Supplementary materials for "A Multivariate Timeseries Modeling Approach to Severity of Illness Assessment and Forecasting in ICU with Sparse, Heterogeneous Clinical Data"

Marzyeh Ghassemi Computer Science MIT mghassem@mit.edu

Marco A.F. Pimentel [∗] Engineering Science University of Oxford marco.pimentel@eng.ox.ac.uk Tristan Naumann Computer Science MIT tjn@mit.edu

Thomas Brennan Health Science Technology MIT tpb@mit.edu

1 Introduction

David A. Clifton Engineering Science University of Oxford davidc@robots.ox.ac.uk

Below are supplementary materials for the AAAI 2015 paper presented by the authors.

2 Experiment I: Forecasting of Intracranial Pressure (ICP) and Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) Timeseries: An Example

In Section 4 of our paper, we have demonstrated that the MTGP provides a significant improvement in interpolating missing values for both ICP and MAP signals, as the correlation between the two physiological variables is taken into account. This allows continuous assessment of the cerebral autoregulation mechanism measured by the interactions between ICP and MAP, which is an important indicator of secondary brain damage and mortality.

In this section, we showcase two examples to demonstrate the capability of the MTGP model in timeseries forecasting. As illustrated in Figure 2 and 1, two pieces of ICP and MAP timeseries in 10 minutes length were randomly selected for the experiment. Based on the first 8 minutes of data, both the STGP and MTGP models were employed to forecast the last 2 minutes of the time series.

It can be seen that the MTGP model greatly outperforms the STGP model. Especially for the case of MAP, the prediction variation of the STGP model start to grow rapidly as the forecasting horizon moves forward. On the other hand, MTGP is able to control the prediction variation in a much narrow region. Moreover, We also observe that, making use of the correlation between ICP and MAP, the MTGP model is able to forecast the oscillation pattern of time series.

Nevertheless, we acknowledge that a 2-minute forecasting window is probably too short for any real clinical applications. As part of our future work, we will conduct a more thorough investigation of the problem and will carry

Peter Szolovits Computer Science MIT psz@mit.edu

Mengling Feng † Health Science Technology MIT mfeng@mit.edu

out a more systematic assessment on the proposed MTGP model's forecasting performance.

Figure 1: An example to compare the performance of MTGP and STGP on forecasting the Mean Arterial Pressure signal.

3 Experiment 2: From Heterogeneous Clinical Data to ICU Acuity Forecasting

3.1 Data

We used ICU data from the MIMIC II 2.6 database (?), a publicly-available, de-identified medical corpus which includes electronic medical records (EMRs) for 26, 870 ICU patients at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) collected from 2001 to 2008. Patient age, sex,

[∗]The first two authors contributed equally on this work. †Corresponding author.

Copyright \hat{C} 2015, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

Figure 2: An example to compare the performance of MTGP and STGP on the forecasting Intracranial Pressure signal.

SAPS-II scores, International Classification of Diseases-Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnoses, and Disease-Related Group were extracted. Medical co-morbidities were represented by the Elixhauser scores (EH) for 30 co-morbidities as calculated from the ICD-9 codes. Patient mortality outcomes were also queried to determine which patients died in-hospital, or lived past the most recent query of Social Security records.

We extracted all clinical notes recorded prior to the patient's first discharge, including notes from nursing, physicians, labs, and radiology. The discharge summaries themselves were excluded because they typically stated the patient's outcome explicitly. Vocabularies for each note were generated by first tokenizing the free text and then removing stopwords using the Onix stopword list $¹$. A TF-IDF</sup> metric was applied to determine the 500 most informative words in each patient's notes, and we then limited our overall vocabulary to the union of the most informative words per-patient. This pre-processing step reduced the overall vocabulary down to 285,840 words from over 1 million terms while maintaining the most distinctive features of each patient.²

Patients were excluded if they had fewer than 100 nonstop words or were under the age of 18. Specific notes were excluded if they occurred after the the end of the day in which a patient died or was discharged (e.g. radiology or lab reports whose results were reported afterwards). The fi-

nal cohort consisted of 10,202 patients with 313,461 notes. A random 30% of the patients (3,040) were held back as a test set. The remaining 70% of patients (7,162) were used to train topic models and mortality predictors. The test set contained 93,411 notes, and the training set had 220,005.

