An Orthogonal Array Optimization of Lipid-like Nanoparticles for mRNA Delivery in Vivo

Bin Li,[†] Xiao Luo,[†] Binbin Deng,[‡] Junfeng Wang,[†] David W. McComb,[‡] Yimin Shi,[§] Karin M.L.

Gaensler,[§] Xu Tan,^{II} Amy L. Dunn, ^{⊥,#,} Bryce A. Kerlin, ^{⊥,#,} and Yizhou Dong^{*,†}

[†]Division of Pharmaceutics & Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States.

*Center for Electron Microscopy and Analysis, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43212, United States.

[§]Department of Medicine, University of California-San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94143, United States.

^{II}Tsinghua-Peking Center for Life Sciences, School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

^LDivision of Hematology/Oncology/BMT, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio 43205, United States.

[#]Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43205, United States.

^vCenter for Clinical and Translational Research, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's, Columbus, Ohio 43205, United States.

***Corresponding Author** E-mail: dong.525@osu.edu.

General synthetic procedures and spectral data:

Synthesis of compound **3**. To a solution of diamine (75 mmol) in CHCl₃ (70 mL) was added a solution of Boc₂O (14.4 mmol) in CHCl₃ (30 mL) via an additional funnel over 2.5 h. The resulting suspension was stirred and 100 mL of NaHCO₃ (1N) was slowly added to form a bi-layer solution. The organic layer was washed with 100 mL of 1N NaHCO₃ and 20 mL of brine, and then dried over solid MgSO₄ for 2 h. The solution was then filtered, evaporated and dried under high vacuum in order to afford compound **3**. Yield: n=2, 91%; n=3, commercially available reagent; n=4, 63%; n=5, 95%; n=6, commercially available reagent; n=7, 95%; n=8, 86%.

Synthesis of compound 4.¹ To a solution of compound 2 (1.88 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added 10 mL of pyridine and cooled in an ice bath. A solution of compound 3 (7.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added dropwise with stirring. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to RT, diluted with 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and washed twice with 50 mL of water, 50 mL of saturated NaHCO₃, and 50 mL of brine. The solution was dried over solid MgSO₄ for 2 h and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash Rf system with a RediSep Gold Resolution silica column (Teledyne Isco) with gradient elution from 100% CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/NH₄OH (75/22/3 by volume) to give compound **4**. Yield: n=2, 74%; n=3, 68%; n=4, 65%; n=5, 69%; n=6, 81%; n=7, 37%; n=8, 61%.

Synthesis of compound **1**. To a suspension of compound **4** (1.41 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 1.41 mmol). The mixture was stirred at RT for 1 h and monitored with thin layer chromatography (TLC). Upon completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in MeOH and concentrated. After solidification in EtOAc, compound **1** was dried under an oil pump and afforded in quantitative yield. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or 400 MHz on the Bruker instrument. ¹H NMR chemical shifts were reported as δ values in ppm relative to TMS. Mass spectra were obtained on a Micromass Q-TOF micro Mass Spectrometer.

 N^1, N^3, N^5 -tris(2-(didodecylamino)ethyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT2**): yield (82%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.88 (3H, br), 8.56 (3H, s), 3.81 (6H, m), 3.32 (6H, m), 3.04-3.08 (12H, m), 1.69 (12H, s), 1.20-1.30 (108H, m), 0.88 (18H, tri, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for $C_{87}H_{169}N_6O_3$, 1346; found, 1346.

 N^{1} , N^{3} , N^{5} -tris(3-(didodecylamino)propyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT3**): yield (50%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDC13, δ) 8.53 (3H, br), 8.40 (3H, s), 3.57 (6H, m),2.67-3.60 (6H, tri, J = 5.4 Hz), 2.58-2.48 (12H, tri, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.90-1.70 (12H, m), 1.57-1.38 (12H, m), 1.35-1.17 (96H, m), 0.89 (18H, tri, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₉₀H₁₇₅N₆O₃, 1388; found, 1388.

 N^{1} , N^{3} , N^{5} -tris(4-(didodecylamino)butyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT4**): yield (31%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.33 (3H, s), 7.39 (3H, br), 3.5-3.42 (6H, m),2.65-2.46 (18H, m), 1.75-1.55 (12H, m), 1.52-1.37 (12H, m), 1.35-1.17 (102H, m), 0.90 (18H, tri, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₉₃H₁₈₁N₆O₃, 1430; found, 1430.

 N^{1} , N^{3} , N^{5} -tris(5-(didodecylamino)pentyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT5**): yield (45%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.40 (3H, s), 6.62 (3H, s), 3.56-3.45 (6H, m),2.47-2.39 (18H, m), 1.76-1.65 (18H, m), 1.52-1.37 (24H, m), 1.35-1.20 (90H, m), 0.89 (18H, tri, J = 6.9Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₉₆H₁₈₇N₆O₃, 1472; found, 1472.

 N^1, N^3, N^5 -tris(6-(didodecylamino)hexyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT6**): yield (53%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.38 (3H, s), 6.52 (3H, br), 3.52-3.45 (6H, m), 2.47-2.39 (18H, m), 1.72-1.63 (18H, m), 1.54-1.36 (30H, m), 1.32-1.21 (90H, m), 0.90 (18H, tri, J = 6.9Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₉₉H₁₉₃N₆O₃, 1515; found, 1515.

 N^1, N^3, N^5 -tris(7-(didodecylamino)heptyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT7**): yield (75%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.40 (3H, s), 6.72 (3H, s), 3.48 (6H, m), 2.50 (18H, m), 1.70-1.56 (6H, m), 1.55-1.34 (30H, m), 1.37-1.27 (108H, m), 0.89 (18H, tri, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₀₂H₁₉₉N₆O₃, 1557; found, 1557.

