Supporting Information for

C-terminal glycine-gated radical initiation by GTP 3´,8-cyclase in the molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis.

Bradley M. Hover[†] and Kenichi Yokoyama^{†*}

[†] Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, 27710.

*Corresponding Author: ken.yoko@duke.edu,

Table of Contents

Supplementary Methods

Analysis of MoaA for PTM, Anaerobic SEC Analysis, Functional Characterization of MoaA with [3'-D]GTP

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Biochemical Characterization of MoaA GG Motif Mutants

Figure S2. ITC Analysis for Substrate Binding to MoaA GG Motif Mutants

Figure S3. KIE in the wt- and G340A-MoaA catalysis

Figure S4. MS Analysis of the MoaA C-terminal tail

Figure S5. Deuterium transfer from GTP to 5'-dA in wt- and G340A-MoaA

Figure S6. Structural Comparison of Radical SAM Enzymes

Figure S7. Loops around the active-site of MoaA

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Complete List of the Steady State Kinetic Parameters for MoaA mutants Table S2. PCR Primers for MoaA GG Motif Mutants

Supplementary Methods Analysis of MoaA for PTM

MoaA (540 μ g/mL) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.0 was treated with 25 μ g/mL trypsin for 24 hours at 37°C. The digested sample was filtered with a 10,000 Da MWCO filter, lyophilized, and resuspended in water. 5 μ L of 50 μ M digested peptide was analyzed in positive ion-mode using an Agilent ESI-TOF-MS and Agilent Poroshell 120, C18, 2.1 x 75 mm, 2.7 μ m column (Part No #697775-906). Chromatography was performed with at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min using a linear gradient of 2-24% acetonitrile in water for 20 min. Data was analyzed using Agilent Masshunter software.

Anaerobic Size Exclusion Chromatography

MoaA (200 μ L of 75 μ M) was analyzed at 4 °C under anaerobic conditions by fast protein liquid chromatography (ATKA) using a GE Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (Part Number # 17-5175-01). An isocratic gradient at 3 mL/min was employed using an anaerobic buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM MgCl₂. Protein elution was monitored by absorbance at 280 nm. Elution containing MoaA were collected under the flow of argon gas and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and for activity, as described above. For analysis in the presence of substrates, 0.1 mM GTP and SAM was added to the mobile phase. A similar protocol was used for analyzing the MoaA GG motif mutants.

MS characterization of 5'-dA produced in the reactions with [3'-D]GTP

To determine the amount of deuterium atom incorporation into 5'-dA, the MoaA assay was performed in the presence of 30 μ M wt- or G340A-MoaA, 60 μ M MoaC, 0.5 mM SAM, 0.5 mM GTP or [3'-D]GTP, and with or without 0.5 mM 11-mer peptide. Reactions were filtered with a 10,000 Da MWCO filter, purified using SP-Sepharose resin (NH₄⁺ form) to remove reaction contaminants, and then analyzed in positive ion-mode using an Agilent ESI-TOF-MS and Agilent Poroshell 120, C18, 2.1 x 75 mm, 2.7 μ m column (Part No #697775-906). A linear gradient was employed at 0.2 mL/min using 30 mM ammonium formate, pH 4.5 (Solvent A) and 100% ACN (Solvent B): 2-85% B for 20 min. Data was analyzed using Agilent Masshunter software. The percent incorporation of deuterium was calculated based on: 100 x ([MS Intensity at m/z 253.1])/([MS Intensity at m/z 252.1]).



Figure S1. Characterization of MoaA GG-Motif Mutants. (a), UV-Vis Spectra of wt- and G339A-MoaA before and after anaerobic *in vitro* reconstitution of Fe-S Clusters. (b) Fe-S cluster content for each of the MoaA GG-motif mutants after reconstitution based on previously described ferrozine assay.¹ (c) and (d) Size exclusion column chromatography of wt-MoaA and MoaA GG-motif mutants in the presences of 0.1 mM SAM and GTP. MoaA and all tested MoaA variants eluted at 44.6 \pm 2.1 kDa min regardless of the anaerobic condition or presence of substrates.



