Supplemental Figure S1



Supplementary figure S2



Microarray selection criteria: ≥1.5 fc, p<0.05 (all conditions si7/8 vs scr UP DOWN si7 vs scr **UP** DOWN **UP** DOWN si1 α vs scr in hypoxia) 951 455 496 980 438 542 754 444 310 7 ChIP-seq 207 (22%) 138 69 165 (17%) 84 80 1α ChIP-seq 586 (78%) 343 243

> 56 Common Directly Regulated HIF1-E2F7 targets

V



Supplemental Figure S3











RNAi

RNAi



RNAi

Supplementary Figure S4



0

scr 7/8 scr 7/8

7

RNAi

1α 7/1α

Supplementary Figure S5





e2f8^{wt/wt} e2f7^{wt/wt} G G T A G A G T T T C T G C e2f7^{WT/A207} e2f8^{WT/A196} G G T A G A G T T C T G C т e2f8^{A196/A196} e2f7^{A207/A207} GGTTGAG T C A G C Т Т stop A G T

В

Figure S7



NRP1

Supplementary Table S1. Oligonucleotide sequences

Oligonucleotide sequences are annotated from 5' to 3'. Homo sapiens (Hs), Danio rerio (Dr).

Gene name	Detects	Species	Forward primer sequence	Reversed primer sequence			
mRNA-qPCR primers							
β-actin	mRNA	Dr	CGTCTGGATCTAGCTGGTCGTGA	CAATTTCTCTTTCGGCTGTGGTG			
tbp	mRNA	Dr	TCACCCCTATGACGCCTATC	CAAGTTTGCACCCCAAGTTT			
phd3	mRNA	Dr	GGTTAATGGGACCGAGAGG	TTCCAGGATAACAAGCCACC			
nrp1a	mRNA	Dr	CGATCAGGGAGATGAGCACC	CCACTGGACTGAATCTGCCAC			
nrp1b	mRNA	Dr	CGAGAGATTCTGATCCGCTTG	TCCAGGGAGATGTAGCCAGAG			
e2f1	mRNA	Dr	GCTTCAAATGGACCCACACC	CCAGAAAGCGTTTGGTGGTC			
еро	mRNA	Dr	GCATCAGACAAGTGCTGCG	AGACAGGTGCATTGGCGAG			
E2F7	mRNA	Hs	CTCCTGTGCCAGAAGTTTC	CATAGATGCGTCTCCTTTCC			
E2F8	mRNA	Hs	AATATCGTGTTGGCAGAGATCC	AGGTTGGCTGTCGGTGTC			
VEGFA	mRNA	Hs	ACCTCCACCATGCCAAGTG	TCTCGATTGGATGGCAGTAG			
ACTB	mRNA	Hs	GATCGGCGGCTCCATCCTG	GACTCGTCATACTCCTGCTTGC			
RSP18	mRNA	Hs	AGTTCCAGCATATTTTGCGAG	CTCTTGGTGAGGTCAATGTC			
PLSCR4	mRNA	Hs	AGGAATGCCTATCGGACAC	ACAGAAGCAACAGCAGGTG			
MTAP	mRNA	Hs	GGAAGCAGTTTCGGTGGAC	TCTGACCATTCTGTGGACCC			
SETD7	mRNA	Hs	TCCAGGGCACGTATGTAGAC	TCTCTCCAGTCATCTCCCCA			
IMPA2	mRNA	Hs	TTTGGTAACTGCTACGGACC	ATGATCCATGTGGGGTTG			
LBR	mRNA	Hs	ATTCTGAGCCACGACAGC	AACTGGAAGTTGAGCCACC			
