Supplementary Information

Title: Decoding Polo-like kinase 1 signaling along the kinetochore-centromere axis

Authors: Robert F. Lera¹⁻², Gregory K. Potts³⁻⁴, Aussie Suzuki⁶, James M. Johnson¹⁻², Edward D. Salmon⁶, Joshua J. Coon³⁻⁵, Mark E. Burkard^{1-2*}.

Affiliations:

¹Department of Medicine, Hematology/Oncology Division, University of Wisconsin-Madison,

Madison, Wisconsin 53705, USA
²University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center

3 Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI 53706

4 Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI 53706 5 Genome Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI 53706

⁶Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

*E-mail: mburkard@wisc.edu

Supplementary Results

Supplementary Figure 1. Plk1 substrates and interactors are found along the entire kinetochore-centromere axis. *Left*, Schematic of the human kinetochore and centromere. Centromere proteins are indicated by their respective letters. CPC, Chromosomal Passenger Complex. *Right*, List of known mitotic Plk1 substrates and interactors based on reference articles. Locales were determined by referenced articles or inferred based on localization or known binding partners mapped in additional reports, but not referenced here.

Supplementary Results

Metaphase

Anaphase

dsRed

dsRed

Supplementary Figure 2. Plk1 signaling at the kinetochore requires binding via its PBD (companion to Fig. 1) (a) Immunoblot of mitotic protein extracts from RPE1 cell lines co-expressing GFP-Plk1^{as} and the Flag tag (Vector), wildtype Plk1 (Plk1^{wt}), wildtype Plk1 with a mutated PBD (Plk1 a a and Ch-Plk1 a a) or catalytically inactive Plk1 (Plk1^{K82R}). Membranes probed for Flag-tagged (Flag blot) or mCherry-tagged (dsRed) Plk1 and Actin (loading control). **(b)** Plk1 construct activity determined by Flag- or dsRed-immunoprecipitation from mitotic protein lysates, followed by incubation with [γ-
³²P]-ATP and Plk1-specific substrate. Where indicated, 200 nM BI-2536 added to reactions to inhibit Plk1. *Top*, autoradiograph (32P) and Coomassie gel (CBB) of substrate from kinase reactions. Average substrate labeling (± SEM) reported relative to Plk1wt. *Bottom*, immunoblot of kinase reaction input after immunoprecipitation (IP). Plk1 detected by Flag (left) or Plk1 (right) antibodies. **(c)** Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs demonstrating normal localization of Plk1^{wt}, but impaired μ iocalization of Pl κ 1^{aa}/Ch-Plk1^{aa}, to centrosomes and kinetochores during metaphase and the central spindle during anaphase. **(d-e)** Delocalized Plk1 (Ch-Plk1^{aa} > Plk1^{aa}) partially restores mitotic bipolar spindles. **(d)** Graph shows average percentage (± SEM) of pre-anaphase cells with bipolar spindles for each cell line challenged with 10 μ M 3-MB-PP1 (n=150 cells/experiment; 5 independent experiments). **(e)** Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs from (d). Arrowheads highlight pericentrindecorated centrosomes. *P<.05, ***P<0.0001 by one-way ANOVA (panel d). Scale bar, 5 µm (panels c,e). Uncropped gels presented in Supplementary Figure 10.

Supplementary Figure 3. Localization analysis of kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs (companion to Fig. 2) (a) Schematic of complementation strategy. As in Figure 1b, except additional Flag-tagged lines were generated to localize the wild-type Plk1 kinase domain (Plk1ΔC) to discrete locales at the kinetochore. Flag-Plk1ΔC was tethered to proteins localizing to the inner centromere (Kif2c), chromatin (H2B), or to the outer kinetochore (Dsn1). **(b)** Representative single plane micrographs depicting localization of Flag-tagged Plk1 localized to the inner centromere (Plk1ΔC-Kif2c), to chromatin (Plk1ΔC-H2B), or to the outer kinetochore (Plk1ΔC-Dsn1). **(c-e)** To quantitate the fraction of unbound cytoplasmic Plk1 and tethered Plk1, cells were processed for immunofluorescence with and without a 15 s extraction step (removes soluble protein) prior to fixation. **(c)** Representative single-plane, non-deconvolved micrographs of GFP-Plk1^{as} with and without 15 s extraction step prior to fixation. Numbers represent average fluorescent intensity $(± SD)$ as a percent of the average intensity in nonextracted cells (n=60 cells/condition). **(d)** Representative single-plane, non-deconvolved micrographs of Flag-tagged or mCherry-tagged (dsRed) Plk1 constructs with and without 15 s extraction step prior to fixation. **(e)** Graph indicates percentage of soluble tethered construct extracted relative to amount of soluble GFP-PIk1^{as} extracted in cells. Dots represent single cells from one replicate and bars indicate median values. Log2 scale used for Y-axis. Scale bars, 5 μ m (panels b,c,d).

