

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Table S1: Number of stress levels in I-E studies.

| Study | Number of stress levels (more than the control) | Significant interaction | Number of stressors |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| (Bijlsma <i>et al.</i> , 1999) | 1 | Dependent on stressor | 4 |
| (Keller <i>et al.</i> , 2002) | 1 | Dependent on trait of measure | 1 |
| (Armbruster <i>et al.</i> , 2000) | 1 | No | 2 |
| (Bijlsma <i>et al.</i> , 2000) | 1 | Yes | 2 |
| (Carr <i>et al.</i> , 2003) | 1 | No | 1 |
| (Carr and Eubanks, 2002) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Chen, 1993) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Cheptou, Berger, <i>et al.</i> , 2000) | 2 (continuous) | Dependent on trait of measure | 1 |
| (Cheptou, Imbert, <i>et al.</i> , 2000) | 1 | Dependent on trait of measure | 1 |
| (Cheptou <i>et al.</i> , 2001) | 1 | Dependent on trait of measure | 2 |
| (Dahlgaard and Hoffmann, 2000) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Dahlgaard <i>et al.</i> , 1995) | 1-2 (continuous) | Dependent on trait of measure | 2 |
| (Dahlgaard and Loeschcke, 1997) | 1 | No | 1 |
| (Dudash, 1990) | 2 (non-continuous) | Yes | 1 |
| (Eckert and Barrett, 1994) | 2 (continuous) | Yes | 1 |
| (Fowler and Whitlock, 2002) | 1 | No | 2 |
| (Haag <i>et al.</i> , 2002) | 1 | Yes | 2 |
| (Haag <i>et al.</i> , 2003) | 1 | No | 2 |
| (Hauser and Loeschcke, 1996) | 2 (continuous) | Dependent on trait of measure | 1 |
| (Henry <i>et al.</i> , 2003) | 1 | No | 1 |
| (Ivey <i>et al.</i> , 2004) | 1 | Dependent on the trait of measure | 1 |
| (Jiménez <i>et al.</i> , 1994) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Johnston, 1992) | 1 | Dependent on the trait of measure | 1 |
| (Joron and Brakefield, 2003) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Koelewijn, 1998) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Kristensen <i>et al.</i> , | 2 (non-continuous) | Dependent on the | 2 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----|
| 2003) | | trait of measure | |
| (Miller, 1994) | 2 (non-continuous) | Dependent on the trait of measure | 2 |
| (Norman <i>et al.</i> , 1995) | 1 | Dependent on the trait of measure | 1 |
| (Pray <i>et al.</i> , 1994) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Reed and Bryant, 2001) | 1 | Yes | 2 |
| (Reed <i>et al.</i> , 2002) | 3 (non-continuous) | Yes | 3 |
| (Schemske, 1983) | 1 | No | 1 |
| (Schmitt and Ehrhardt, 1990) | 1 | Yes | 2 |
| (Waller, 1984) | 2 (continuous) | No | 1 |
| (Wolfe, 1993) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Fox and Reed, 2011) | 2 (continuous) | Dependent on the trait of measure | 1 |
| (Fox <i>et al.</i> , 2010) | 3 (continuous) | Yes | 1 |
| (Hayes <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Kristensen <i>et al.</i> , 2008) | 2 (continuous) | Yes | 2 |
| (Markert <i>et al.</i> , 2010) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Marr <i>et al.</i> , 2006) | Uncontrolled continuous natural variable | Dependent on the trait of measure | 2 |
| (Nowak <i>et al.</i> , 2007) | 4 (continuous) | Dependent on the trait of measure | 1 |
| (Reed <i>et al.</i> , 2007) | Uncontrolled continuous natural variable | Yes | 1 |
| (Rowe and Beebee, 2005) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Szulkin and Sheldon, 2007) | Uncontrolled continuous natural variables | 1 out of 11 | 11 |
| (Mikkelsen <i>et al.</i> , 2010) | 1 | Yes | 1 |
| (Kristensen <i>et al.</i> , 2011) | 1 | No | 1 |
| (Enders and Nunney, 2012) | Uncontrolled continuous natural variable | Yes | 1 |
| (Franke and Fischer, 2013) | 2 | No | 1 |