TMX1	mRNA	Hs	GCCGTACCCATACCCTTCAA	AATGATGGACCCAGAGAGCG			
CYP1B1	mRNA	Hs	AAGTTCTTGAGGCACTGCG	CGTTCTCCAAATCCAGCC			
SYPL1	mRNA	Hs	TTGGTGAGCACTTCAGCCTG	ATCCCATACTGGTCACAGAGCC			
CYR61	mRNA	Hs	GACTGTGAAGATGCGGTTCC	CTGTAGAAGGGAAACGCTGC			
DDAH1	mRNA	Hs	TGTTCAGGAAGCGATCACCT	AGTGCCGCATTGTTCTTTGT			
ATF3	mRNA	Hs	GCCTTAACACACTGGCCATT	TGAATCCTAACGGTGGGCAT			
E2F1	mRNA	Hs	GACCACCTGATGAATATCTG	TGCTACGAAGGTCCTGAC			
NIX	mRNA	Hs	CGTACCATCCTCATCCTCCA	TGTGGCGAAGGGCTGTCAC			
PGK1	mRNA	Hs	GTTGACCGAATCACCGACCTC	CTCATAACGACCCGCTTCCC			
RBL1	mRNA	Hs	TGAACCTGGACGAGGGGAG	GGGAATAATGCTTTTGCGGC			
MYC	mRNA	Hs	GTCTGGATCACCTTCTGCTGG	TGTGCTGATGTGTGGAGACG			
C4orf34	mRNA	Hs	TTTGGGGTTGTCTGGGATTG	TCCAAGAGCTACCACAGTCC			
C8orf83	mRNA	Hs	GCCAAACATCTGAGGGCAAA	TATTCCCTTGCCACCCACTT			
SECTM1	mRNA	Hs	GAGAACACCGTCATGTCCTGC	AGAAGTAGCCTGGAGCCACCTC			
EDEM3	mRNA	Hs	GATAGTGGAGTTGGAGCAGG	CAAGTAGAAGAGGTGGCTGG			
TGOLN2	mRNA	Hs	GACCATTCCAAGCCCATC	AGGTCAGTTTCCTCCCCAG			
ChIP-qPCR primers							
E2F7 el1	DNA	Hs	GGTTCATGTGTCACACCAGCG	AGGACAGGAAAGCAGATGGGG			
E2F7 el2	DNA	Hs	TCGCTCTCCCTTCCCGATGC	CAATTCCCGCCTCCCCACTG			
E2F1	DNA	Hs	AGGAACCGCCGCCGTTGTTCCCGT	CTGCCTGCAAAGTCCCGGCCACTT			
E2F1 ctrl	DNA	Hs	CGCCCAGACGCCACTTCATC	TTCATTCCCTCACTCATTCAACAA			
BNIP3L	DNA	Hs	ATTTGCTCGTCTAGGGTTGGC	AATCTTGGGTGGTTCAGGAGG			
E2F3	DNA	Hs	TTGTACTTTACCCCTATCCAGGC	TAGACAGAAGCATGTGGGCAG			
MCM2	DNA	Hs	GTTCCGTAGGGCTCTTCCCG	CAGTACCACGATCCTCTCCGC			
MTAP	DNA	Hs	CTGTAAAGGGCTCGTGGTG	TTAACCCGTTCTACGCCG			
IMPA2	DNA	Hs	TGCCGTTTGATTGTCCTGG	TCCTGAACTCTGAGTCCGTGG			
CYP1B1	DNA	Hs	CAATTCCCATGCCCTTGC	TGCCCTCCTTCTACCCAGTC			
DDAH1	DNA	Hs	GATTGGAAACTTGAGTCCGTC	AACACTGGTAAGAATCAGGGTG			
CYR61	DNA	Hs	CCGTTTCTTTAAGCACTCTCCC	AGCCTCTGATCTCGTCCAGC			
PLSCR4	DNA	Hs	GAAACTGCTCGCTCCCAAAC	CAGGTGGTTGCAGAAGTTCC			
NRP1	DNA	Hs	GAAGAAACAGGTTGCGGTCAC	TCAGGCTGTACTTTGGCTGTG			
SYPL1	DNA	Hs	CAAGACAAAATCGGCTTCCC	GAAAACTTCCTGCTGCGGTC			
TMX1	DNA	Hs	TTGCTCTGTTCCTTCCCC	AGTGAGTGGTGAAGTCGGG			
SETD7	DNA	Hs	CCAGCCTGAATTCCTCCAGA	GCCAAAGAACCTCAGAGTGC			