Supplementary Figure 4. Activity analysis of kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs (companion to Fig. 2). (a) Immunoblot of mitotic protein extracts from RPE1 cell lines coexpressing GFP-Plk1^{as} and the Flag tag (Vector), wildtype Plk1 (Plk1^{wt}), catalytically inactive Plk1 (Plk1^{K82R}), delocalized Plk1 (Plk1^{aa}) or the kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs. Membranes probed for Flag-tagged Plk1 (Flag blot) and Actin (loading control). **(b)** Plk1 construct activity determined by Flag-immunoprecipitation from mitotic protein lysates, followed by incubation with [γ-32P]-ATP and Plk1-specific substrate. Where indicated, 200 nM BI-2536 added to reactions to inhibit Plk1. *Top*, autoradiograph (32P) and Coomassie gel (CBB) of substrate from kinase reactions. Average substrate labeling (± SEM) reported relative to Plk1wt. *Bottom*, immunoblot of kinase reaction input after immunoprecipitation (IP). Plk1 detected by Flag antibody. Uncropped gels presented in Supplementary Figure 11.

Supplementary Figure 5. Validation of high resolution Plk1 localization in cells (companion to Fig. 2). (a-c) High resolution, single-plane micrographs and delta analysis of antibodies targeting GFP tag of the GFP-Plk1^{as} construct (panel a), Flag tag of the Flag-Plk1^{wt} construct (panel b) in RPE1 cells or endogenous Plk1 in HeLa cells (panel c). K-K indicates distance between the two centroids. Yellow stars indicate protein positions targeted by antibodies. **(d)** Map of kinetochore with distances plotted relative to the N-terminus of CENP-I. **(e)** Single-plane, high-resolution micrographs of RPE1 and HeLa cells challenged with 10 μ M nocodazole for 2 h to destabilize microtubules. Linescans indicate relative signal intensities from Plk1 and CENP-C antibodies of kinetochore pair highlighted in inset. Scale bars, 5 μ m.

Supplementary Figure 6. Sample preparation and results for mass spectrometry (MS) of Plk1 cell lines (companion to Figs. 3-4). RPE1 cells coexpressing GFP-Plk1^{as} and Flag-Plk1^{wt}, Ch-Plk1^{aa}, Flag-Plk1ΔC-Kif2c, Flag-Plk1ΔC-H2B, or Flag-Plk1ΔC-Dsn1 were challenged with 10 µM 3-MB-PP1 $(inhibits Plk1^{as})$ +/- 200 nM BI-2536 (inhibits complementing wildtype Plk1 allele) for a total of 10 samples. Mitotic cells were collected by shake-off. **(a)** Pellets were lysed, and their resultant proteins were denatured, reduced, alkylated, and tryptically digested. The 10 samples were each chemically labeled with isobaric 10-plex TMT tags and mixed in equal ratios. The single pooled sample was fractionated by Strong Cation Exchange (SCX) chromatography, and the 12 resultant SCX fractions were enriched for phosphopeptides by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). Phosphopeptides from each fraction were chromatographically separated and ionized for MS analysis. Isobaric, chemically labeled peptide species were isolated and fragmented to produce sequencing ions. MS fragmentation also releases non-isobaric reporter ions from the TMT tags, which reveal the relative abundance of each peptide across the 10 samples (inset). **(b)** A total of 11,407 phosphopeptides were encountered, of which 531 (translating to 396 proteins) were regulated by PIk1 (Flag-PIk1^{wt} cell line exhibited > 2-fold change when comparing 3-MB-PP1 challenged cells with those challenged both with 3-MB-PP1 and BI-2536). 176/531 peptides also contained the D/E/N/Q-X-pS/pT motif, indicating that these were likely direct Plk1 targets. **(c)** Quantitative analysis of mitotic kinetochore phosphopeptides directly targeted by wild-type Plk1 (WT) and relative targeting abilities of the PBD mutant (AA) or kinetochore-tethered Plk1 (Kif2c, H2B, or Dsn1). Values indicate fold-change (> 2-fold considered significant) in phosphopeptide abundance of the uninhibited Plk1 constructs compared to inhibition of WT. Phosphopeptides arranged from outer kinetochore to inner centromere.

