# Pathology tissue-quantitative mass spectrometry analysis to profile histone post-translational modification patterns in patient samples

Roberta Noberini, Andrea Uggetti, Giancarlo Pruneri, Saverio Minucci and Tiziana Bonaldi

# **Supplemental Data**



**Figure S1.** Scheme of protocols used to isolate histones from different types of samples. (A) FFPE tissues (mouse and human). After the permeabilization step, the PAT-ChIP protocol (Fanelli et al. 2010, *PNAS*) can also be performed, since the initial steps are shared between the two protocols. (B) Frozen human breast cancer tissue. (C) Frozen mouse liver and spleen. (D) Breast cancer cell lines.



**Figure S2**. hPTM % Relative abundance (%RA) correlation between different FFPE sections from the same spleen sample. Correlation of %RA calculated for mouse spleen histones obtained from adjacent FFPE slices. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and p-values are shown.



Figure S3. (A) Molecular classification of breast cancer biopsies 1-3. (B) Coomassiestaining visualization of histones purified from FFPE and frozen breast cancer samples. Twenty µg of FFPE extracts and 4 µg of histones obtained from frozen biopsies were loaded on a 17% gel. Non-relevant lanes were removed. (C) List of H3 and H4 peptides identified from frozen or FFPE samples for breast cancer human biopsies 1-3. (D) Percent relative abundances (%RA) profiles for H3 modified peptides from frozen or FFPE samples for human breast cancer biopsy #3. Error bars represent the standard error from triplicate measurements. (E) Correlation of %RA values calculated for FFPE and fresh-frozen tissues from three human breast cancer biopsies. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and p-value are shown.





**Figure S4.** Set up of the super-SILAC approach for hPTM analysis. (A) Molecular classification of the breast cancer cell lines tested. Uncl: unclassified, Lu: luminal, Ba: Basal, ER: estrogen receptor, PR: progesteron receptor, HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (B) Schematic rappresentation of the SILAC approach used to profile hPTMs in five heavy-labelled breast cancer cell lines using unlabelled normal breast MCF10 cells as spike-in. (C) H/L SILAC ratios for modified peptides were obtained from extracted ion chromatographic peaks for light and heavy peptides in duplicate experiments. Ratios from experiment 1 and 2 were obtained using an uHPLC system in combination with a Q Exactive instrument, or an HPLC system in combination with a LTQ-Velos Orbitrap instrument, respectively. (D) Heatmap display of log2(H/L) ratios for hPTMs in the five breast cancer cell lines and hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean distance. Based on their different modification patterns, MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 were selected to generate the spike-in super-SILAC standard for the analysis of breast cancer cell lines and primary samples (E).



**Figure S5**. Comparison of ratios obtained from AUC and %RA values. Heatmap display of the log<sub>2</sub> of ratios obtained for the indicated hPTMs for frozen and FFPE breast cancer biopsies (average from 3 technical replicates), using different normalization strategies (schematized in the top panels). L/H of % relative abundances (%RA) or area under the curve (AUC) values are shown in A and B, respectively. In B, L/H ratios were corrected based on the SILAC ratio of an unmodified peptide to account for mixing errors. In C and D the ratio shown in A and B were divided by the average ratio across all the samples analyzed (FFPE and frozen were averaged separately). AUC ratios for peptide 3-8 (shown in B) were lower in FFPE samples compared to frozen, likely due to lower extraction efficiency from paraffin, but %RA values were not affected.



**Figure S6**. Ratios obtained for the indicated hPTMs in FFPE breast cancer biopsies belonging to different subtypes were compared by one-way ANOVA; only modified peptides showing significant changes are shown. Normalized ratios are the %RA ratios divided by the average ratio across all the samples analyzed. Error bars represent the standard error from 5 biological replicates. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 by one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni's post-hoc test.



**Figure S7.** (A) Representative images from Luminal A-like and Triple negative FFPE sections corresponding to the samples analyzed in Figure 4 A-B, which were immunostained with an anti-H3K27me3 antibody (Millipore 07-449, 1:100) and H&E. (B) Immunostained sections were quantified based on the percentage and intensity of stained cells. The level of staining was evaluated by assigning to each image a score from 0 to 300, which corresponds to the percent of stained cells multiplied for the intensity of the staining (score 1, 2 or 3 for increasing intensity). Scalebar: 100  $\mu$ m

**Figure S8.** (separate PDF file). Representative MS/MS spectra for all the identified modified histone peptides obtained from histone extraction and GeLC-MS analysis (parameters for these spectra are reported in Table S2).