LBR	DNA	Hs	TGCCCTCCTTAGCGTGTTAA	GTTCGCTTCTACGCAGGTTC
ATF3	DNA	Hs	GGACTCCGATCTTTTCACGC	CGAAACTAGGGCGAGTGGTA

LEGENDS SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES

Supplementary Figure S1. E2F7 expression is induced in response to hypoxia/DFO by HIF1 in HeLa and U2OS cells. (A) Western blot analysis showing E2F7, HIF1 α or E2F1 (loading) protein levels in lysates from HeLa cells grown under different density (as indicated) for 0, 8 or 16 hour in the presence of 100µM DFO. (B) Graphs showing E2F7, E2F8 or VEGFA mRNA levels determined by qPCR, and presented as fold change compared to non-treated cells. RNA was isolated from HeLa cells grown in the absence or presence of DFO (100 μ M, 16 hour). (C) Western blot analysis of E2F7 and HIF1 α expression in lysates from U2OS cells transfected with control (scr) or one of three different E2F7specific siRNAs, and cultured in normoxia (-) or hypoxia (+) as indicated. Background bands ('b') in the E2F7 blot serve as loading control. (D) Graphs showing E2F7, E2F8 or VEGFA mRNA levels as determined by qPCR, and presented as fold change in hypoxia compared to normoxia. RNA was isolated from U2OS cells grown in normoxia (N) or hypoxia (H). (E) Similar as in (D) but now for U2OS cells treated with 100µM DFO for 16 hour, and showing E2F7, E2F1 or VEGFA mRNA levels. (F) Putative HIF- and E2F-binding sites (BS) and their location (calculated from the transcriptional start point (+1)) in the human E2F7 promoter. Arrows indicate sites amplified by qPCR after ChIP. Asterisks present conserved binding sites between the mouse and human E2F7 promoter. (G) Graph shows enrichment as determined by ChIP-qPCR of HIF1 α and E2F1 (positive control) to element 1 of the E2F7 promoter. Non-specific IgG serve as a negative control. (H) Graphs show E2F7, NIX or PGK1 mRNA levels as determined by qPCR. RNA was isolated from U2OS cells grown in normoxia (-) or hypoxia (+), transfected with control (scr) or HIF1 α siRNA as indicated. Lower panels are western blots for HIF1 α and HDAC1 (loading) under these conditions. All quantified data present the average ± S.D. compared to the indicated controls in at least three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S2. Genome-wide analysis of HIF1 α -E2F7 targets by ChIP-seq and microarray analysis. (**A**) Proportional Venn diagram showing the overlap between E2F7 bound genes in normoxia and hypoxia (2381), and the overlap between those and the HIF1 α bound genes (2258) from the ChIP-seq data. (**B**) Position weight matrix of E2F and HIF motifs identified within E2F7 (normoxia and hypoxia) or HIF1 α peaks, respectively. For the E2F7 ChIP-seq all peaks were analyzed, for HIF1 α the top 1,000. Graphs present positioning of all peaks in an individual ChIP-seq

experiment (black) with respect to the closest transcriptional start site (TSS) compared with random DNA regions (red). (**C**) Venn diagram of microarray analysis showing the overlap (78) between E2F7/8 and HIF1 regulated genes (cut-off ≥ 2 fc, p<0.05) in hypoxia. Regulated genes are divided in up or down regulated genes. Microarray analysis was performed using mRNA isolated from HeLa cell transfected with either HIF1 α , E2F7/8 or control (scr) siRNAs and cultured in hypoxia. Fold change was calculated compared control transfected cells. (**D**) Similar as in (C) but now using a cut-off fold regulation of ≥ 1.5 (and p<0.05), revealing 318 common genes. (**E**) Similar as in (2B). Table summarizes the overlap between the microarray (cut-off ≥ 1.5 fc, and p<0.05) and ChIP-seq data. Now also including the siE2F7 microarray data. (**F**) Venn diagram showing the overlap (56) between the E2F7/8 (207) and HIF1 (586) direct & regulated targets identified in (S2E).

