
6	6.28	yhcM	JW3201	b3232	
7	5.84	amiB	JW4127	b4169	
8	5.31	yjbS	JW5717	b4054	
9	5.13	yjgX	JW5763	No b annotation; located inside $y_{jg}W$ (b4274)	
10	4.50	glpR	JW3386	b3423	
11	4.44	mdh	JW3205	b3236	
12	4.40	pdhR	JW0109	b0113	
13	4.36	yiiU (zapB)	JW3899	b3928	
14	3.92	yibP (envC)	JW5646	b3613	
15	3.85	yhhN	JW3433	b3428	
16	3.54	yadM	JW0134	b0138	
17	3.48	veeA	JW1990	b2008	
18	3.21	JW5728	JW5728	No b annotation	
19	3.13	metA	JW3973	b4013	
20	3.04	basR	JW4074	b4113	
21	2.65	yjgH	JW4206	b4248	
22	2.51	vjdC	JW5733	b4135	
23	2.47	purH	JW3970	b4006	
24	2.37	vagF	JW0262	b0269	
25	2.31	glvS	JW3530	b3559	
26	2.26	vigL	JW5757	b4253	
27	2.26	vddJ	JW1466	b1470	
28	2.20	vciN	JW1265	b1273	
29	2.13	ngi	JW3985	b4025	
30	2.12	vhdY	JW5545	b3270	
31	2.05	nikD	JW3444	b3479	
32	2.04	recO	JW2549	b2565	
33	2.03	iclR	JW3978	b4018	
34	2.03	vggR	JW2917	b2950	
Muta	nts putatively identi	fied as being h	vper-resistant to HN	IP-1	
No.	Fold Change (Control/HNP-1)	Gene name	JW annotation in W3110	b annotation in K-12	
1	0.0933	pntB	JW1594	b1602	
2	0.0758	atoA	JW2216	b2222	

TABLE S3 Mutants identified as putatively hypersusceptible or hyper-resistant to HNP-1 Mutants putatively identified as being hypersusceptible to HNP-1

	3	0.0712	nhaA	JW0018	b0019
	4	0.0683	cysB	JW1267	b1275
	5	0.0603	tatA	JW3813	b3836
185					
186					
187					
188					
189					
190					
191					
192					
193					
194					
195					
196	TABL	E S4 MICs of HNI	P-1 and LL-37 a	against the $\Delta envC$ m	utant
				MIC ^a (µg/ml)	
	Strain		HNP-1	LI	37
	WT _{Ec}		>250	7	.8
	$\Delta envC$,	62.5	3	.9
	$\Delta envC$	C/penvC	>250	7	.8
197	^a MIC y	was determined as o	lescribed in the	supplemental meth	ods. Shown is the mean of values

^aMIC was determined as described in the supplemental methods. Shown is the mean of values
 obtained from two independent experiments performed in duplicate, which gave identical results.

				Ec
Spot No. ^a	Relative changes in $\Delta envC$ vs. WT _{Ec}	Protein name	pI	Description/Function
From o	uter membrane p	rotein samp	oles run	on 2-DE gel
	Down	OmpA	5.99	Outer membrane porin A
1	Down	TolC	5.46	Outer membrane channel protein
	Down	OmpT	5.76	Outer membrane protease
	Down	FliC	4.5	Flagella filament structural protein (flagellin)
2	Down	OmpC	4.39	Outer membrane porin C
Z	Down	LamB	4.62	Maltose outer membrane porin
	Down	OmpF	4.57	Outer membrane porin F
From in	nner membrane pi	rotein samp	oles run	on 2-DE gel
	Up	AcrB	5.15	Acriflavin resistance protein B
3	Up	AdhE	6.32	Pyruvate-formate-lyase deactivase
	Up	NuoG	5.85	NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase subunit G
	Down	HflC	6.29	Inner membrane protein that regulates FtsH
4				Inner membrane protein for the EmrAB efflux
	Down	EmrA	9.33	pump
^a See nur	nbering in the 2-D	E gel imag	ges in Fi	g. S7 in the Supplemental Information.

