

Breast Care	
DOI: 10.1159/000445335	© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 1	. Immune checkpoints and	co-stimulatory molecules and	their targeting agents
----------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

Target	Agenta	Ligand	Agenta
Inhibitory molecu	ıles		
CTLA-4 (CD152)	Ipilimumab (trade name Yer- voy) Tremelimumab (Ticilimumab, CP-675,206)	B7-1 (CD80), B7-2 (CD86)	NA
PD-1 (CD279)	Nivolumab (ONO-4538, BMS- 936558, or MDX1106; trade name Optivo) Pembrolizumab (MK-3475 lambrolizumab, trade name Keytruda) Pidilizumab (CT-011)	PD-L1 (CD274; B7- H1)	BMS-936559 (MDX-1105); Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A); Durvalumab (MEDI4736); Avelumab (MSB00170718C);
		PD-L2 (CD273; B7-	NA
	PMC 006016	DC) MUC close U	N A
BTLA (CD272)	NA	HVEM, TNFRSF14, CD270	NA NA
CD160	NA	HVEM (CD270)	NA
TIM-3 (CD366)	NA	Galectin-9	NA
VISTA (B7-H5, PD-1 homolog, Dies 1)	NA	Unknown	
B7-H3 (CD276)	MGA271	Unknown	
Unknown		B7-H4	NA
CD200R	NA	CD200 (OX2)	Samalizumab (ALXN6000)
TIGIT (Visig 9,	NA	PVR (CD155); PVRL2	NA
Vstm3, WUCAM)		(nectin-2; CD112)	
Co-stimulatory m	olecules		
CD226	NA	PVR (CD155); PVRL2	,NA
		nectin-2 (CD112)	
CD28	TGN1412	B7-1 (CD80), B7-2	NA
o		(CD86)	
0X-40	GSK3174998	0X40-L	NA
CD27 (TNFRSF7)	Valrilumab (CDX1127-06)	CD70	NA
4-1BB (CD137, TNFRSF9)	PF-05082566 BMS-66513	4-1BBL	NA
ICOS (CD278)	NA	ICOSL, B7-H2 (CD275)	NA
LIGHT (TNFSF14)	NA	HVEM	NA
LT2	NA	HVEM	NA

^aClinically developed or under development agents. NA= not available.



DOI: 10.1159/000445335

© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 2. Expression of PD-L1 in breast cancer lesions

Breast cancer lesion	Number of sam- ples analyzed	Frequency of PD-L1 a	Clinical outcome relationship	Association with im- mune infil- trate ^b	Assay	PD-L1 positivity definition	Bibliography
Primary, stage I – II	44	Tumor: 22/44 (50) ^c TIL ^d · 18/44 (41)	No association with clinical outcome	Positive	ІНС	Tumor: ≥1% membrane or cytoplasm staining TIL: >1%	Ghebeh et al., 2006
Primary, Stage I-III	650	152/650 (23.4 %) including the following subtypes: Luminal A: 10/83 (12.1) Luminal B HER2-: 63/309 (20.4) Luminal B HER2+: 21/73 (28.8) HERBB2-enriched: 19/56 (33.9) TNBC: 39/127 (30.7)	PD-L1 was an independent negative prognostic factor for OS (p < 0.0001) for different tumor subtypes except fo luminal A breast cancer.	Positive r	ІНС	Modified H-score with a range of possible scores from 0 to 300, using a cut-off score of ≥ 100	Muenst et al., 2014
TNBC	105	20/105 (19)	NA	Positive	IHC	Tumor : ≥5% membrane staining	Mittendorf et al., 2014
Primary, Stage I-III	636	382/636 (60)	PD-L1 expression was associated with longer RFS (log-rank P = 0.01),	Positive	In situ mRNA hybridization	Automatic quantitative fluorescence (QIF) score of mRNA ^e	Schalper, et al., 2014
Primary, Stage I-III ^f	116	Luminal A: 11/33 (33) Luminal B: 8/25 (32) HERBB2-enriched: 1/5 (20) TNBC: 31/53 (59)	NA	Positive ^g	ІНС	Histo-Score (H-Score) from 1+ to 3+, mem- brane and/ or cytoplasm staining	Gatalica et al., 2014
Invasive breast cancer, Stage I-III	870	189/870 (21,7) including the following subtypes: Luminal A: 37/321 (11.5) Luminal B HER2 ⁻ :27/314 (8.6) Luminal B HER2 ⁺ : 1/13 (7.7) TNBC: 124/222 (55.9)	PD-L1 ⁺ patients had poorer 5-year DFs (78.6% vs. 84.9%; P = 0.012), and OS (88% vs. 91.5%, P < 0.001) than those five-year of PD-L1-negative patients;	S No correla- tion	ІНС	Tumor : ≥5% membrane staining with or with- out cytoplasm staining	Qin et al., 2015
Primary, Stage I-III	3916	All cohort Tumor: 66/3916 (1.7) ^h TIL: 235/3916 (6%) TNBC	PD-L1 expression in >10% of immune cells was associated with reduced disease-specific mortality (P = 0.08)	Positive	IHC	Tumor : ≥1% membrane staining with or with- out cytoplasm staining	Ali et al., 2015



© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

DOI: 10.1159/000445335

Breast cancer lesion	Number of sam- ples analyzed	Frequency of PD-L1 ^a	Clinical outcome relationship	Association with im- mune infil- trate ^b	Assay	PD-L1 positivity definition	Bibliography
		Tumor: 24/302 (8%) TIL: 56/302 (19%)					
TNBC	161	Tumor: 103/161 (64) membrane staining; 129/161 (80) cytoplasm staining; TIL: 150/161 (93)	Both tumor cytoplasmic and TIL ex- pression of PD-L1 was associated with OS (0.032 and 0.0042, respectively)	Positive	IHC	Tumor: ≥1% membrane or cytoplasm staining TIL: ≥1% ⁱ	Beckers et al., 2015
Primary Invasive, Stage I-III	5454	1076/5454 (20) including the following subtypes: Luminal A: 133/1515 (8.7) Luminal B: 186/1243 (15) Basal-like: 453/1205 (37.6) ERBB2-enriched: 227/841 (27)	PDL1 expression influenced MFS and OS (at 5 years 63 and 82%, respective- ly) in the basal-like subtype (P,<0.00005 and 0.0000003)	Positive	mRNA ex- pression by microarray analysis	Expression in T was compared with mean expression in NB: upregulation was defined by a T/NB ratio ≥2 ¹	Sabatier et al., 2015
Invasive pre- treatment primary, stage I-III	306	non-IBC: 54/194 (28) IBC: 42/112 (38)	<i>PDL-1</i> expression was associated with pCR: 50% in the "PDL1-high" group <i>versus</i> 22% in the "PDL1-low" groups ($p = 0.03$). No association with OS.	Positive	mRNA ex- pression by microarray analysis	Expression in T was compared with mean expression in NB: upregulation was defined by a T/NB ratio $\geq 2^{1}$	Bertucci, et al., 2015
Primary, stage I – II	189 I	107/189 (56,6)	PD-L1 ⁺ patients had improved OS (p=0.04)	NA	IHC	An arbitrary score ranging from 0 to 8 was determined by the sum of scores for staining intensity and the percentage of stained cells	Baptista et al., 2016
	43	Tumor: 9/43 (21); TIL: 35/43 (81)	No patient with PD-L1 ⁺ disease re- curred, 15% of PD-L1– patients devel- oped a distant recurrence	Positive	IHC	Tumor : ≥5% membrane staining TIL: ≥5%	Cimino- Mathwes et al., 2016

Primary, stage I-III (treatment



DOI: 10.1159/000445335

© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

Breast cancer lesion	Number of sam- ples analyzed	Frequency of PD-L1 ^a	Clinical outcome relationship	Association with im- mune infil- trate ^b	Assay	PD-L1 positivity definition	Bibliography
naïve) Matched primary and meta- static	26	Primary Tumor: 2/24 (8) TIL: 14/26 (54); high concordance with PD-L1+ TIL in met- astatic lesions	NA	No correla- tion	ІНС	Tumor : ≥5% membrane staining TIL: ≥5%	Cimino- Mathwes et al., 2016
Primary, Stage I-III	316	292/316 (92.4)	No significant association between PD L1 expression and DFS or OS	- Negative	IHC	Histo-Score (H-Score) from 1+ to 3+, mem- brane or cytoplasm staining	Park et al., 2016

^afrequency of PD-L1 in specific subtypes is reported only for large studies; ^bassociation between PD-L1 expression and immune infiltration, positive= positive correlation; negative = inverse correlation; ^cnumber in parenthesis is the % of positive lesions; ^dtumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL); ^eautomatic quantitative fluorescence (QIF) score of mRNA signal in the tumor was calculated by dividing the target mRNA pixel intensities with the area of the tumor compartment defined by the staining with cytokeratin. A cutoff for PD-L1 mRNA positivity was defined as the noise threshold of the system, determined by using the average QIF score of negative control bacterial gene; ^fthe correlation was observed only for TNBC; ^fthis analysis has been performed in tumors with different histological origin; ^gonly for TNBC; h: for additional tumors (METABRIC cohort) genomic data were available: among 44 of the previously defined IntClust10/Basal-like, 17 (39%) were PD-L1 immune cell positive; ⁱthe staining score of ≥5% was also used for PD-L1 staining and similar results were obtained (PD-L1 expression: 60% tumors with membrane staining, 77% tumors with cytoplasm staining and 93% of TILs; ^IT, tumor; NB, normal breast tissue.