Supplementary Figure S3. Examples of novel overlapping E2F7 and HIF1 α target genes. (**A**) Shown are overlapping E2F7 (N/HYP) and HIF1 α (HYP) binding peaks on the *RBL1*, *MYC*, *AATF1*, *RSRC2* and *DDB2* genes (y-axis presents peak height). Input DNA serves as a control. Lines underneath the graphs indicate annotated genes, boxes present exons, and line with arrows present introns. Arrows indicate direction of transcription. (**B**) Graphs showing mRNA levels (presented as fold change comparing to scr normoxia of *RBL1* and *MYC*, examples of direct targets that are not regulated by HIF1 α -E2F7 under the tested conditions. Messenger RNA levels (measured by qPCR) were analyzed in HeLa cells transfected with control (scr), E2F7 & E2F8 (7/8), E2F7 (7) or HIF1 α (1 α) siRNAs, and grown in normoxia or hypoxia, as indicated. (**C**) Similar as in (B), but now showing mRNA levels of the E2F7/8 target *E2F1*, and the HIF1 target *NIX*, positive controls for functional siRNA-depletion of E2F7/8 of HIF1 α . All quantified data present the average ± S.D. compared to the indicated controls in at least three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S4. Validation of mRNA regulation of targets by HIF1 α -E2F7 and ARNT. (**A**) Validation of mRNA regulation of the novel common repressed HIF1 α -E2F7 targets *TGOLN2*, *EDEM3*, *C4orf34*, *C8orf83* and *SECTM1*. Graphs show mRNA levels (presented as fold change comparing to scr normoxia) of the HIF1 α -E2F7 common repressed targets *TGOLN2*, *EDEM3*, *C4orf34*, *C8orf83* and *SECTM1*. Messenger RNA levels (as measured by qPCR) were analyzed in lysates isolated from HeLa cells transfected with control (scr), E2F7 & E2F8 (7/8), E2F7 (7), HIF1 α

(1 α) or E2F7 & HIF1 α (7/1 α) siRNAs and grown under normoxic or hypoxic conditions, as indicated. (**B**) Western blot analysis to confirm protein knockdown of ARNT (HIF1 β) and HIF1 α in HeLa lysates, 48 hour after transfection with the indicated siRNA. The hypoxic cells were grown the last 16 (of the 48) hour at 1% O₂, as described in Materials and Methods. Normoxic lysates are indicated with N. γ -TUBULIN staining served as a loading control. (**C**) In parallel with the protein analysis described in (B), also samples were harvested for mRNA analysis (measured by qPCR). Graphs show mRNA levels (presented as fold change compared to scr normoxia) for the HIF1 targets *PGK1* and *NIX*, and for the HIF1 α -E2F7 targets *NRP1*, *CYR61*, *CYP1B1* and *PLSCR4*. Messenger RNA levels were analyzed in lysates isolated from HeLa cells transfected with control (scr), ARNT, or HIF1 α (1 α) siRNA as described in (B). All quantified data present the average ± S.D. compared to the indicated controls in at least three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S5. Hypoxia represses nrp1a and nrp1b expression in zebrafish embryos. (A) Zebrafish embryos were dechorionated at 24hpf and next incubated for 5 hour at 1% O_2 at 28.5 $^{\circ}C$, after which they were anesthetized with tricaine mesylate (MS222) and snap frozen for later RNA isolation (~30hpf). Graphs show mRNA levels (as determined by qPCR) of nrp1a, nrp1b and phd3, presented as fold change compared to normoxia. All black bars in this figure present normoxia (N), all white bars hypoxia (HYP). Messenger RNA levels of phd3 served as a hypoxia marker. For each sample ~20 embryos were harvested. We used a short hypoxic exposure as prolonged hypoxia delays zebrafish development (1, 2), making it impossible to compare hypoxic mRNA levels to normoxia. (B) Zebrafish embryos were either non-injected (nic: non-injected control), or injected with 5+5ng e2f7/8 (78MO), or 5ng hif1ab (1 α MO) morpholinos (MO), and were harvested similarly as described in (A). Graphs show mRNA levels (as determined by qPCR) of nrp1a, nrp1b and phd3 presented as fold change compared to nic normoxia. Messenger RNA levels of *nrp1a* and *nrp1b* were significantly derepressed in hypoxia upon MO-depletion of hif1ab. 78MO also derepressed hypoxic mRNA nrp1a and *nrp1b* expression, although to a non-significant level. (C) Similar as in (B), but now *phd3* mRNA levels are shown to show functional MO-inhibition of hif1ab under hypoxia. All quantified data present the average \pm S.D. compared to the indicated controls in at least three independent experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001.