Supplementary Figure 7. Plk1 activity tethered to chromatin or the inner centromere promotes chromosome alignment and segregation (companion to Fig. 4) (a) Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs of pre-anaphase mitotic cells challenged with 500 nM 3-MB-PP1. Arrowheads indicate misaligned chromosomes. **(b)** Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs of anaphase cells challenged with 200 nM 3-MB-PP1. Arrowheads indicate lagging chromosomes. ACA, Anti-Centromere Antibody. Scale bars, 5 μm. (c-d) Plk1 tethered to kinetochore proteins Kif2c, H2B, or Hec1 do not produce mitotic errors in untreated cells. **(c)** Graph shows average percentage (±SEM) of pre-anaphase mitotic cells at metaphase with fully aligned chromosomes for each cell line (n=150 cells/experiment; 3 independent experiments). **(d)** Graph shows average percentage (±SEM) of anaphase cells with fully segregated chromsomes for each cell line (n≥50 cells/experiment; 3 independent experiments). *P<.05, **P<0.005 by one-way ANOVA; NS, not significant.

Supplementary Figure 8. Plk1 activity tethered to the inner centromere pool of Kif2c rescues chromosome alignment and segregation (companion to Fig. 5). (a) *Top*, Schematic of Kif2c (also known as mitotic centromere-associated kinesin, MCAK) highlighting important domains. CC, coiled coil. *Bottom*, Schematic of Plk1-tethered to Kif2c localization mutants: ΔN disrupts targeting to both the inner centromere and microtubule tips, whereas SKNN disrupts targeting to the tips only. **(b)** Immunoblot of mitotic protein extracts from RPE1 cell lines co-expressing GFP-Plk1^{as} and catalytically inactive Plk1 (Plk1^{K82R}), or wildtype Plk1 tethered to wildtype Kif2c (WT), Kif2c with an N-terminal deletion that prevents inner centromere and (+)tip targeting (ΔN), or Kif2c with a mutated SxIP domain that prevents (+)tip targeting (SKNN). Membranes probed for Flag-tagged Plk1 (Flag blot) and Actin (loading control). **(c)** Plk1 construct activity determined by Flag-immunoprecipitation from mitotic protein lysates, followed by incubation with [γ -³²P]-ATP and Plk1-specific substrate. Where indicated, 200 nM BI-2536 added to reactions to inhibit Plk1. Left, autoradiograph (^{32}P) and Coomassie gel (CBB) of substrate from kinase reactions. Average substrate labeling (± SEM) reported relative to WT. Right, immunoblot of kinase reaction input after immunoprecipitation (IP). Plk1 detected by Flag antibody. **(d)** Representative single-plane micrographs highlighting diffuse spindle localization of the ΔN mutant and discrete targeting of the SKNN mutant to the inner centromere (insets). **(e)** Single-plane, high-resolution micrographs of Kif2c-WT and SKNN mutant showing lack of outer kinetochore Kif2c signal. Linescans indicate relative signal intensities from the CENP-C and Flag antibodies of kinetochore pair highlighted in inset. **(f)** Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs of pre-anaphase mitotic cells challenged with 500 nM 3-MB-PP1. Arrowheads indicate misaligned chromosomes. **(g)** Representative maximal intensity projection micrographs of anaphase cells challenged with 200 nM 3-MB-PP1. Arrowheads indicate lagging chromosomes. ACA, Anti-Centromere Antibody. Scale bars, 5 μ m (panels d, f, g). Uncropped gels presented in Supplementary Figure 11.

