Table S1: Genes whose allelic expression levels in the hybrids significantly differ from the expected allelic expression ratio of the parents.

Tissue	Hybrid	Genes with unexpected allelic expression ratio							
			Total		Nonadditively expressed genes				
		(FDR <5%)	Overlap		(FDR <5%)	Overlap			
			Expected $^{\circ}$	Observed	(FDK <3%)	Expected $^{\rm c}$	Observed		
Meristematic zone	B73xMo17 ^ª	150	1	47*	58	0	27*		
	Mo17xB73 ^b	99			40				
Elongation	B73xMo17 ^a	106	1	41*	29	0	12*		
zone	Mo17xB73 ^b	112			26				
Otala	B73xMo17 ^a	228	3	92*	33	0	19*		
Stele	Mo17xB73 ^b	185			36				
Quataux	B73xMo17 ^a	126	1	47*	28	0	14*		
Cortex	Mo17xB73 ^b	87			27				
la all tiagus -	B73xMo17 ^a	498	7	160*	57	0	15*		
In all tissues	Mo17xB73 ^b	401			56				

Expected allelic expression levels in the hybrids are calculated from the allelic expression ratio of their parents:

^a $b_{B73}/m_{Mo17} \neq b_{B73xMo17}/m_{B73xMo17}$.

^b $b_{B73}/m_{Mo17} \neq b_{Mo17xB73}/m_{Mo17xB73}$.

The number of genes is shown for which the depicted criteria are fulfilled under controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) at 5%.

^c Expected values were calculated as [(# of genes with unexpected allelic ratio in B73xMo17) x (# of genes with unexpected allelic ratio in Mo17xB73)] / (total # of genes with B73-Mo17 SNP position). The numbers of expected and observed overlapping genes were compared using a χ^2 -test. Significant differences between expected and observed values are indicated by *: $p \leq 0.01$.

Class	Expression pattern ^ª	Tissue	_				Overlap			
			B73	3xMo17	Mo	17xB73 —	Ехр	ected ^c	Obs	served
		MZ	432	(1,427)	412	(1,249)	9	(85)	343*	(1,017*
1	LP <h<hp< td=""><td>EZ</td><td>300</td><td>(989)</td><td>336</td><td>(1,141)</td><td>5</td><td>(57)</td><td>244*</td><td>(798*</td></h<hp<>	EZ	300	(989)	336	(1,141)	5	(57)	244*	(798*
		S	294	(1,314)	293	(1,239)	4	(74)	239*	(1,025*
		С	205	(930)	214	(850)	2	(37)	159*	(649*
2	LP=H <hp< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>81</td><td>(2,409)</td><td>11</td><td>(1,238)</td><td>0</td><td>(143)</td><td>4*</td><td>(784*</td></hp<>	MZ	81	(2,409)	11	(1,238)	0	(143)	4*	(784*
		EZ	1	(828)	8	(1,004)	0	(42)	0	(416*
		S	16	(1,320)	12	(1,041)	0	(62)	3*	(634*
		С	4	(799)	14	(690)	0	(26)	1*	(314*
3	LP <h=hp< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>201</td><td>(1,316)</td><td>262</td><td>(1,765)</td><td>3</td><td>(111)</td><td>105*</td><td>(751*</td></h=hp<>	MZ	201	(1,316)	262	(1,765)	3	(111)	105*	(751*
		EZ	339	(1,912)	277	(1,617)	5	(155)	190*	(1,128*
		S	217	(1,745)	271	(1,896)	3	(150)	148*	(1,271*
		С	232	(1,734)	336	(2,041)	4	(166)	158*	(1,212*
		MZ	0	(11,161)	0	(11,936)	0	(6,374)	0	(10,901*
		EZ	0	(11,650)	0	(11,640)	0	(6,799)	0	(11,557)
4	LP=HP=H	S	0	(13,288)	0	(13,320)	0	(8,045)	0	(13,193
		С	0	(13,779)	0	(13,747)	0	(8,903)	0	(13,666*
	LP <hp<h< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>1</td><td>(1)</td><td>1</td><td>(1)</td><td>0</td><td>(0)</td><td>0</td><td>(0</td></hp<h<>	MZ	1	(1)	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0
_		EZ	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0
5		S	2	(2)	2	(2)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
		С	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0
6	LP=HP <h< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>26</td><td>(26)</td><td>3</td><td>(3)</td><td>0</td><td>(0)</td><td>1*</td><td>(1*</td></h<>	MZ	26	(26)	3	(3)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
		EZ	3	(3)	2	(2)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
		S	1	(1)	5	(5)	0	(0)	0	(0
		С	2	(2)	4	(4)	0	(0)	0	(0
7	H <lp<hp< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>3</td><td>(3)</td><td>2</td><td>(2)</td><td>0</td><td>(0)</td><td>1*</td><td>(1*</td></lp<hp<>	MZ	3	(3)	2	(2)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
		EZ	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0
		S	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0
		С	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0
8	H <lp=hp< td=""><td>MZ</td><td>109</td><td>(120)</td><td>3</td><td>(16)</td><td>0</td><td>(0)</td><td>3*</td><td>(3*</td></lp=hp<>	MZ	109	(120)	3	(16)	0	(0)	3*	(3*
		EZ	1	(2)	1	(7)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
		S	12	(16)	4	(9)	0	(0)	4*	(4*
		С	4	(5)	2	(2)	0	(0)	1*	(1*
9	ambiguous	MZ	100	(4,436)	12	(4,689)	0	(995)	0	(2,884*
		EZ	5	(4,562)	8	(4,535)	0	(1,037)	1*	(3,831*
		S	6	(4,315)	9	(4,489)	0	(880)	1*	(3,635*
		C	10	(4,025)	17	(3,942)	0	(746)	1*	(3,260*
Total			1,056	,	824		35	. /	439*	

