SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR

Glycosylation of plasma IgG in colorectal cancer prognosis

Authors: Evropi Theodoratou^{1,2,*}, Kujtim Thaçi^{3,*}, Felix Agakov⁴, Maria Timofeeva², Jerko Štambuk³, Maja Pučić-Baković³, Frano Vučković³, Peter Orchard⁴, Anna Agakova⁴, Farhat VN Din², Ewan Brown⁵, Pauline Rudd⁶, Susan M Farrington², Malcolm G Dunlop², Harry Campbell^{1,2,*}, Gordan Lauc^{2,7,*}

1 Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

2 Colon Cancer Genetics Group, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh and Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Edinburgh, UK

- 3 Genos Glycoscience Research Laboratory, Zagreb, Croatia
- **4** Pharmatics Limited, Edinburgh, UK
- 5 Edinburgh Cancer Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 6 National institute for bioprocessing research & training, Dublin, Ireland
- 7 University of Zagreb Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Zagreb, Croatia
- * Equal contribution from these authors

Correspondence: Dr Evropi Theodoratou, Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, <u>e.theodoratou@ed.ac.uk</u>

Supplementary Box 1 Information about the estimators used in the classification analysis

LASSO - sparse logisitic regression. This is a standard logistic regression model with a logit link function, and L_1 penalties on the weights. To set the penalty parameter, we run 10-fold cross-validation over a set of 10 penalties in the range 0.001 to 0.1. We choose the penalty resulting in the minimum-deviance model.

k-nearest neighbours - k nearest neighbours with k=1. For a new input, this classifier predicts the class of the nearest input in the training data, measured by Euclidean distance.

PAM - Prediction Analysis for Microarrays. This is the "nearest-shrunken-centroid" classifier. To set the shrinkage parameter, we run 10-fold cross-validation over 31 equally spaced values in the range 0 to 1.5. We choose the parameter that produces the lowest mean error rate.

Support Vector Machines – kernel-based maximum-margin classifiers. We consider linear, square, cubic, and squared exponential (Gaussian) kernel functions with fixed hyperparameters.

Naive Bayes – factorized class-conditional classifiers with normal or kernel density estimator-based marginal distributions. The KDE bandwidth is selected automatically.

Decision Trees – non-parametric tree classifiers, with the internal nodes corresponding to predictors, and leaves encoding classification labels. Binary trees with the Gini impurity splitting criterion were used.

Boosted stump classifiers – aggregations of multiple boosted one-node decision trees (stumps), where each later stump focuses on previously misclassified samples, using a version of Adaboost.

GROUP	Code	GENOS Code	Description	Formula	Variation
	IGP1	GP1	The percentage of FA1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP1 / GP * 100	34.35
	IGP2	GP2	The percentage of A2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP2 / GP * 100	34.02
	IGP3	GP4	The percentage of FA2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP4 / GP * 100	2.45
	IGP4	GP5	The percentage of M5 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP5 / GP * 100	32.90
	IGP5	GP6	The percentage of FA2B glycan in total IgG glycans	GP6 / GP * 100	1.78
	IGP6	GP7	The percentage of A2G1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP7 / GP * 100	29.37
	IGP7	GP8	The percentage of FA2[6]G1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP8 / GP * 100	10.82
	IGP8	GP9	The percentage of FA2[3]G1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP9 / GP * 100	6.71
	IGP9	GP10	The percentage of FA2[6]BG1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP10 / GP * 100	2.42
	IGP10	GP11	The percentage of FA2[3]BG1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP11 / GP * 100	31.34
Total IgG	IGP11	GP12	The percentage of A2G2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP12 / GP * 100	28.10
giycans (neutral +	IGP12	GP13	The percentage of A2BG2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP13 / GP * 100	95.50
(neutrul + charaed)	IGP13	GP14	The percentage of FA2G2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP14 / GP * 100	1.05
entar gealy	IGP14	GP15	The percentage of FA2BG2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP15 / GP * 100	12.56
	IGP15	GP16	The percentage of FA2G1S1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP16 / GP * 100	33.19
	IGP16	GP17	The percentage of A2G2S1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP17/ GP * 100	112.42
	IGP17	GP18	The percentage of FA2G2S1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP18 / GP * 100	2.19
	IGP18	GP19	The percentage of FA2BG2S1 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP19 / GP * 100	15.42
	IGP19	GP20	Structure not determined	GP20 / GP * 100	104.69
	IGP20	GP21	The percentage of A2G2S2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP21 / GP * 100	49.60
	IGP21	GP22	The percentage of A2BG2S2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP22 / GP * 100	96.00
	IGP22	GP23	The percentage of FA2G2S2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP23 / GP * 100	25.62
	IGP23	GP24	The percentage of FA2BG2S2 glycan in total IgG glycans	GP24 / GP * 100	30.39
Total IgG	IGP24	FGS/(FG+FGS)	The percentage of sialylation of fucosylated galactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc in total	SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP23) / SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP23 +	
giyeans			IgG glycans	GP8 + GP9 + GP14) * 100	18.10

