## Supplementary Figure 1:



All flow cytometry was performed on the BD LSR II machine. Events were initially
collected for lymphocytes on FSC/SSC. (1) A live dead marker was then used to gate
onto the live lymphocytes. (2) A CD8a-PB (eFluro450) stain was then used to gate on
total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Events recorded on each experiment were collected on the CD8<sup>+</sup> T
cells gate (30,000 events). (3) Gates could then be applied to count the individual
tetramer-specific populations, with I8V-APC, D8V-PE and M45-APC shown.

16

17



18

19 Starting from total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, individual antibody stains could then be assessed on 20 whole  $CD8^+$  T cells and / or tetramer-specific populations of  $CD8^+$  T cells. (1) Total 21  $CD8^+$  T cells are shown with the antibody stain on the y-axis and the applicable 22 tetramer stain (I8V-specific responses following Ad-I8V immunisation in this case) 23 on the x-axis. CD44 and CD62L were analysed as the percentage of total CD8<sup>+</sup> T 24 cells / total tetramer-specific  $CD8^+$  T cells that were CD44 or CD62L positive. (2) 25 CD27 and CD127 were analysed according to the geometric mean of total CD8<sup>+</sup> T 26 cells. (3) On a plot of total  $CD8^+$  T cells (top right) or tetramer-specific  $CD8^+$  T cells 27 (bottom right - I8V in this case) a comparison of CD127 vs. CD62L could be made and the phenotype of these  $CD8^+$  T cells inferred from that stain. 28

## 29 **Supplementary Figure 3**:



30

31 Phenotypic markers, in blood, for the D8V and I8V-specific responses following 32 immunisation with the Ad-LacZ constructs with differing promoters is shown. The 33 CD44 and CD62L results are shown as a percentage of the tetramer-positive CD8<sup>+</sup> T 34 cells and the CD27 and CD127 as the geometric mean. Panel A represents results for 35 the D8V (inflationary) specific responses and panel B the I8V (non-inflationary) 36 responses. An early (day 14) and later (day 100) time-point is shown for each 37 antibody marker. Groups of 4 mice were used for each vector, with the mean  $\pm$  SEM 38 shown. These results are representative of 3 separate experiments.

39