Table S2: Classification of the gene expression level in the two reciprocal hybrids B73xMo17 and Mo17xB73 relative to the level of their parental inbred lines according to nine gene expression classes.

^a The classification of gene expression levels in the hybrids (H) into nine classes is based on three pairwise *t*-tests: Tests 1 and 2 estimate if the expression level of the considered gene in the hybrid is significantly different from that of the lower parent (LP) and/or higher parent (HP) (LP \neq H; HP \neq H) by controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) at 5%. Test 3 compares the expression level of the two parents (LP \neq HP).

^b In each expression class, the number of nonadditively expressed genes have been estimated by comparing the gene expression levels in the hybrids with the mid-parent values.

^c The number of genes expected to overlap between the two reciprocal hybrids in each class were calculated as [(# of nonadditive genes in B73xMo17) x (# of nonadditive genes in Mo17xB73)]/(# of all expressed genes in the tissue under investigation). The numbers of expected and observed overlapping genes were compared using a χ^2 -test. Significant differences are indicated by *: $p \leq 0.01$.

Table S3: Functional categorization of nonsyntenic nonadditively expressed genes. The 'X' indicates overrepresented categories in the considered gene set in comparison to the GO terms in all expressed genes in the corresponding tissue. For genes of the meristematic zone and stele no GO terms were significantly enriched.

		Description	Nonsyntenic nonadditively expressed genes					
	GO term		Co	rtex	Elongation zone			
			B73xMo17	Mo17xB73	B73xMo17	Mo17xB73		
Molecular process	GO:0004497	Monooxygenase activity		Х				
	GO:0070001	Aspartic-type peptidase activity				Х		
	GO:0004190	L Aspartic-type endopeptidase activity				Х		
	GO:0005506	Iron ion binding	Х					
	GO:0046906	Tetrapyrrole binding	Х					
	GO:0020037	L Heme binding	Х					
Biological process	GO:0016265	Death	Х	Х				
	GO:0008219	Cell death	Х	Х				
	GO:0012501	L Programmed cell death	Х	Х				
	GO:0006915	L _{Apoptosis}	Х	Х				