References

- Armbruster P, Hutchinson RA, Linvell T (2000). Equivalent inbreeding depression under laboratory and field conditions in a tree-hole-breeding mosquito. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **267**: 1939–45.
- Bijlsma R, Bundgaard J, Boerema AC (2000). Does inbreeding affect the extinction risk of small populations?: predictions from *Drosophila*. *J Evol Biol* **13**: 502–514.
- Bijlsma R, Bundgaard J, Putten WFVAN (1999). Environmental dependence of inbreeding depression and purging in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Evol Biol* **12**: 1125–1137.
- Carr DE, Eubanks MD (2002). Inbreeding alters resistance to insect herbivory and host plant quality in *Mimulus guttatus* (Scrophulariaceae). *Evolution* **56**: 22–30.
- Carr DE, Murphy JF, Eubanks MD (2003). The susceptibility and response of inbred and outbred *Mimulus guttatus* to infection by Cucumber mosaic virus. *Evol Ecol* **17**: 85–103.
- Chen X (1993). Comparison of inbreeding and outbreeding in hermaphroditic *Arianta arbustorum* (L.) (land snail). *Heredity* **71**: 456–461.
- Cheptou P-O, Berger A, Blanchard A, Collin C, Escarre J (2000). The effect of drought stress on inbreeding depression in four populations of the Mediterranean outcrossing plant *Crepis sancta* (Asteraceae). *Heredity* **85**: 294–302.
- Cheptou P-O, Imbert E, Lepart J, Escarre J (2000). Effects of competition on lifetime estimates of inbreeding depression in the outcrossing plant *Crepis sancta* (Asteraceae). *J Evol Biol* **13**: 522–531.
- Cheptou P-O, Lepart J, Escarré J (2001). Inbreeding depression under intraspecific competition in a highl outcrossing population of *Crepis sancta* (Asteraceae): evidence for frequency-dependent variation. *Am J Bot* **88**: 1424–1429.
- Dahlgaard J, Hoffmann AA (2000). Stress resistance and environmental dependency of inbreeding depression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Conserv Biol* **14**: 1187–1192.
- Dahlgaard J, Krebs R, Loeschke V (1995). Heat-shock tolerance and inbreeding in *Drosophila buzzatii*. *Heredity* **74**: 157–163.
- Dahlgaard J, Loeschke V (1997). Effects of inbreeding in three life stages of *Drosophila buzzatii* after embryos were exposed to a high temperature stress. *Heredity* **78**: 410–416.

- Dudash MR (1990). Relative fitness of selfed and outcrossed progeny in a self-compatible, protandrous species, *Sabatia angularis* L. (Gentianaceae). *Evolution* **44**: 1129–1139.
- Eckert CG, Barrett SCH (1994). Inbreeding depression in partially self-fertilizing *Decodon verticillatus* (Lythraceae): population-genetic and experimental analyses. *Evolution* **48**: 952–964.
- Enders LS, Nunney L (2012). Seasonal stress drives predictable changes in inbreeding depression in field-tested captive populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **279**: 3756–3764.
- Fowler K, Whitlock MC (2002). Environmental stress, inbreeding, and the nature of phenotypic and genetic variance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **269**: 677–683.
- Fox CW, Reed DH (2011). Inbreeding depression increases with environmental stress: an experimental study and meta-analysis. *Evolution* **65**: 246–258.
- Fox CW, Stillwell RC, Wallin WG, Curtis CL, Reed DH (2010). Inbreeding-environment interactions for fitness: complex relationships between inbreeding depression and temperature stress in a seed-feeding beetle. *Evol Ecol* **25**: 25–43.
- Franke K, Fischer K (2013). Effects of inbreeding and temperature stress on life history and immune function in a butterfly. *J Evol Biol* **26**: 517–528.
- Hauser T, Loeschcke V (1996). Drought stress and inbreeding depression in *Lychnis flos-cuculi* (Caryophyllaceae). *Evolution* **50**: 1119–1126.
- Hayes CN, Winsor JA, Stephenson AG (2005). Environmental variation influences the magnitude of inbreeding depression in *Cucurbita pepo* ssp. *texana* (Cucurbitaceae). *J Evol Biol* **18**: 147–155.
- Henry P-Y, Pradel R, Jarne P (2003). Environment-dependent inbreeding depression in a hermaphroditic freshwater snail. *J Evol Biol* **16**: 1211–1222.
- Haag CR, Hottinger JW, Riex M, Ebert D (2002). Strong inbreeding depression in a daphnia metapopulation. *Evolution* **56**: 518–526.
- Haag CR, Sakwińska O, Ebert D (2003). Test of synergistic interaction between infection and inbreeding in *Daphnia magna*. *Evolution* **57**: 777–783.
- Ivey CT, Carr DE, Eubanks MD (2004). Effects of inbreeding in *Mimulus guttatus* on tolerance to herbivory in natural environments. *Ecology* **85**: 567–574.
- Jiménez JA, Hughes KA, Alaks G, Graham L, Lacy RC (1994). An experimental study of inbreeding depression in a natural habitat. *Science* **265**: 271–273.
- Johnston M (1992). Effects of cross and self-fertilization on progeny fitness in *Lobelia cardinalis* and *L. siphilitica*. *Evolution* **46**: 688–702.