Supplementary Table 1 Glycans annotation and experimental variation for each glycan variable

derived parameters	IGP25	FBGS/(FBG+FB GS)	The percentage of sialylation of fucosylated galactosylated structures with bisecting GlcNAc in total	SUM(GP19 + GP24) / SUM(GP19 + GP24 + GP10 +	12.20
		,	IgG glycans	GP11 + GP15) * 100	13.28
				SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP23) /	
	IGP26	FGS/(F+FG+FG	The percentage of sialylation of all fucosylated structures	SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP23 +	
	10120	S)	without bisecting GlcNAc in total IgG glycans	GP4 + GP8 + GP9 + GP14) *	
				100	8.10
		FBGS/(FB+FBG	The perceptage of signation of all fucosylated structures	SUM(GP19 + GP24) /	
	IGP27	+EBGS)	with hisecting GlcNAc in total IaG alucans	SUM(GP19 + GP24 + GP6 +	
		+1 003)		GP10 + GP11 + GP15) * 100	13.02
	10000	FG1S1/(FG1+F	The percentage of monosialylation of fucosylated	GP16 / SUM(GP16 + GP8 +	
	IGP28	G1S1)	monogalactosylated structures without bisecting GicNAc	GP9) * 100	40.05
		-	in total igG giycans	-	48.95
		FG2S1/(FG2+F	The percentage of monosialylation of fucosylated	GP18 / SUM(GP18 + GP14 +	
	IGP29	G2S1+FG2S2)	digalactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc in	GP23) * 100	
		,	total IgG glycans	,	9.74
		FG2S2/(FG2+F	The percentage of disialylation of fucosylated	GP23 / SUM/GP23 + GP14 +	
	IGP30	$G_{2}S_{1}+F_{G}S_{2}S_{2}$	digalactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc in	GP18) * 100	
		023111 0232)	total IgG glycans	6/10/ 100	22.02
		FBG2S1/(FBG2	The percentage of monosialylation of fucosylated		
	IGP31	+FBG2S1+FBG	digalactosylated structures with bisecting GlcNAc in total	GP19/3010(GP19+GP15+	
		2S2)	IgG glycans	GF24) 100	9.54
		FBG2S2/(FBG2	The percentage of disialylation of fucosylated		
	IGP32	+FBG2S1+FBG	digalactosylated structures with bisecting GlcNAc in total	GP24 / SUM(GP24 + GP15 +	
		2S2)	IgG glycans	GP19) * 100	44.66
			Ratio of all fucosylated monosialylated and disialylated	SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP19) /	
	IGP33	FiotalS1/FiotalS2	structures (+/- bisecting GlyNAc) in total IgG glycans	SUM(GP23 + GP24)	34.85
			Ratio of fucosylated monosialylated and disialylated		
	IGP34	FS1/FS2	structures (without bisecting GlcNAc) in total IgG glycans	SUM(GP16 + GP18) / GP23	29.15
			Ratio of fucosylated monosialylated and disialylated		
	IGP35	FBS1/FBS2	structures (with bisecting GlcNAc) in total IaG alvcans	GP19 / GP24	45.81
			Ratio of all fucosylated signated structures with and	SUM(GP19 + GP24) /	
	IGP36	FBS ^{total} /FS ^{total}	without bisecting GlcNAc in total IaG alvcans	SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP23)	6.69
			Ratio of fucosulated monosialulated structures with and		0.05
	IGP37	FBS1/FS1	without bisecting GlcNAc in total IaG alvcans	GP19 / SUM(GP16 + GP18)	5.67

