Supporting information

Bioactivity Focus on α-Cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) Leads to Effective Multifunctional Aldose Reductase Inhibitors

Laitao Zhang, ^{†, a} Yifang Li, ^{†,§, a} Sheng Yuan, ^{†, a} Shijie Zhang, [⊥]Huanhuan Zheng, [†] Jie

Liu,[†] Pinghua Sun,[†] Yijun Gu,^{||} Hiroshi Kurihara,^{†,§} Rongrong He,^{*, †,§} and Heru

Chen*,^{†,‡,§}

[†]Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Natural Product College of Pharmacy, Jinan University Guangzhou 510632, P. R. China
[‡]State key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, P. R. China
[⊥]Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510006, P.R. China
[∥]Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, P. R. China
[§]Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Pharmacodynamic Constituents of TCM and New Drugs Research, Guangzhou 510632, P. R. China

correspondence should be directed to thrchen@jnu.edu.cn



Fig. S1. Chemical structures of some representative ARIs.



Fig. S2. Stability and toxicity of 5f. (A) Stability studies with 5f incubated in 5% FBS DMEM showing % retention over time. RP-HPLC was used as the assay. Analyses were scheduled at 0.5, 1.0, 4.0, 8.0, 12.0, 24.0, and 48.0 h. ** $P \le 0.01 vs$ control group. (B) Growth inhibition rate of 5f and EPS against HEK293 cells. MTT assay was used to determine the cell viability. Testing samples and epalrestat at final concentrations of 5.0, 50, 500 nM, and 5.0, 50, and 500 μ M, respectively, were assessed ** $P \le 0.01 vs$ control group.



Fig. S3. 5f ameliorated high-glucose-induced NTD in chick embryos on EDD 5. Stereoscopic microscope measurement of whole body and Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of embryo sections. Scale bars of **A1-E1**: 2 mm. Scale bars of **A2-E2**: 100 μm.

II. The NMR and HRMS data of compounds 5a-j are listed in the following



5a (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, HRMS)

































5		2	×	Q	٣					
500	0 1 2 9 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 7 9 9 4 5 6 7 8 9 2 1 2 9 5 ESI Soa	+	S Spectrum	nomatogram F		ω	2 -	Isotope	2	507.26022 Reat
501	n (0.395 min) Frag-1	日本で	Results	Results G MS Fo		3.78	26.63	Abund%	C28 H34 N4 O5	Formula (M)+
502	75.0V B 4 4L	00 1		omula Results				Calc Abun	C28 H35 M	CZ8H35
503	L.	-		+ Scan (0.		6.03	32.34	42 0	44.05	4405 IA
504 505		1 3% % %		395 min)		4.4	23.36	tic Abund Sum%	507.2602	Cale m/z
506		* 22 6				509.26652	508.2636	m/z	95.11	50016 T
507 0	507 2802					509.26608	508.26331	Cale m/z /		Fines Score
508 Jounts vs. Mass-						-0.88	85'0'	Dilf (ppm)	506.25295	Mass
509 510 to-Charge (m/z)						29	20.42	Abund Sum%	506.25292	Calo Mass
511									-0.06	Diff (com)
512									0.06	Ahs Diil (opm)
513	>								83,15	Abund Match
514 51									8	Spacing Mate
5 516									9.7	h Mass Mat
517					×				100 507.2802	z/w

In the second second

h



		22	2	80	0.8	_	i,	1.4	1.6	1.8	53	22	24	26	28	64	32	ω A	36	3.8		4.2	5	MS	9	650				_	-				m/z
	Ī	1	Ţ	1	T	-	1	Ţ	1	T	1	1	T	1	Ĩ	Ĩ	1	T	1	T	1	+ESI	*	Spec	romatoj						otope	<	8	507 260	
50																						Scan	ø	trum R	gram Re		4	ω	N	-	-	_		3	100
n 5(5																					(1.252	世家	esults	sults					12.000	Abu	C28 H	Formu		-
)1.5	00																					min) Fr	5		Se B			51	26	4	nd%	34 N4 0	ia (M)	(M+H	5
205	1																					ag=175	R		IS Form		ίū	8	8	8		05	-	Ŧ	
502.5	}																					OV B-4	0		ula Re						Calo At	C28 H3	Ion Fo	C28 H3	Form
503	•																					4D.d	Q		sults: +		0	60	323	-	20nud%	35 N4 0	mula	15 N 4 0	u a
503																									Scan (4	8	×	-	5	<u>ज</u>		σ	
5 5																							Þ		1.252 m					and the second se	ic Abur		Calo		Abund
04 .	1																						*		(in)			4	3	-	nd Sum.	507.26	z/m	4022	ance
504.5																							% %				ত্র	.37	13	8	~	8		4.8	
505																							12				510	509.	508	507	R.		Score		
505.5																							QC.				27483	27012	8431	20055		92.01	2		
5	Ì																										5	8	g	5	G.		Cross		
90	2																										0.2687	9.2660	8,2633	07.260	m/z /		Score		
65 Count	ľ																												-						
507 s vs. M							_														M++	507.2					÷	-7	4		(f (ppm)	506.25	Mass		
507.5 ass-to-C	F																				+	05					94	.94	8	7.0	Þ	23			
905 805																															bund S	506	Calo M		
[m/z]	7	-	-	-	-	-																					0.98	4.45	19.67	74.91	um%	25292	88		
5 5																																1922	Diff		
9 60		>																														-0.7	(pom)		
09.5																																N	ş		
510																																	e Diff (p		
510.5	P																															0.72	ă M		
51	1																																Abuno		
51																																79.8	Match		
1.5																																ω.	Sp		
512																																	acing M		
5125																																91.77	atch		
513	1																																Mass		
513.																																99.4	Match		
5	•																																		
4																																507.26	ZZW		
	ľ																									¥						5			

II. Molecular docking



Fig. S4. The plot of binding affinity (kcal/mol) and pIC_{50} (the blue line shows the linear correlation between the binding affinity and pIC50 value).



Fig. S5. 5e poses at the binding sites.



Fig. S6. 5f poses at the binding sites.



Fig. S7. 5f superimpositions with Epalrest at the binding sites.



Fig. S8. Aldose reductase with Epalrestat which was catalyzed in the active site