

Bifurcation Analysis on a Variation of the FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) Model

Mengxue Zhang, Vanessa Tidwell, Patricio S. La Rosa, James D Wilson, Hari Eswaran, Arye Nehorai

Bifurcation analysis

The variation of the FHN equations is represented as

$$\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} (k(v_m - v_1)(v_2 - v_m)(v_m - v_3) - w + \nu) \triangleq f_1(v_m, w), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_2 (\beta v_m - \gamma w + \delta) \triangleq f_2(v_m, w). \quad (2)$$

The nullclines of the FHN model are given by the following two equations

$$f_1(v_m, w) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$f_2(v_m, w) = 0. \quad (4)$$

The v_m -nullcline is a cubic function of v_m , which is shown as

$$w = k(v_m - v_1)(v_2 - v_m)(v_m - v_3) + \nu, \quad (5)$$

and the w -nullcline is a straight line as follows:

$$w = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} v_m + \frac{\delta}{\gamma}. \quad (6)$$

The equilibrium of the FHN system is the intersection of these two nullclines, which is defined as (v_m^*, w^*) . The stimulus amplitude ν can then be denoted as a function of the

equilibrium v_m^* with other parameters fixed:

$$\nu(v_m^*) = -k(v_m^* - v_1)(v_2 - v_m^*)(v_m^* - v_3) + (\beta v_m^* + \delta)/\gamma. \quad (7)$$

We are interested in the case when there is always only one equilibrium in the FHN system whatever the stimulus amplitude ν , for which the slope of the w -nullcline should be greater than the maximal slope of the v_m -nullcline. The slope of the straight w -nullcline is $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, while the maximal slope of the v_m -nullcline is found at the point $v_m = \frac{v_1 + v_2 + v_3}{3}$ and it is equal to $\frac{k(v_1 + v_2 + v_3)^2}{3} - k(v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3)$. The condition for the existence and uniqueness of equilibrium is therefore given by:

$$\Delta_1 \triangleq (v_1 + v_2 + v_3)^2 - 3(v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3) - \frac{3\beta}{k\gamma} < 0. \quad (8)$$

The local stability of the equilibrium is determined by linearization of the nonlinear FHN model at the equilibrium, which is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} v_m - v_m^* \\ w - w^* \end{pmatrix}$, and \mathbf{D} is the Jacobian matrix at the equilibrium (v_m^*, w^*) , which is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial f_1(v_m, w)}{\partial v_m} & \frac{\partial f_1(v_m, w)}{\partial w} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(v_m, w)}{\partial v_m} & \frac{\partial f_2(v_m, w)}{\partial w} \end{array} \right) \Bigg|_{(v_m^*, w^*)} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} f_{1v_m} & f_{1w} \\ f_{2v_m} & f_{2w} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k)}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} \\ \varepsilon_2 \beta & -\varepsilon_2 \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k) = -3k v_m^{*2} + 2k(v_1 + v_2 + v_3)v_m^* - k(v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3)$. The solution of Eq. (9) can be represented as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \exp(\lambda t) \mathbf{v}, \quad (11)$$

where λ is the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix D and v is its corresponding eigenvector. By solving the characteristic equation

$$\det(D - \lambda I) = 0, \tag{12}$$

we obtain the relationship between eigenvalue λ and the equilibrium v_m^* , which is given as follows:

$$\lambda^2 - (f_{1v_m} + f_{2w})\lambda + (f_{1v_m}f_{2w} - f_{1w}f_{2v_m}) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Depending on the values of eigenvalues, we can determine whether the equilibrium is stable or not. Since we are interested in characterizing the stability of equilibrium as a function of model parameters, we need to compute eigenvalues as a function of these parameters and so we assess stability through the evaluation of two conditions as presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Conditions for the stability of equilibrium

Type	Stability	Eigenvalues (λ_1 and λ_2)	Condition 1	Condition 2
saddle	unstable	$\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0$ or $\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 > 0$	$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$	
node/focus	stable	$Re(\lambda_1) < 0, Re(\lambda_2) < 0$	$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 0$
node/focus	unstable	$Re(\lambda_1) > 0, Re(\lambda_2) > 0$	$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$

When the node or focus loses stability, it is possible to construct a bounding surface around the unstable equilibrium. According to the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem [1], a limit cycle must exit in the FHN system when the node or focus is unstable. In other words, the behavior of the FHN system changes qualitatively from a stable equilibrium to a limit cycle. Therefore, in order to identify the ranges of v_m^* so that the FHN system has a limit cycle, we need to compute condition 1 and condition 2 in Table 1 under which the node or focus is unstable, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 &= f_{1v_m} f_{2w} - f_{1w} f_{2v_m} \\ &= -\varepsilon_2 \gamma \frac{q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k)}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} + \frac{\varepsilon_2 \beta}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 &= f_{1v_m} + f_{2w} \\ &= \frac{q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k)}{\varepsilon_1 c_m} - \varepsilon_2 \gamma > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

With the condition (8), the discriminant of inequality (14) is negative. Therefore,

inequality (14) is satisfied for all v_m^* so that we only need to consider inequality (15). If the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial in inequality (15) is negative, the inequality is also satisfied for all v_m^* . In this work, we are interested in the case when the quadratic polynomial has positive discriminant, i.e.,

$$\Delta_2 > 0, \tag{16}$$

where $\Delta_2 = (v_1 + v_2 + v_3)^2 - 3(v_1v_2 + v_1v_3 + v_2v_3) - \frac{3\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2c_m\gamma}{k}$, in which case inequality (15) is satisfied if

$$\frac{v_1 + v_2 + v_3 - \sqrt{\Delta_2}}{3} < v_m^* < \frac{v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + \sqrt{\Delta_2}}{3}. \tag{17}$$

In a word, the equilibrium is unstable and instead the FHN system has a limit cycle if the equilibrium satisfies inequality (17) with the parameters $v_1, v_2, v_3, k, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, c_m$ satisfying inequalities (8) and (16). Together with Eq. (7) and inequality (17), we finally obtain the range of the stimulus amplitude ν to produce a limit cycle.

At the transition point (the point at which equilibrium loses stability), the real parts of eigenvalues vanish and the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \pm i\sqrt{f_{1v_m}f_{2w} - f_{1w}f_{2v_m}}. \tag{18}$$

These eigenvalues correspond to an oscillatory solution, i.e., spike trains, with a frequency given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sqrt{f_{1v_m}f_{2w} - f_{1w}f_{2v_m}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1c_m}} \sqrt{-\gamma q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k) + \beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

According to Eq. (7), v_m^* in the above equation is a function of $v_1, v_2, v_3, k, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \nu$. Hence, the frequency can be represented as

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1c_m}} g(v_1, v_2, v_3, k, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \nu), \tag{20}$$

where $g(v_1, v_2, v_3, k, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \nu) = \sqrt{-\gamma q(v_m^*, v_1, v_2, v_3, k) + \beta}$, which is a function of $v_1,$

$v_2, v_3, k, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \nu.$

References

1. Hale JK, Buttanri H, Kocak H. Dynamics and Bifurcations. Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer New York; 1996.