Supplementary Figure S6. Description of the nrp1a^{hu10012} mutant. (**A**) Schematic Figure of nrp1a domain structure is shown in top, indicating position of $nrp1a^{hu10012}$ (red bar); CUB, CUB domain; FA58C, coagulation factor 5/8 C-terminal domain; MAM, MAM domain; and DUF3481, domain of unknown function. Similar to the published $nrp1a^{hu9963}$ mutant, the $nrp1a^{hu10012}$ mutant also has a frameshift mutation at amino acid 96, caused by an 11 bp deletion, resulting in a stop codon at amino acid 133. Lower three sequences show genotyping (by sequencing) of wildtype, heterozygous and $nrp1a^{hu10012}$ mutant zebrafish. The 11 bp deletion is shown underlined in wildtype zebrafish. (**B**) Examples of sequencing reads of *e2f7* and *e2f8* wildtype, heterozygous and mutant zebrafish embryos as indicated. For e2f7 sequencing a forward primer was used, for e2f8 a reversed primer. Mutated nucleotides are indicated with an asterix.

Supplementary Figure S7. ChIP-seq analysis reveals binding of multiple E2Fs to the *NRP1* promoter. ChIP-seq signal of E2F7 (N & HYP) and HIF1α (HYP) on the *NRP1* promoter are shown (as presented in Figure 4A), as well as publically available ChIP-seq signals for E2F1 (2 experiments), E2F4 and E2F6 as also performed in HeLa cells (shown in blue). Lines underneath the graphs indicate annotated genes, boxes present exons, and lines with arrows represent introns. E2F1 (GEO sample accession: GSM935484, GSM935366), E2F4 (GSM935365) and E2F6 (GSM935476) tracks are publically available at the UCSC Genome Browser.

Supplementary Dataset S1. E2F7 and HIF1 α Targets identified by ChIP-seq. This dataset (excel file) lists the peaks and target genes of the E2F7 (N and HYP), and HIF1 α (HYP) ChIP-seq experiments.

Supplementary Dataset S2. Motif analysis of the E2F7 (N and HYP) and HIF1 α binding regions. This dataset (excel file) lists the binding motifs of transcription factors significantly enriched in E2F7 (N and HYP) and HIF1 α (HYP) binding regions. Their fold enrichment over random regions is also given.

Supplementary Dataset S3. Gene Ontology analysis of the ChIP-seq and microarray data. This dataset (excel file) lists the gene ontology analysis that was performed using DAVID and PANTHER gene ontology tools. Results are shown for the genes identified in the E2F7 (N and HYP) and HIF1 α (HYP) ChIP-seq experiments, as well as for genes identified in the microarrays: siE2F7/8 *versus* scr

(HYP), siHIF1 α versus scr (HYP). The GO analysis for the microarrays were performed both on ≥ 2 fc, p<0.05; and ≥ 1.5 fc, p<0.05 selected targets.

Supplementary Dataset S4. Overlap of regulated targets in the E2F7 and E2F7/8 microarrays. This dataset (excel file) lists the number of significantly deregulated transcripts (\geq 1.5 fc, p<0.05) in the individual siE2F7 vs scr (HYP) and siEF7/8 vs scr (HYP) microarrays, and also lists the number of targets that are regulated under both conditions.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

1. Padilla, P.A. and Roth, M.B. (2001) Oxygen deprivation causes suspended animation in the zebrafish embryo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **98**, 7331-7335.

2. Kajimura,S., Aida,K. and Duan,C. (2005) Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) mediates hypoxia-induced embryonic growth and developmental retardation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **102**, 1240-1245.