Supplementary Figure 9. Analysis of outer kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs (companion to Fig. 5). (a) Immunoblot for BubR1 in mitotic extracts from cell lines coexpressing GFP-PIk1^{as} and the Flag tag (Vector), wildtype Plk1 (Plk1^{wt}),delocalized (Ch-Plk1aa) or kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs (*Top:* Kif2c; *Middle*: H2B, Dsn1; *Bottom:* Bub1, BubR1, Hec1) challenged with nocodazole \pm 10 μ M 3-MB-PP1. In the bottom blot, the Hec1 construct is from the same gel and membrane, but exposed for a longer duration **(b)** Immunoblot of mitotic protein extracts from RPE1 cell lines co-expressing GFP-Plk1^{as} and Plk1 tethered to Kif2c, Bub1, BubR1 or Hec1. Each lane represents a clonal cell line. Membranes probed for Flag-tagged Plk1 (Flag blot) and Cyclin B (mitotic fraction, *Top*) or actin (loading control, *Bottom*). **(c-d)** Highest expressing cell lines, Bub1 (GO-3), BubR1 (GK-3), and Hec1 (N1) were selected for further characterization. **(c)** Immunoblot of mitotic protein extracts comparing expression of kinetochore-tethered Plk1 constructs. **(d)** Plk1-tethered construct activity determined by Flagimmunoprecipitation from mitotic protein lysates, followed by incubation with [$γ$ - 32 P]-ATP and Plk1-specific substrate. Where indicated, 200 nM BI-2536 added to reactions to inhibit Plk1. *Top*, autoradiograph (^{32}P) and Coomassie gel (CBB) of substrate from kinase reactions. Average substrate labeling $(\pm$ SEM) reported relative to Plk1^{wt}. *Bottom,* immunoblot of kinase reaction input after immunoprecipitation (IP). Plk1 detected by Flag antibody. **(e-f)** Moderate to low expressing outer kinetochore tethers also fail to restore metaphase chromosome alignment or anaphase chromosome segregation when GFP-Plk1^{as} is inhibited with 3-MB-PP1. **(e)** Graph shows average percentage (± SEM) of pre-anaphase cells with bipolar spindles for each cell line challenged with 10 mM 3-MB-PP1 (n=100 cells/experiment; 2 independent experiments). **(f)** Graph shows average percentage (± SEM) of anaphase cells with fully segregated chromosomes for each cell line (n≥30 cells/experiment; 2 independent experiments). Uncropped gels presented in Supplementary Figure 12.

Supplementary Results

Supplementary Fig. 2a blots

Supplementary Fig. 2b blots

Supplementary Figure 10. Full scans of electrophoretic data from Supplementary Figure 2. Red dashed lines indicate cropped areas. Ld, ladder. HC, heavy chain. LC, light chain.

Supplementary Figure 11. Full scans of electrophoretic data from Supplementary Figures 4 & 8. Red dashed lines indicate cropped areas. Ld, ladder. HC, heavy chain. LC, light chain.

Supplementary Fig. 9a blots

Supplementary Fig. 9b blots

Supplementary Fig. 9c blots

Supplementary Fig. 9d blots

Supplementary Figure 12. Full scans of electrophoretic data from Supplementary Figure 9. Red dashed lines indicate cropped areas. Ld, ladder. HC, heavy chain. LC, light chain.

Supplementary Table 1. Table of Gene IDs from phosphopeptides regulated by kinetochoretethered Plk1 constructs. Rows indicate peptide locale or cellular function. Columns indicate peptides with individual or overlapping construct regulation. Peptides also regulated by delocalized Plk1 (Ch-Plk1^{aa}) are listed in red. Asterisk(*) denotes peptides that contain the minimal Plk1 consensus (D/E/N/Q-X-pS/pT) motif. Underline denotes multiple regulated peptides encountered.

Supplementary Table 2. Table of primers used for USER cloning and mutagenesis

Supplementary Table 3. Table of antibodies used for immunofluorescence and immunobloting

Supplementary Dataset 1. Results table listing phosphopeptides encountered by mass spectrometry of Plk1 cell lines labeled with tandem mass tags. A total of 11,407 phosphopeptides were encountered from the 10 cell fractions (5 cell lines +/- BI-2536). The raw 10-plex reporter ion intensities of localized phosphopeptide isoforms were log_2 transformed and normalized against inhibited Plk1^{wt} (+BI-2536) to obtain the relative phosphopeptide and protein quantitation for each cell line and condition. Terminal columns in the table indicate relative phosphopeptide expression for individual lines after BI-2536 treatment. See online methods section for details of analysis.