IHC= Immunohistochemistry; OS= overall survival; NA= Not available; RFS: Recurrence-free survival; MFS: Metastasis free survival; IBC= Inflammatory breast cancer; non-IBC= non inflammatory breast cancer; pCR: pathological complete response.



Breast Care
DOI: 10.1159/000445335

© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 3. Immune checkpoint agents under clinical development in breast cancer

Target	Agent	Stage of clinical development	Tumor stage	Combination therapy	Status
CTLA-4	Ipilimumab (trade name Yervoy)	Phase I	Stage III and IV disease ^a	Following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell	Completed
		Phase I	Early stage/resectable	Single-dose Ipilimumab	Completed
	Tremelimumab (Ticilimumab, CP- 675,206)	Phase I	Advanced hormone- responsive disease	Exemestane	Completed
PD-1	Nivolumab (ONO- 4538, BMS- 936558, or MDX1106; trade name Opti- vo)	Phase II Phase I and II	Advanced disease ^a TNBC ^a	No Etinostat (benzamide histone deacetylase inhib- itor) and Ipilimumab	Active Active
	Pembrolizumab (MK-3475 Lam- brolizumab, trade name Keytruda)	Phase I Phase III	Metastatic disease ^a Metastatic TNBC	Nab-paclitaxel No	Active Active
		Phase I	HER-2- metastatic disease	No	Active
		Phase II	Metastatic TNBC	No	Active
		Phase I and II	TNBC ^a	IDO inhibitor (INCB024360)	Active
		Phase I and II	TNBC ^a	PLX3397 (multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor)	Active
		Phase I and II	Metastatic TNBC	Eribulin mesylate (micro- tubule inhibitor)	Active
		Phase II	Metastatic or recurrent inflammatory disease	No	Active
		Phase II	Stage IV ER+	Tamoxifen citrate and Vorinostat	Active
		Phase I	P53+ TNBC ^a	p53MVA vaccine	Active
		Phase I	Metastatic disease	Hypofractionated radio- therapy	Active
	Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A)	Phase I	Metastatic TNBC	No	
		Phase III	Previously untreated metastatic TNBC	Chemotherapy	Active
		Phase I	Advanced disease ^a	Bevacizumab and/or chemotherapy	Active
		Phase I	Advanced TNBC ^a	IDO inhibitor GDC0919	Active
		Phase I	HER-2+ metastatic or locally advanced disease	Trastuzumab, and Per- tuzumab or Atezolizumab and Trastuzumab Em- tansine	Active
		Phase I and II ^a	Relapsed or refractory Her-2+ or TNBC	Ibrutinib	Active
		Phase II	TNBC before surgery	Paclitaxel albumin- stabilized nanoparticles	Approved- not yet active



DOI: 10.1159/000445335

© 2016 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg www.karger.com/brc

Online Supplemental Tables

Target	Agent	Stage of clinical Tumor stage development		Combination therapy	Status
	Durvalumab (MEDI4736)	Phase I	Metastatic relapsed or refractory disease ^a	Hypofractionated radio- therapy and Tremelimumab	Active
		Phase I and II	Advanced measurable disease with accessible biopsy ^a	Toll-like receptor agonist PolyICLC and Tremelimumab	Approved- not yet active
		Phase I and II	Stage I-III TNBC	Nab-paclitaxel followed by MEDI4736 concomi- tant with ddAC neoadju- vant chemotherapy	Approved- not yet active
	Avelumab (MSB00170718C)	Phase I	Metastatic or locally ad- vanced disease ^a	No	Active
OX-40 CD27	GSK3174998 Valrilumab (CDX1127-06)	Phase I Phase I and II	Advanced TNBC ^a Advanced disease ^a	Pembrolizumab Atezolizumab	Active Active

TNBC= Triple negative breast cancer. ^aEnrolling subjects with different types of tumor.