TABLE S5 Proteins whose expression level is altered in the $\Delta envC$ mutant relative to WT_{Ec}

H₂N-ACYCRIPACIAGERRYGTCTYOGRLWAFCC HNP-1 (+3) H₂N-QKYYCRVRGGRCAVLSCLPKEEQIGKCSTRGRKCCRRKK HBD3 (+11) LL-37 H₂N-LLGDFFRKSKEKIGKEEKRIVQRIKDFLRNLVPRTES* (+6) *: amidated **Polymyxin B** (+5) L-DAB-L-Thr-L-DAB-L-DAB-L-DAB-D-Phe-L-Leu H₃C Ŕ -L-DAB-L-DAB R: CH_3 (B_1), CH_2CH_3 (B_2) DAB: diaminobutyric acid

208 209

207

206

FIG S1 Cationic antimicrobial peptides used in this study. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the
 positive charges of respective peptides. β-sheet peptide: HNP-1 and HBD3; α-helical peptide:
 LL-37; and cyclic lipopeptide: polymyxin B

- 213
- 214
- 215
- 216
- 217 218
- 219
- 220
- 221
- 222

- 225
- 226
- 220
- 221
- 228



- 231

FIG S2 The neighboring genes of envC, amiA, amiB, and nlpD are not involved in CAMP resistance. (A) Genetic organization of neighboring genes of envC in E. coli. (B) Genetic organization of neighboring genes of amiA, amiB, and nlpD in E. coli. Mutants deleted for the downstream gene of amiA, amiB, envC, or nlpD show wild-type susceptibility to both HNP-1 and LL-37. Wild-type E. coli and mutant strains grown to mid-log phase in LB were examined for HNP-1 (100 µg/ml) or LL-37 (5 µg/ml) susceptibility.



Substrate: Dye-labeled peptidoglycan



 A

- FIG S3 EnvC and its cognate amidases do not degrade HNP-1. (A) Purified proteins were run
 on 4–20% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and stained with Coomassie Blue. (B) Dye-labeled
 peptidoglycan. (C) Cleavage of peptidoglycan by amidases (EnvC+AmiA; EnvC+AmiB; and
 NlpD+AmiC). (D) HNP-1 degradation assay (see Supplemental Methods for details). Arrows
 indicate intact HNP-1. The amidase (AmiB+EnvC) did not degrade HNP-1 (data not shown), and
 none of the amidases, (AmiA+EnvC), (AmiB+EnvC), and (AmiC+NlpD), cleaved LL-37 (data
 not shown).
- 249 not si



FIG S4 The *E. coli* $\Delta envC$ mutant exhibits altered outer membrane permeability. Outer membrane permeability was determined by measuring the rate of fluorescent dye uptake using (A) Ethidium bromide and (B) Nile red. Values for " $\Delta envC_{Ec}$ normalized to WT" were obtained by subtracting Δ fluorescence_{t=0} (WT_{Ec}- $\Delta envC_{Ec}$, at zero time point) from values of $\Delta envC_{Ec}$ at other time points. Note that the $\Delta envC_{Ec}$ mutant exhibits bi-phasic uptake of ethidium bromide. Shown is a representative of more than three independent experiments with similar trends.

Wild-type E. coli

 $\Delta envC_{\rm Ec}$



276

FIG S5 Microscopic examination of the *E. coli* wild-type and $\Delta envC$ strains. Transmission electron micrograph of wild-type *E. coli* and $\Delta envC_{Ec}$ mutant strains. Wild-type *E. coli*, × 28100 magnification and $\Delta envC_{\rm Ec}$, × 22000 magnification. Red arrows indicate ghost cells and cell debris, which are not observed in the wild-type.





A

B

- 305
- 306
- 307

FIG S6 Inactivation of *envC* does not alter the length and distribution of LPS. (A) Western blot of lipid A for *E. coli* (BW25113) and *S. typhimurium* (14028s). *Salmonella* $\Delta rfaL$ and $\Delta rfbB$ mutants produce truncated LPS and are used as controls. (B) Western blot of lipid A in membrane fractions prepared by sucrose gradient fractionation: the inner membrane fractions (5-11) and outer membrane fractions (12-25).

- 313
- 314
- 315
- 316
- 317



321

FIG S7 The E. coli $\Delta envC$ mutant exhibits altered surface properties. (A) Surface charges was measured via binding of the cationic protein cytochrome c to cells and represented as the percent bound mean±s.d. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. (B) Hydrophobicity of cell surfaces was determined as adherence of cells to hexadecane and represented as the mean±s.d. of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by paired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test (*, p < 0.05 and ***, p < 0.001).



- **FIG S8** Membrane and periplasmic protein profiles of the *E. coli* wild-type and $\Delta envC$ strains. (A) Outer membrane (OM) protein
- samples (800 µg each) run on 2-DE gels. (B) Inner membrane (IM) protein samples (800 µg each). Protein spots in boxed area in 2 DE gels were excised and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Note that #1 is a combined sample. See Supplemental Methods for 2-DE
- b) gets were excised and analyzed by mass spectrometry. (C) Periplasmic protein samples (20 μg each) were 354 procedures and Table S5 for the list of proteins identified by mass spectrometry. (C) Periplasmic protein samples (20 μg each) were
- run on 4-20% TGX gel (Bio-rad) and visualized by Coomassie staining. Red dots indicate protein bands with pronounced changes in
- 356 intensity and restored to wild-type levels upon genetic complementation.