Figure S2. ITC Analysis for Substrate Binding to MoaA GG Motif Mutants. Representative plots of anaerobic ITC experiments using 35 μM MoaA in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT titrated with 350 μM substrates in an identical buffer. (a) Wt-MoaA titrated with GTP. (b) G339A-MoaA titrated with GTP. (c) G340A-MoaA titrated with GTP. (d) Wt-MoaA titrated with SAM. (e) G339A-MoaA titrated with SAM. (f) G340A-MoaA titrated with SAM. (g) G339A-MoaA and 0.5 mM 11-mer Wt peptide titrated with SAM. (h) G340A-MoaA and 0.5 mM 11-mer Wt peptide titrated with SAM. (h) G340A-MoaA and 0.5 mM 11-mer Wt peptide titrated with SAM.



Figure S3. KIE in the wt- and G340A-MoaA catalysis. Rate of cPMP formation, in min⁻¹, for wt-MoaA and G340A-MoaA using GTP or [3'-D]GTP. MoaA (3 μ M) was assayed in the presence of 10 μ M MoaC, 1 mM SAM, 1 mM DTH, 5 mM DTT, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mM NaCl and 1 mM GTP or [3'-D]GTP in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6) over 20 minutes at 25 °C. Reactions were performed in triplicated, and the error bars represent standard deviations.



Figure S4. MS Analysis of the MoaA C-terminal tail. (a) Total ion chromatography from postive-ion LC-MS analysis of 50 μ M trypsin-digested wt-MoaA (red trace) and 50 μ M 8-mer synthetic peptide standard (black trace, INMNYIGG, [M+H]⁺ = 881.420). The position of elution of the unmodified C-terminal tail is indicated with arrow. (b) Mass spectra of the trypsin digested wt-MoaA (top) and the 8-mer synthetic peptide (bottom). Analysis of the digested wt MoaA indicated only the unmodified C-terminal fragment, [M+H]⁺ = 881.419. No posttranslational modification common in ubiquitin-like proteins, such as adenylation (+329 m/z), sulfation (+16 m/z) or amidation (-58 m/z), was detected.



Figure S5. Deuterium transfer from GTP to 5'-dA in wt- and G340A-MoaA. To investigate the previously discussed possibility that the GG motif as the site of glycyl radical formation, the transfer of the deuterium atom from the 3'-position of GTP to 5'-dA was studied in the wt-MoaA and in the G340A-MoaA complemented with the 11-mer peptide. The assays were performed using 30 μ M wt-MoaA or 30 μ M G340A-MoaA complemented with 0.5 mM 11-mer peptide in the presence of 60 μ M wt-MoaC, 0.5 mM SAM, and 0.5 mM [3'-D]GTP. The G340A assay uses large excess of the peptide relative to the enzyme. Under this condition, if glycyl radical is formed on the peptide and abstracts a deuterium atom from the 3'-position of GTP, the deuterium will be transferred to the peptide and not 5'-dA. (a) Average % incorporation of deuterium into 5'-dA. The % incorporation was determined based on the ratio between the intensities of the signals at *m*/*z* 252.1 and 253.1 in the LC-MS analysis of 5'-dA produced by the MoaA assays. (b and c) Representative Mass Spectra of 5'-dA from assays with wt-MoaA (b) and with G340A-MoaA complemented with the 11-mer peptide (c). These analyses suggest that nearly all of the detected 5'-dA had ²H incorporated even in the presence of large excess of the peptide. Based on these observations, our data suggests that the GG motif in MoaA does not provide the site of glycyl radical formation.



Figure S6. Structural Comparison of Radical SAM Enzymes. Surface representations of the active sites of radical SAM enzymes. Illustrated are the SAM-binding sites. (a) MoaA.² (b) Pyruvate formate-lyase activating enzyme (PFL-AE) ³. (c) [Fe-Fe] Hydrogenase maturase protein, HydE.⁴ (d) Lysine 2,3-aminomutase (LAM).⁵ (e) Biotin synthase (BioB).⁶



Figure S7. Loops around the active-site of MoaA. Loops that could be used to close the active-site are highlighted in red. Also shown are SAM, GTP, 4Fe-4S clusters and D198. SAM was modeled into the crystal structure of MoaA in complex with GTP (PDB ID: 2FB3)⁷ based on the crystal structures of MoaA in complex with SAM (PDB ID: 1TV8).²

