

Appendix: 2

Table S1. Data extraction form: systematic review of authorship research

Reference number:
Reviewer initials:
Publication details:
First author:
Journal title and year:
Volume and first page:
Language, if not English:

Study type:
 Descriptive
 Before and after (no control)
 Before and after (with control)
 Randomised controlled trial
 Any other

Aim of the study:

Intervention:

Outcome measures:

Setting:

Name of COUNTRY:

Type of environment:

Other descriptors of the academic setting:

Total study period:

If relevant:
"Before" period

Should this study be included in the review?
Yes
No
Maybe

Reasons for No or Maybe:

Participants:

Outcome:

Outcome	How defined?	How measured?	Comments

Confounders:

	Tick if controlled/restricted for	Describe how controlled for (and/or other comments)

Quality Scale

	Quality score	Explanation of score
Was the intervention group representative of the population to which the results are generalised?		0 = no randomisation 1 = quasi randomised 2 = randomised
Were the comparison/control groups representative of the intervention groups?		0 = no control groups 1 = control groups poorly representative of intervention groups 2 = control groups adequately representative
Was there an objective and valid measure of outcome used?		0 = Outcome poorly described or measured 1 = Partially described or valid 2 = Well described and valid
Were all the results provided with estimates of uncertainty (ie. Confidence intervals) and statistical significance?		0 = none 1 = some 2 = all
Were the main conclusions based on primary study hypotheses?		0 = none 1 = some 2 = all
Were all the important confounders measured and controlled for?		0 = no or poorly controlled 1 = partially controlled 2 = adequately controlled
TOTAL SCORE		9-12 = good quality 6-8 = average quality 0-5 = poor quality

Describe any other important sources of bias:**Results:**

Outcome	How defined?	No.	Crude results (95% CI)	Adjusted results (95% CI)	Adjusted for which confounders?