FIG S9 The *E. coli* $\Delta nlpD$ and $\Delta amiC$ mutants are hypersusceptible to LL-37, but not to HNP-1. (A) HNP-1 (at 100 µg/ml) killing assay. (B) LL-37 (at 5 µg/ml) killing assay. A non-polar $\Delta nlpD$ mutant was constructed (Table S1 and S2), and a mutant deleted for *rpoS*, the downstream gene of *nlpD*, was confirmed for wild-type HNP-1 and LL-37 susceptibilities (Fig.

367 S2B). Killing assays for $\Delta nlpD$ and $\Delta amiC$ strains were performed at the same time with other 368 strains shown in Fig. 3. Shown is the mean±s.d. of three independent experiments. Statistical

- significance was determined by one-way ANOVA test (**, p < 0.01).

374 Supplemental References

375	1.	Turner J, Cho Y, Dinh N-N, Waring AJ, Lehrer RI. 1998. Activities of LL-37, a
376		cathelin-associated antimicrobial peptide of human neutrophils. Antimicrob Agents
377		Chemother 42: 2206-2214.
378	2.	Uehara T, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. 2009. LytM-domain factors are required for
379		daughter cell separation and rapid ampicillin-induced lysis in Escherichia coli. J
380		Bacteriol 191: 5094-5107.
381	3.	Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. 2010. Daughter cell separation is
382		controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. EMBO J 29:1412-1422.
383	4.	Thomassin J-L, Lee MJ, Brannon JR, Sheppard DC, Gruenheid S, Le Moual H.
384		2013. Both group 4 capsule and lipopolysaccharide O-antigen contribute to
385		enteropathogenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> resistance to human α -defensin 5. PLoS ONE
386		8: e82475.
387	5.	Sperandeo P, Lau FK, Carpentieri A, De Castro C, Molinaro A, Dehò G, Silhavy
388		TJ, Polissi A. 2008. Functional analysis of the protein machinery required for transport
389		of lipopolysaccharide to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 190:4460-
390		4469.
391	6.	Davis JMR, Goldberg JB. 2012. Purification and visualization of lipopolysaccharide
392		from Gram-negative bacteria by hot aqueous-phenol extraction. J Vis Exp 28:e3916.
393	7.	Marani P, Wagner S, Baars L, Genevaux P, De Gier J-W, Nilsson I, Casadio R, Von
394		Heijne G. 2006. New Escherichia coli outer membrane proteins identified through
395		prediction and experimental verification. Protein Sci 15:884-889.

396	8.	Reusch VM, Burger MM. 1974. Distribution of marker enzymes between mesosomal
397		and protoplast membranes. J Biol Chem 249:5337-5345.
398	9.	Yakhnina AA, McManus HR, Bernhardt TG. 2015. The cell wall amidase AmiB is
399		essential for Pseudomonas aeruginosa cell division, drug resistance and viability. Mol

- 400 Microbiol **97:**957-973.
- 401 10. Sato T, Ito K, Yura T. 1977. Membrane proteins of *Escherichia coli* K-12: Two-
- 402 dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of inner and outer membranes. Eur J
 403 Biochem **78:**557-567.
- 404 11. Ames GF, Prody C, Kustu S. 1984. Simple, rapid, and quantitative release of
 405 periplasmic proteins by chloroform. J Bacteriol 160:1181-1183.
- Lange R, Fischer D, Hengge-Aronis R. 1995. Identification of transcriptional start sites
 and the role of ppGpp in the expression of *rpoS*, the structural gene for the sigma S
 subunit of RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 177:4676-4680.
- 40913.Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
- 410 *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **97:**6640-6645.
- 411 14. Grenier F, Matteau D, Baby V, Rodrigue S. 2014. Complete genome sequence of
- 412 *Escherichia coli* BW25113. Genome Announc **2**:e01038-01014.
- 413 15. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita
- 414 **M, Wanner BL, Mori H.** 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-
- 415 gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol **2**:2006.0008.
- 416 16. Lerner CG, Inouye M. 1990. Low copy number plasmids for regulated low-level
- 417 expression of cloned genes in *Escherichia coli* with blue/white insert screening
- 418 capability. Nucleic Acids Res **18:**4631.