Supplementary References

- 1. Kraft, C. *et al.* Mitotic regulation of the human anaphase-promoting complex by phosphorylation. *EMBO J.* **22,** 6598–6609 (2003).
- 2. Qi, W., Tang, Z. & Yu, H. Phosphorylation- and polo-box-dependent binding of Plk1 to Bub1 is required for the kinetochore localization of Plk1. *Mol Biol Cell* **17,** 3705–3716 (2006).
- 3. Suijkerbuijk, S.J.E., Vleugel, M., Teixeira, A. & Kops, G.J.P.L. Integration of Kinase and Phosphatase Activities by BUBR1 Ensures Formation of Stable Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachments. *Dev. Cell* **23,** 745–755 (2012).
- 4. Elowe, S., Hümmer, S., Uldschmid, A., Li, X. & Nigg, E.A. Tensionsensitive Plk1 phosphorylation on BubR1 regulates the stability of kinetochore-microtubule interactions. *Genes Dev.* **21,** 2205–2219 (2007).
- 5. Santamaria, A. *et al.* The Plk1-dependent phosphoproteome of the early mitotic spindle. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **10,** M110.004457 (2011).
- 6. Kakeno, M., Matsuzawa, K., Matsui, T., Akita, H. & Sugiyama, I. Plk1 Phosphorylates CLIP-170 and Regulates Its Binding to Microtubules for Chromosome Alignment. *Cell Struct Funct* **59,** 45–59 (2014).
- 7. Li, H. *et al.* Phosphorylation of CLIP-170 by Plk1 and CK2 promotes timely formation of kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *EMBO J.* **29,** 2953– 2965 (2010).
- 8. Maia, A.R.R. *et al.* Cdk1 and Plk1 mediate a CLASP2 phospho-switch that stabilizes kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *J. Cell Biol.* **199,** 285–301 (2012).
- 9. Bader, J.R. *et al.* Polo-like kinase1 is required for recruitment of dynein to kinetochores during mitosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 20769–20777 (2011).
- 10. Hood, E.A., Kettenbach, A.N., Gerber, S.A. & Compton, D.A. Plk1 regulates the kinesin-13 protein Kif2b to promote faithful chromosome segregation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **23,** 2264–2274 (2012).
- 11. Zhang, L. *et al.* PLK1 phosphorylates mitotic centromere-associated kinesin and promotes its depolymerase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 3033– 3046 (2011).
- 12. Chi, Y.H. *et al.* Requirements for protein phosphorylation and the kinase activity of polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) for the kinetochore function of mitotic

arrest deficiency protein 1 (Mad1). *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 35834–35844 (2008).

- 13. Nishino, M. *et al.* NudC Is Required for Plk1 Targeting to the Kinetochore and Chromosome Congression. *Curr. Biol.* **16,** 1414–1421 (2006).
- 14. Mondal, G., Ohashi, A., Yang, L., Rowley, M. & Couch, F.J. Tex14, a Plk1- Regulated Protein, Is Required for Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachment and Regulation of the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint. *Mol. Cell* **45,** 680–695 (2012).
- 15. Kang, Y.H. *et al.* Mammalian polo-like kinase 1-dependent regulation of the PBIP1-CENP-Q complex at kinetochores. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 19744– 19757 (2011).
- 16. Kang, Y.H. *et al.* Self-Regulated Plk1 Recruitment to Kinetochores by the Plk1-PBIP1 Interaction Is Critical for Proper Chromosome Segregation. *Mol. Cell* **24,** 409–422 (2006).
- 17. Benada, J., Burdová, K., Lidak, T., von Morgen, P. & Macurek, L. Polo-like kinase 1 inhibits DNA damage response during mitosis. *Cell Cycle* **14,** 219–231 (2015).
- 18. Kim, J. H. *et al.* The condensin component NCAPG2 regulates microtubule-kinetochore attachment through recruitment of Polo-like kinase 1 to kinetochores. *Nat. Commun.* **5,** 4588 (2014).
- 19. Baumann, C., Körner, R., Hofmann, K. & Nigg, E.A. PICH, a Centromere-Associated SNF2 Family ATPase, Is Regulated by Plk1 and Required for the Spindle Checkpoint. *Cell* **128,** 101–114 (2007).
- 20. Li, H., Wang, Y. & Liu, X. Plk1-dependent phosphorylation regulates functions of DNA topoisomerase IIalpha in cell cycle progression. *J Biol Chem* **283,** 6209–6221 (2008).
- 21. Goto, H. *et al.* Complex formation of Plk1 and INCENP required for metaphase-anaphase transition. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **8,** 180–187 (2006).
- 22. Colnaghi, R. & Wheatley, S.P. Liaisons between survivin and Plk1 during cell division and cell death. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285,** 22592–22604 (2010).
- 23. Chu, Y. *et al.* Aurora B kinase activation requires survivin priming phosphorylation by PLK1. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* **3,** 260-267 (2011).
- 24. Taylor, S.S., Hussein, D., Wang, Y., Elderkin, S. & Morrow, C.J. Kinetochore localisation and phosphorylation of the mitotic checkpoint

components Bub1 and BubR1 are differentially regulated by spindle events in human cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **114,** 4385–4395 (2001).

- 25. Liu, S.-T. *et al.* Human CENP-I specifies localization of CENP-F, MAD1 and MAD2 to kinetochores and is essential for mitosis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **5,** 341–345 (2003).
- 26. Gascoigne, K.E. *et al.* Induced ectopic kinetochore assembly bypasses the requirement for CENP-A nucleosomes. *Cell* **145,** 410–422 (2011).