 N^{1}, N^{3}, N^{5} -tris(8-(didodecylamino)octyl)benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (**TT8**): yield (quantitative). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ) 8.40 (3H, s), 6.86 (3H, br), 3.47 (6H, m), 2.82-2.52 (18H, m), 1.73-1.42 (30H, m), 1.42-1.17 (120H, m), 0.90 (18H, tri, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₀₅H₂₀₅N₆O₃, 1599; found, 1599.



Figure S1. The synthetic route to compound **1**. Benzene-1,3,5-tricarbonyl trichloride (**2**) was reacted with Boc-protected diamine (**3**) to produce the intermediates (**4**). Deprotection of **4** gave compound **1**.



Figure S2. The cell viability (a), particle size (b), zeta potential (c), and entrapment efficiency (d) of LLNs.



Figure S3. The cell viability (a), particle size (b), zeta potential (c), and entrapment efficiency (d) of

TT3-DOPE LLNs formulated through the first orthogonal array.



Figure S4. The correlation between transfection efficiency of **TT3**-DOPE LLNs formulated through the first orthogonal array and corresponding cell viability, particle size, zeta potential, and entrapment efficiency.



Figure S5. The cell viability (a), particle size (b), zeta potential (c), and entrapment efficiency (d) of **TT3-DOPE LLNs** formulated through the second round of orthogonal array.



Figure S6. The correlation between transfection efficiency of **TT3**-DOPE LLNs formulated through the second round of orthogonal array and their corresponding cell viability, particle size, zeta potential, and entrapment efficiency.



Figure S7. Bioluminescence signal (6 hours after administration in C57BL/6 mice). Free FLuc mRNA served as a negative control. Total bioluminescence signal (a) and normalized bioluminescence signal with tissue weight (b). Statistically significant difference was observed in the groups (*: P < 0.05; O-**TT3** FLuc vs C12-200-DSPC Fluc; **O-TT3** FLuc vs **TT3**-DSPC FLuc).



Figure S8. Histological analysis of major organs (heart, kidney, liver, lung, and spleen) after treatment of **O-TT3** hFIX. Untreated groups served as negative controls. No significant alteration of histology was observed in the **O-TT3** LLNs treated groups compared to the control groups.

Table S1. Orthogonal array table $L_{16}(4^4)$ (a) and K_n values (b) for the first round of **TT3-DOPE** LLNs.

TT2 DODE	_	Formulation of	Relative		
LLNs	TT3	DOPE	Cholesterol	DMG-PEG ₂₀₀₀	luminescence intensity
1-1	30	2.5	38.5	3	1216
1-2	40	10	18.5	1.5	351
1-3	50	10	38.5	6	418
1-4	60	2.5	18.5	0.75	20
1-5	30	5	18.5	6	28
1-6	40	1.25	38.5	0.75	28
1-7	50	1.25	18.5	3	34
1-8	60	5	38.5	1.5	51
1-9	30	1.25	48.5	1.5	1207
1-10	40	5	28.5	3	203
1-11	50	5	48.5	0.75	469
1-12	60	1.25	28.5	6	30
1-13	30	10	28.5	0.75	4663
1-14	40	2.5	48.5	6	220
1-15	50	2.5	28.5	1.5	20
1-16	60	10	48.5	3	243

(a) Orthogonal array table $L_{16}(4^4)$

(b) *K_n* values

	TT3	DOPE	Cholesterol	DMG-PEG ₂₀₀₀
K_1^*	1779	325	108	1295
K_2^*	201	369	1229	407
K_3^*	235	188	428	424
K_4^{*}	86	1419	535	174
ΔK^{**}	1693	1231	1121	1121

 $K_n^* = \Sigma R L I_n / 4$

 $\Delta K^{**} = K_{max} - K_{min}$

The impact of the four components on mRNA delivery (ΔK): **TT3** > DOPE > Cholesterol =

DMG-PEG₂₀₀₀.

Table S2. Orthogonal array table $L_{16}(4^4)$ (a) and K_n values (b) for the second round of **TT3-DOPE** LLNs.

TT2 DODE	Formulation components (mole ratio)				Relative
LLNs	TT3	DOPE	Cholesterol	DMG-PEG ₂₀₀₀	luminescence intensity
2-1	10	20	35	0.15	62542
2-2	20	40	25	0.03	71935
2-3	30	40	35	0.75	19869
2-4	40	20	25	0	23096
2-5	10	30	25	0.75	11687
2-6	20	10	35	0	62216
2-7	30	10	25	0.15	9394
2-8	40	30	35	0.03	45962
2-9	10	10	40	0.03	60333
2-10	20	30	30	0.15	52468
2-11	30	30	40	0	101863
2-12	40	10	30	0.75	1917
2-13	10	40	30	0	40410
2-14	20	20	40	0.75	18962
2-15	30	20	30	0.03	49102
2-16	40	40	40	0.15	47049

(a) Orthogonal array table $L_{16}(4^4)$

(b) K_n values

	TT3	DOPE	Cholesterol	DMG-PEG ₂₀₀₀
K_1^*	43743	33465	29028	56896
K_2^*	51395	38426	35974	56833
K_3^*	45057	52995	47647	42863
K_4^*	29506	44816	57052	13109
ΔK^{**}	21889	19529	18025	43788

 $K_n^* = \Sigma R L I_n / 4$

 $\Delta K^{**} = \mathbf{K}_{\max} - \mathbf{K}_{\min}$

The most efficient formulation in the second round of optimization was validated with a designated

code of Hi-TT3 LLNs (formulation ratio is TT3/DOPE/Chol/DMG-PEG_{2000}=20/30/40/0).

References

1. Matsuura, K.; Murasato, K.; Kimizuka, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10148-10149.