Online Resource 2: Supplementary Tables

Plasticity of left perisylvian white-matter tracts is associated with individual differences in math learning

Dietsje Jolles*, Demian Wassermann*, Ritika Chokhani, Jennifer Richardson, Caitlin Tenison, Roland Bammer, Lynn Fuchs, Kaustubh Supekar, Vinod Menon

Address for correspondence: d.d.jolles@fsw.leidenuniv.nl; menon@stanford.edu

Submitted to Brain Structure and Function

Table 1 Mean scores and standard deviations on neuropsychological measures

| | | Mean | SD | n |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|----|
| | Age | 8.55 | 0.39 | |
| | Gender | 11F / 7M | | |
| IQ | | | | |
| | Verbal IQ | 105.67 | 16.57 | 18 |
| | Performance IQ | 104.17 | 11.26 | 18 |
| | Full-scale IQ | 105.56 | 12.11 | 18 |
| Math | | | | |
| | WIAT-II Numerical Operations | 101.67 | 14.04 | 18 |
| | WIAT-II Mathematical Reasoning | 105.39 | 12.46 | 18 |
| | Keymath Numeration | 9.88 | 2.12 | 17 |
| | Keymath Algebra | 9.47 | 2.65 | 17 |
| Reading | | | | |
| | WIAT-II Word Reading | 104.94 | 9.91 | 18 |
| | WIAT-II Reading Comprehension | 108.00 | 10.87 | 18 |
| Working Memory | | | | |
| | WMTB-C Digit Recall | 99.89 | 15.99 | 18 |
| | WMTB-C Backward Digit Recall | 89.11 | 16.40 | 18 |
| | WMTB-C Block Recall | 91.94 | 11.62 | 18 |
| | WMTB-C Count Recall | 83.41 | 16.82 | 17 |
| | | | | |

WIAT = Wechsler Individual Achievement Test; WMTB-C = Working Memory Test Battery for Children

^{*} contributed equally to this work

Table 2 FA changes in each of the six tracts of interest

| Tracts | Repeated Measures ANOVA |
|--|--|
| Left SLF-FP | F(1,17) = 0.007, p = .933 |
| Left SLF-FT | $F(1,16) = 1.972, p = .179^{\circ}$ |
| Left SLF-PT | F(1,17) = 0.325, p = .576 |
| Right SLF-FP | F(1,17) = 0.005, p = .943 |
| Right SLF-FT | F(1,17) = 0.819, p = .378 |
| Right SLF-PT | F(1,17) = 0.424, p = .524 |
| Left SLF-FT Left SLF-PT Right SLF-FP Right SLF-FT | $F(1,16) = 1.972, p = .179^{\circ}$ F(1,17) = 0.325, p = .576 F(1,17) = 0.005, p = .943 F(1,17) = 0.819, p = .378 |

[^] One outlier was excluded from this analysis (with FA change > 3 SDs).

Table 3 Correlation between performance changes and FA changes in each of the six tracts of interest

| Tracts | Pearson | p | n |
|--------------|-------------|--------|-----|
| | Correlation | | |
| Left SLF-FP | 010 | .969 | 18 |
| Left SLF-FT | .630 | .007** | 17^ |
| Left SLF-PT | 259 | .299 | 18 |
| Right SLF-FP | .466 | .051 | 18 |
| Right SLF-FT | 269 | .281 | 18 |
| Right SLF-PT | 302 | .223 | 18 |

^{**} p < .01; ^ One outlier was excluded from this analysis (with FA change > 3 SDs). A non-parametric correlation including the outlier was still significant (p < .05).

Table 4 Correlation between FA in the SLF-FT before tutoring and math ability

| Tracts | Pearson | p | n | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------|----|--|
| | Correlation | | | |
| WIAT-II Numerical Operations | 216 | .405 | 17 | |
| WIAT-II Mathematical Reasoning | 124 | .636 | 17 | |
| Keymath Numeration | 077 | .777 | 16 | |
| Keymath Algebra | 225 | .402 | 16 | |
| Efficiency | 014 | .959 | 17 | |

One outlier was excluded from all analyses (with FA change > 3 SDs).