- Joron M, Brakefield PM (2003). Captivity masks inbreeding effects on male mating success in butterflies. *Nature* **424**: 191–194.
- Keller LF, Grant PR, Grant BR, Petren K (2002). Environmental conditions affect the magnitude of inbreeding depression in survival of Darwin's finches. *Evolution* **56**: 1229–1239.
- Koelewijn HP (1998). Effects of different levels of inbreeding on progeny fitness in *Plantago coronopus*. *Evolution* **52**: 692–702.
- Kristensen TN, Barker JSF, Pedersen KS, Loeschke V (2008). Extreme temperatures increase the deleterious consequences of inbreeding under laboratory and semi-natural conditions. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **275**: 2055–2061.
- Kristensen TN, Dahlgaard J, Loeschke V (2003). Effects of inbreeding and environmental stress on fitness – using *Drosophila buzzatii* as a model organism. *Conserv Genet* **4**: 453–465.
- Kristensen TN, Knudsen MR, Loeschke V (2011). Slow inbred lines of *Drosophila melanogaster* express as much inbreeding depression as fast inbred lines under semi-natural conditions. *Genetica* **139**: 441–451.
- Markert JA, Champlin DM, Gutjahr-Gobell R, Grear JS, Kuhn A, McGreevy TJ, et al. (2010). Population genetic diversity and fitness in multiple environments. *BMC Evol Biol* **10**: 205.
- Marr AB, Arcese P, Hochachka WM, Reid JM, Keller LF (2006). Interactive effects of environmental stress and inbreeding on reproductive traits in a wild bird population. *J Anim Ecol* **75**: 1406–1415.
- Mikkelsen K, Loeschke V, Kristensen TN (2010). Trait specific consequences of fast and slow inbreeding: lessons from captive populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Conserv Genet* **11**: 479–488.
- Miller PS (1994). Is inbreeding depression more severe in a stressful environment? *Zoobiology* **13**: 195–208.
- Norman JK, Sakai AK, Weller SG, Dawson TE (1995). Inbreeding depression in morphological and physiological traits of *Schiedea lydgatei* (Caryophyllaceae) in two environments. *Evolution* **49**: 297–306.
- Nowak C, Jost D, Vogt C, Oetken M, Schwenk K, Oehlmann J (2007). Consequences of inbreeding and reduced genetic variation on tolerance to cadmium stress in the midge *Chironomus riparius*. *Aquat Toxicol* **85**: 278–284.
- Pray LA, Schwartz JM, Goodnight CJ, Stevens L (1994). Environmental dependency of inbreeding depression: implications for conservation biology. *Conserv Biol* **8**: 562–568.

- Reed DH, Briscoe DA, Frankham R (2002). Inbreeding and extinction: The effect of environmental stress and lineage. *Conserv Genet* **3**: 301–307.
- Reed DH, Bryant EH (2001). Fitness, genetic load and purging in experimental populations of the housefly. *Conserv Genet* **2**: 57–62.
- Reed DH, Nicholas AC, Stratton GE (2007). Inbreeding levels and prey abundance interact to determine fecundity in natural populations of two species of wolf spider. *Conserv Genet* **8**: 1061–1071.
- Rowe G, Beebee TJC (2005). Intraspecific competition disadvantages inbred natterjack toad (*Bufo calamita*) genotypes over outbred ones in a shared pond environment. *J Anim Ecol* **74**: 71–76.
- Schemske D (1983). Breeding system and habitat effects on fitness components in three neotropical *Costus* (Zingiberaceae). *Evolution* **37**: 523–539.
- Schmitt J, Ehrhardt DW (1990). Enhancement of inbreeding depression by dominance and suppression in *Impatiens capensis*. *Evolution* **44**: 269–278.
- Szulkin M, Sheldon BC (2007). The environmental dependence of inbreeding depression in a wild bird population. *PLOS ONE* **2**: e1027.
- Waller D (1984). Differences in fitness between seedlings derived from cleistogamous and chasmogamous flowers in *Impatiens capensis*. *Evolution* **38**: 427–440.
- Wolfe LM (1993). Inbreeding depression in *Hydrophyllum appendiculatum*: role of maternal effects, crowding, and parental mating history. *Evolution* **47**: 374–386.

Supplementary Text S1: Cooking program in mediaclave.

Ingredients were mixed such that the volume reached 2.5 L. The medium was then heated up to 121 °C (1.2 bar) at which it was maintained for 15 min. Hereafter the mixture was cooled down to 70 °C before the medium was dispensed into the vials. The vials were directly transferred to 4 °C where they were kept until usage.

Supplementary Table S2: Population specific sample size for dry body mass assessments.

Number of flies for which dry body mass was assessed in each replicate population within breeding regime across all media. A group containing less than five was not assessed, and is therefore denoted “0”.

| Breeding regime | N10 | | | | | | | | | | N50 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| Replicate population | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | A | B | C | D | E | F | A | B | C | |
| Medium 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Medium 9 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 6 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 8 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | |
| Medium 7 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 6 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 5 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 4 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 3 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 2 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Medium 1 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| Control | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |