Supplementary Material

Reading aloud and solving simple arithmetic calculation intervention (Learning therapy) improves inhibition, verbal episodic memory, focus attention, and processing speed in healthy elderly people: Evidence from a randomized controlled trial

Rui Nouchi*, Yasuyuki Taki, Hikaru Takeuchi, Takayuki Nozawa, Atsushi Sekiguchi, Ryuta Kawashima

^{*} Correspondence: Corresponding Author: rui.nouchi.a4@tohoku.ac.jp



CONSORT 2010 checklist trial

	Item		
Section/Topic	No	Checklist item	Reported in section
Title and abstrac	:t		
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Title
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific	Abstract
		guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Background
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Background
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Randomized controlled trial
			design and setting of this trial
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with	n/a
		reasons	
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Recruitment and Selection of
			participants T, Inclusion and
			Exclusion Criteria
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	Randomized controlled trial
			design and setting of this trial

Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	Learning therapy group (cognitive intervention group), Waiting list control group (no cognitive intervention group)
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including	Overview of cognitive
	6b	how and when they were assessed	function measures n/a
Sample size	оо 7а	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons How sample size was determined	Sample Size
Sample Size	7a 7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	n/a
Randomisation:	75	which applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	11/4
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Randomized controlled trial
generation			design
	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	n/a
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially	Randomization
concealm		numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until	
ent		interventions were assigned	
mechanis			
m			
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Randomization
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care	Randomized controlled trial
		providers, those assessing outcomes) and how	design and setting of this trial

	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	n/a
Statistical	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	Analysis
methods	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	Analysis
Results			
Participant flow	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received	Results
(a diagram is		intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome	
strongly	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	Results
recommended)			
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	n/a
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	n/a
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	Results
Numbers	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and	Results
analysed		whether the analysis was by original assigned groups	
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect	Sample size estimation
estimation		size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is	n/a
		recommended	
Ancillary	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted	Results
analyses		analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see	n/a
		CONSORT for harms)	

Discussion

Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Acknowledgments			
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	n/a			
			design and setting of this trial			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	Randomized controlled trial			
Other information						
		relevant evidence				
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other	Discussion			
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	Discussion			
		multiplicity of analyses				
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant,	Discussion			

^{*}We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see www.consort-statement.org.