**Dataset S1** (separate Excel file). AUC and %RA values obtained for mouse samples (spleen, spleen 6 years and liver).

**Dataset S2** (separate Excel file). AUCs, %RAs and ratios obtained for breast cancer biopsies 1-3.

**Dataset S3** (separate Excel file). AUCs, %RAs and ratios obtained for the breast cancer biopsies shown in Figure 4.

Tissue	Octamer yield $(\mu M)^{1}$	$n^2$
Spleen	$70 \pm 8.1^3$	4
Liver	$16 \pm 4.5$	2
Breast	$46 \pm 29$	25
Kidney	20	1
Heart	10	1

Table S1. Histone yield from FFPE tissues

<sup>1</sup> based on comparison with known amounts of recombinant histone H3.1 on a Comassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel

<sup>2</sup> n = number of experiments, <sup>3</sup> average  $\pm$  SE

		. 1		<b>.</b> 2		2	
Peptide		sple	ren	liv	er	spleen	6 years
		Frozen	FFPE	Frozen	FFPE	Frozen	FFPE
	3-8 unmod	$91 \pm 0.44$	$91 \pm 0.14$	$89 \pm 0.33$	$86 \pm 0.07$	$85 \pm 0.74$	$80 \pm 0.44$
	K4me1	$7.5 \pm 0.23$	$6.7 \pm 0.31$	$7.3 \pm 0.3$	$9.6 \pm 0.08$	$9.2 \pm 0.74$	$15 \pm 0.55$
	K4me2	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	$0.19 \pm 0.034$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.009$	$0.22 \pm 0.06$
	K4ac	$1.2 \pm 0.21$	$1.8 \pm 0.14$	$4 \pm 0.007$	$3.9 \pm 0.01$	$4.7 \pm 0.01$	$4.1 \pm 0.04$
	9-17 unmod	$26 \pm 2.2$	$26 \pm 0.45$	$22 \pm 0.12$	$20 \pm 0.49$	$25 \pm 0.02$	$20 \pm 0.35$
	K9me1 or K14me1	$11 \pm 0.07$	8.9 ± 3.6	$17 \pm 0.49$	$16 \pm 0.52$	$12 \pm 0.04$	$12 \pm 0.04$
	K9me2	$18 \pm 1.1$	$18 \pm 1.4$	$18 \pm 0.5$	$16 \pm 0.81$	$12 \pm 0.06$	$11 \pm 0.33$
	K9me3	$7.8 \pm 0.26$	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	$1.9 \pm 0.017$	$3.3 \pm 0.15$	$3.9 \pm 0.05$	$4.3 \pm 0.11$
	K14ac	$15 \pm 0.55$	$16 \pm 1.7$	$14 \pm 0.11$	$15 \pm 0.23$	$23 \pm 0.14$	$23 \pm 0.53$
	K9me1/K14ac	$7.5 \pm 0.32$	$6.1 \pm 2$	$12 \pm 0.24$	$14 \pm 0.16$	$11 \pm 0.23$	$14 \pm 0.04$
	K9me2/K14ac	$11 \pm 0.94$	$12 \pm 1.9$	$12 \pm 0.22$	$13 \pm 0.46$	$9.7 \pm 0.13$	$10 \pm 0.38$
	K9me3/K14ac	$3.3 \pm 0.19$	$3.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.82 \pm 0.03$	$1.6 \pm 0.002$	$1.8 \pm 0.03$	$2.3 \pm 0.08$
	K9ac/K14ac	$0.74 \pm 0.01$	$1.2 \pm 0.31$	$1.4 \pm 0.01$	$1.9 \pm 0.005$	$1.7 \pm 0.004$	$2.9 \pm 0.05$
	K9me1/K14ac		$0.7 \pm 0.22$				$0.47 \pm 0.0002$
	K9me2/K14ac		0.09				$0.5 \pm 0.01$
	K9me3/K14ac		$0.04 \pm 0.005$				
	K9 form		$0.03 \pm 0.01$				
	18-26 unmod	$77 \pm 0.82$	$74 \pm 2.9$	$70 \pm 0.36$	$67 \pm 0.04$	$69 \pm 0.25$	$60 \pm 0.03$
	K18ac or K23ac	$21 \pm 0.77$	$22 \pm 3$	$27 \pm 0.29$	$27 \pm 0.06$	$28 \pm 0.19$	$31 \pm 0.01$
	K18ac/K23ac	$0.82\pm0.094$	$1.2 \pm 0.47$	$2.2 \pm 0.04$	$2.5 \pm 0.04$	$3 \pm 0.05$	$4.2 \pm 0.04$
	K18me1/K23unmod	$1 \pm 0.039$	$2 \pm 0.36$	$0.38 \pm 0.02$	$2.7 \pm 0.01$	$0.11\pm0.005$	$2.2 \pm 0.01$
	K18me1/K23me1		$0.16\pm0.18$				$0.17\pm0.0001$
3	K18form or K23form	$0.05 \pm 0.007$	$0.25 \pm 0.06$		$0.47 \pm 0.08$	$0.04\pm0.001$	$1.7 \pm 0.02$
еH	73-83 unmod	$92 \pm 0.21$	$88 \pm 1.8$	$78 \pm 0.01$	$72 \pm 1.1$	$88 \pm 0.37$	$56 \pm 1.1$