3.2 Topic Inference

Topics were generated for each note using Latent Dirichlet Allocation. Our initial experiments found no significant difference in held-out prediction accuracy across a range of 20 to 100 topics. We set hyperparameters on the Dirichlet priors for the topic distributions (α) and the topic-word distributions (β) as $\alpha = \frac{50}{numberTopics}, \beta = \frac{200}{numberWordsInVocab}.$ We used 50 topics in our final experiments, and topic distributions were sampled from an MCMC chain after 2,500 iterations. This topic-modeling step resulted in a 50 dimensional vector of topic proportions for each patient for each note.

3.3 Hyperparameter Construction

We concatenated the topic vectors into a matrix q where the element $q_{n,k}$ was the proportion of topic k in the n^{th} note. Of particular interest was whether certain topics were enriched for in-hospital mortality and long-term survival. We used an enrichment measure defined by Marlin et al(?), where the probability of mortality for each topic is calculated as $\phi_k =$ $\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}}$ $\frac{n}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{q_n,k*}y_k$, where y_n is the noted mortality outcome (0 for a patient that lives, and 1 for a patient that dies).

From the topic enrichment measure (ϕ) , we chose the topics with a posterior likelihood above or below 5% of the population baseline likelihood across topics. This yielded nine topics. See Figure 3 and Table 1 for a summary of the chosen topics. The relative distributions of the in-hospital mortality probabilities for all of the 50 topics are shown in Figure 4, and Table 2 lists the top ten words for all topics.

Once notes were transformed into multi-dimensional numeric vectors, we used the MTGPs to model the per-note change in topic membership over a patient's stay. This is critical for comparing two patients' records given that patients have vastly different lengths of stay and note taking intervals depend on the care staff, clinical condition, and other factors.

We employed MTGP to learn the temporal correlation between the nine topics and the overall temporal variability of the multiple timeseries.

Table 2.

¹Onix Text Retrieval Toolkit, API Reference, http://www.lextek.com/manuals/onix

² Some medical term canonicalization parsers were also examined, but we found their outputs to be fairly unreliable for this task.

Figure 4: The probability of in-hospital mortality for each topic, indicating that topics represent differences in outcome. Probabilities are calculated as $\theta_k = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_k}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n}$ $\frac{n}{N-1} \frac{q_{n,k} * y_n}{q_{n,k}}$ (see section ??). Each bar shows the prevalence of a given topic k in the mortality category, as compared to the set of all patients. Bars are shown as above (in red) or below (in green) the baseline in-hospital mortality based on the value of θ_k for each topic k.

Figure 3: The likelihood of in-hospital mortality for each topic. Bars are shown as above (in red) or below (in green) the baseline in-hospital mortality based on the value of ϕ_k for each topic k .

Table 1: Top five words in chosen topics (enriched for inhospital mortality/survival).

	Topic	Top Ten Words	Possible
			Topic
In-	5	liver, renal, hepatic, as-	Renal
hospital		dialysis, failure, cites.	Failure
Mor-		flow, transplant, portal,	
tality		ultrasound	
		thick. secretions, vent,	Respiratory
		trach, resp, tf, tube,	infection
		coarse, cont, suctioned	
	9	remains family gtt line	Systematic
		map cont levophed cvp bp	organ fail-
		levo	ure
	$\overline{11}$	increased temp hr pt cc	Multiple
		ativan cont mg continues	physio-
		am decreased	logical
			changes
	15	intubated, vent, ett, secre-	Respiratory
		tions, propofol, abg, respi-	failure
		ratory, resp, care, sedated	
	27	name, family, neuro, care,	Discussion
		noted, status, plan, stitle,	of end-
		dr, remains	of-life
			care
Hospital	1	cabg, pain, ct, artery, coro-	Cardio-
$Sur-$		nary, valve, post, wires,	vascular
vival		chest, sp	surgery
	$\overline{8}$	chest, pneumothorax,	
		tube, reason, clip, sp, ap,	
		left, portable, ptx,	
	$\overline{26}$	pain co denies oriented	Responsive
		neuro plan diet po pt floor	patient