Enzyme	Peptide (µM)	Substrate	<i>K_m</i> (μΜ) ^a	k_{cat} (min ⁻¹) ^a	<i>K</i> _d (μΜ) ^a
MoaA Wt	0	GTP	3.1 ± 0.67	0.042 ± 0.005	5.0 ± 3.0
	0	SAM	5.1 ± 1.4	0.045 ± 0.007	1.7 ± 0.6
MoaA Δ330-340	0	GTP	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		36 ± 8.7	0.023 ± 0.002	_c
	0	SAM	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		57 ± 5.4	0.021 ± 0.001	_c
MoaA G339A	0	GTP	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	0.46 ± 0.01
	500		19 ± 1.8	0.045 ± 0.006	_c
	0	SAM	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	> 90 ^d
	500		34 ± 2.7	0.046 ± 0.004	_c
MoaA G339S	0	GTP	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		19 ± 3.3	0.050 ± 0.003	_c
	0	SAM	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		65 ± 14	0.055 ± 0.006	_c
MoaA G340A	0	GTP	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	5.2 ± 2.3
	500		7.7 ± 3.8	0.047 ± 0.003	_c
	0	SAM	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	> 90 ^d
	500		37 ± 14	0.037 ± 0.006	_c
MoaA G340S	0	GTP	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		52 ± 10	0.048 ± 0.004	_c
	0	SAM	N.D. ^b	N.D. ^b	_c
	500		89 ± 12	0.051 ± 0.004	_c
D198A-MoaA	0	GTP	6.9 ± 0.73	0.0046 ± 0.0015	_c
	0	SAM	36 ± 6.9	0.0039 ± 0.00074	_c
R330A, K331A, K332A-MoaA	0	GTP, SAM	_c	0.0043 ± 0.0004	_c
	800	GTP, SAM	_c	0.035 ± 0.002	_c

Table S1. Complete List of the Steady State Kinetic Parameters for MoaA mutants.

^a Determined by the coupled assay with *S. aureus* MoaC and HPLC analysis of cPMP. K_d determined by anaerobic ITC. ^b No detection. The amount of cPMP formation was below the limit of detection. ^c Not determined. ^d Below the limit of detection for ITC analysis, 90 μ M.

Table S2. PCR Primers for MoaA GG Motif Mutants.

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')
Δ330-340	GTTGCCAATCGTCAA <u>TAA</u> CGTAAAAAGATAAACATG
Δ336-340	CAACGTAAAAAGATAAACATG <u>TAA</u> TATATTGGTGGTTAATG
D198A	GAATTTATG <u>GCG</u> GTTGGTAATGATAATG
D206A	GATAATGGATGG <u>GCG</u> TTCAGTAAAGTTG
D98A	GATGGTATTGAA <u>GCG</u> ATTGGTTTGAC
E97A	CGATGGTATTGCGGATATTGGTTTG
G339A	CATGAATTATATT <u>GCG</u> GGTTAATGTGTAGG
G339S	CATGAATTATATT <u>AGC</u> GGTTAATGTGTAGG
G339V	CATGAATTATATT <u>GTT</u> GGTTAATGTGTAGG
G340A	GAATTATATTGGT <u>GCG</u> TAATGTGTAGGGAC
G340S	GAATTATATTGGT <u>AGC</u> TAATGTGTAGGGAC
G340V	GAATTATATTGGT <u>GTT</u> TAATGTGTAGGGAC
K331A	CAATCGTCAACGT <u>GCG</u> AAGATAAACATG
K332A	CAATCGTCAACGTAAA <u>GCG</u> ATAAACATG
R330A	GCCAATCGTCAA <u>GCG</u> AAAAAGATAAAC
R330A>R330A,K331A,K332A	CAATCGTCAAGCGGCAGCGATAAACATG

References

- (1) Hover, B. M.; Loksztejn, A.; Ribeiro, A. A.; Yokoyama, K. *J Am Chem Soc* **2013**, *135*, 7019-7032.
- (2) Hänzelmann, P.; Schindelin, H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2004**, *101*, 12870-12875.
- (3) Vey, J. L.; Yang, J.; Li, M.; Broderick, W. E.; Broderick, J. B.; Drennan, C. L. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2008**, *105*, 16137-16141.
- (4) Nicolet, Y.; Amara, P.; Mouesca, J. M.; Fontecilla-Camps, J. C. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2009**, *106*, 14867-14871.
- (5) Lepore, B. W.; Ruzicka, F. J.; Frey, P. A.; Ringe, D. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2005**, *102*, 13819-13824.
- (6) Berkovitch, F.; Nicolet, Y.; Wan, J. T.; Jarrett, J. T.; Drennan, C. L. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 76-79.
- (7) Hänzelmann, P.; Schindelin, H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2006**, *103*, 6829-6834.