ton	K79me1	$5.7 \pm 0.76$	$9.3 \pm 2$	$16 \pm 0.15$	$20 \pm 1$	$6.9 \pm 0.38$	$38 \pm 0.98$
Hist	K79me2	$0.72 \pm 0.29$	$0.83 \pm 0.28$	$2.7 \pm 0.16$	$3.1 \pm 0.06$	$0.71 \pm 0.01$	$2.7 \pm 0.24$
	K79ac	$1.1 \pm 0.27$	$1.5 \pm 0.36$	$2.9\pm0.004$	$3 \pm 0.08$	$4.4\pm0.002$	$2.6 \pm 0.12$
	K79form	0.02 ±	$0.44 \pm 0.1$		$1.5 \pm 0.1$		
	27-40 unmod	$22 \pm 0.56$	$20 \pm 1.7$	$6.8 \pm 0.21$	$6 \pm 0.19$	$22 \pm 0.46$	$20 \pm 0.59$
	K27me1	$34 \pm 0.23$	$34 \pm 0.22$	$23 \pm 0.58$	$13 \pm 0.37$	$49 \pm 1.3$	$51 \pm 2.3$
	K36me1	$2.5 \pm 0.079$	$2.4 \pm 0.27$	$1.3 \pm 0.17$	$0.62\pm0.026$		
	K27me2	$21 \pm 2.2$	$22 \pm 0.5$	$35 \pm 0.88$	$37 \pm 0.58$	$14 \pm 0.12$	$11 \pm 0.94$
	K36me2	$1 \pm 0.51$	$1.1 \pm 0.41$			$1.4 \pm 0.006$	$1 \pm 0.085$
	K27me3	$1.5 \pm 0.22$	$1.4 \pm 0.42$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.1 \pm 0.32$	$0.89 \pm 0.009$	$0.6 \pm 0.07$
	K36me3	$0.3 \pm 0.13$	$0.29 \pm 0.02$	$0.09 \pm 0.007$			
	K27me1/K36me1	$5.6 \pm 0.87$	$6.5 \pm 0.21$	$3.4 \pm 0.87$	$3.3 \pm 0.34$	$5.5 \pm 0.52$	$8.8 \pm 1.1$
	K27me1/K37me1		$0.13 \pm 0.04$				
	K27me2/K36me1	$5 \pm 0.54$	$6.1 \pm 0.02$	$24 \pm 0.32$	$20 \pm 0.2$	$2.9 \pm 0.02$	$3.1 \pm 0.34$
	K27me1/K36me2	$2 \pm 0.68$	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.01$	$4.1 \pm 0.13$	$2.6 \pm 0.13$	$1.6 \pm 0.15$
	K27me2/K37me1		$0.08 \pm 0.01$			$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.39 \pm 0.05$
	K27me2/K36me2	$1 \pm 0.16$	$1.4 \pm 0.92$	$1.6 \pm 0.02$	$4.3 \pm 0.19$	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	$0.45 \pm 0.12$
	K27me3/K36me1	$0.54 \pm 0.07$	$0.5 \pm 0.05$	$1.1 \pm 0.06$	$4.6 \pm 0.14$	$0.22 \pm 0.002$	$0.21 \pm 0.03$
	K27me1/K36me2	$0.32 \pm 0.1$	$0.52 \pm 0.27$			$0.41 \pm 0.034$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
	K27ac	0.27	0.11				
	K27me1/K36me1/K37me1						$0.18 \pm 0.006$
	K27me1/K36me2/K37me1 or						
	K27me1/K36me1/K37me2.or	43	$12 \pm 0.15$			$0.63 \pm 0.04$	$0.71 \pm 0.05$
	K27me2/K36me1/K37me1						
	K 36form						$0.88 \pm 0.02$
	4-17 unmod	$46 \pm 1.9$	13 + 2 1	$45 \pm 0.05$	$42 \pm 0.21$	$44 \pm 0.05$	$27 \pm 1.6$
H4	Mono-Acetyl K	$44 \pm 0.51$	44 + 0.21	$42 \pm 0.007$	$43 \pm 0.18$	$42 \pm 0.05$	35 + 21
	Di-Acetyl K	87+1	$10 \pm 0.21$ $10 \pm 0.74$	$11 \pm 0.007$	$12 \pm 0.10$	$11 \pm 0.05$	14 + 0.87
	Tri-Acetyl K	$13 \pm 0.10$	$18 \pm 0.14$	$1.8 \pm 0.004$	$22 \pm 0.01$	$19 \pm 0.002$	$35 \pm 0.07$
one	Tetra-Acetyl K	$0.12 \pm 0.022$	$0.27 \pm 0.00$	$0.31 \pm 0.004$	$0.38 \pm 0.002$	$0.22 \pm 0.002$	$0.61 \pm 0.06$
istc	Mono-me	$0.12 \pm 0.023$	$0.27 \pm 0.008$ $0.49 \pm 0.67$	0.51 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.005	$0.22 \pm 0.0002$ 0.18 ± 0.002	$12 \pm 0.62$
Н	K5me/1K16ac or K8me1/K16ac	0.0 <del>4</del> ± 0.022	J.+/ ± 0.0/			0.10 ± 0.005	12 ± 0.02
1	or K12ac/K16me1	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.41\pm0.56$			$0.13 \pm 0.11$	$7.3 \pm 5.6$
1	K 5form or K 8form or K 12form	$0.11 \pm 0.15$	0.26				
L		0.11 ± 0.13	0.20	l		I	

 Table S2. % Relative abundances of histone modified peptides in frozen and FFPE mouse tissue

<sup>1</sup> average  $\pm$  SE from biological replicates, <sup>2</sup> average  $\pm$  SE from technical replicates

Breast cancer	Subtype <sup>1</sup>	Immunoprofile <sup>2</sup>				Grading	Sample
biopsy #		ER	PR	HER2	Ki-67	Grading	analyzed
LuA 1	Luminal A	95%	95%	-	15%	G2	FFPE
LuA 2	Luminal A	95%	95%	-	12%	G2	FFPE
LuA 3	Luminal A	90%	90%	80% (+)	18%	G2	FFPE
LuA 4	Luminal A	>95%	>95%	60% (+)	10%	G1	FFPE
LuA 5	Luminal A	90%	80%	30% (+)	13%	G2	FFPE
LuA 6	Luminal A	90%	90%	-	10%	G2	frozen
LuA 7	Luminal A	>95%	>95%	-	15%	G2	frozen
LuA 8	Luminal A	95%	95%	-	18%	G2	frozen
LuA 9	Luminal A	90%	90%	-	19%	G2	frozen
LuA 10	Luminal A	>95%	>95%	-	13%	G2	frozen
TN 1	Triple Negative	-	-	-	70%	G3	FFPE
TN 2	Triple Negative	-	-	-	75%	G3	FFPE
TN 3	Triple Negative	-	-	-	60%	G3	FFPE
TN 4	Triple Negative	-	-	-	70%	G3	FFPE
TN 5	Triple Negative	-	-	30% (+)	16%	G2	FFPE
TN 6	Triple Negative	-	-	-	68%	G3	frozen
TN 7	Triple Negative	-	-	20% (+)	85%	G3	frozen
TN 8	Triple Negative	-	-	15% (+)	70%	G3	frozen
TN 9	Triple Negative	-	-	-	70%	G3	frozen
TN 10	Triple Negative	-	-	30% (+)	90%	G3	frozen
HER 1	HER2 positive	-	-	90% (+++)	30%	G3	FFPE
HER 2	HER2 positive	-	-	>95% (+++)	85%		FFPE
HER 3	HER2 positive	-	-	>95% (+++)	46%	G3	FFPE
HER 4	HER2 positive	-	-	>95% (+++)	32%	G3	FFPE
HER 5	HER2 positive	-	-	>95% (+++)	75%	G3	FFPE
LuB 1	Luminal B	95%	20%	-	45%		FFPE
LuB 2	Luminal B	95%	80%	70% (++)	55%	G3	FFPE
LuB 3	Luminal B	95%	95%	65% (+)	25%	G3	FFPE
LuB 4	Luminal B	95%	10%	40% (+)	29%	G3	FFPE
LuB 5	Luminal B	95%	95%	60% (+)	26%	G3	FFPE

Table S3. Breast cancer biopsies

<sup>1</sup> Based on the Ki-67 labeling index value <sup>2</sup> ER: estrogen receptor, PR: progesteron receptor, HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor

Table S4 (separate Excel file). Parameters for representative histone modified peptides identified from FFPE samples (separate Excel file)