	IGP38	FBS1/(FS1+FBS 1)	The incidence of bisecting GlcNAc in all fucosylated monosialylated structures in total IgG glycans in total IgG glycans	GP19 / SUM(GP16 + GP18 + GP19)	5.48
	IGP39	FBS2/FS2	Ratio of fucosylated disialylated structures with and without bisecting GlcNAc in total IgG glycans	GP24 / GP23	3.89
	IGP40	FBS2/(FS2+FBS 2)	The incidence of bisecting GlcNAc in all fucosylated disialylated structures in total IgG glycans	GP24 / SUM(GP23 + GP24)	4.44
	IGP41	GP1 ⁿ	The percentage of FA1 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP1 / GP ⁿ * 100	35.92
	IGP42	42 $GP2^n$ The percentage of A2 glycan in total neutral lgG g (GP^n)		GP2 / GP ⁿ * 100	37.40
	IGP43	GP4 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP4 / GP ⁿ * 100	1.27
	IGP44	GP5 ⁿ	The percentage of M5 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP5 / GP ⁿ * 100	36.24
	IGP45	GP6 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2B glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP6 / GP ⁿ * 100	1.02
Neutral IgG	IGP46	GP7 ⁿ	The percentage of A2G1 glycan in total neutral lgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP7 / GP ⁿ * 100	32.32
glycans	IGP47	GP8 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2[6]G1 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP8 / GP ⁿ * 100	2.69
	IGP48	GP9 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2[3]G1 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP9 / GP ⁿ * 100	2.06
	IGP49	GP10 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2[6]BG1 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP10 / GP ⁿ * 100	0.91
	IGP50	GP11 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2[3]BG1 glycan in total neutral IgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP11 / GP ⁿ * 100	37.96
	IGP51	GP12 ⁿ	The percentage of A2G2 glycan in total neutral lgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP12 / GP ⁿ * 100	29.22
	IGP52	GP13 ⁿ	The percentage of A2BG2 glycan in total neutral lgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP13 / GP ⁿ * 100	92.53

	IGP53	GP14 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2G2 glycan in total neutral lgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP14 / GP ⁿ * 100	0.58
	IGP54	GP15 ⁿ	The percentage of FA2BG2 glycan in total neutral lgG glycans (GP ⁿ)	GP15 / GP ⁿ * 100	13.13
	IGP55	G0 ⁿ	The percentage of agalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP1 ⁿ : GP4 ⁿ + GP6 ⁿ)	1.24
	IGP56 G1"		The percentage of monogalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP7 ⁿ : GP11 ⁿ)	1.09
	IGP57 G2 ⁿ		The percentage of digalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP12 ⁿ : GP15 ⁿ)	1.51
	IGP58 F ^{n total} The GlcN		The percentage of all fucosylated structures (+/- bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP1 ⁿ + GP4 ⁿ + GP6 ⁿ + GP8 ⁿ + GP9 ⁿ + GP10 ⁿ + GP11 ⁿ + GP14 ⁿ + GP15 ⁿ)	44.04
	IGP59	59FG0 ^{n total} /G0 ⁿ The percentage of fucosylation of agalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycansS		SUM(GP1 ⁿ + GP4 ⁿ + GP6 ⁿ) / G0 ⁿ * 100	40.29
	IGP60	FG1 ^{n total} /G1 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of monogalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP8 ⁿ + GP9 ⁿ + GP10 ⁿ + GP11 ⁿ) / G1 ⁿ * 100	20.74
Neutral IgG glycans -	IGP61	FG2 ^{n total} /G2 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of digalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP14 ⁿ + GP15) / G2 ⁿ * 100	81.14
derived parameters	IGP62	F ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylated structures (without bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP1 ⁿ + GP4 ⁿ + GP8 ⁿ + GP9 ⁿ + GP14 ⁿ)	11.33
	IGP63	FG0 ⁿ /G0 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of agalactosylated structures (without bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP1"+ GP4") / G0" * 100	4.70
	IGP64	IGP64 FG1 ⁿ /G1 ⁿ The percentage of fucosylation of monogalactosylated structures (without bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG alvcans		SUM(GP8 ⁿ + GP9 ⁿ) / G1 ⁿ * 100	5.89
	IGP65	FG2 ⁿ /G2 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of digalactosylated structures (without bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	GP14 ⁿ / G2 ⁿ * 100	57.82
	IGP66	FB ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylated structures (with bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP6 ⁿ + GP10 ⁿ + GP11 ⁿ + GP15 ⁿ)	2.27
	IGP67	FBG0 ⁿ /G0 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of agalactosylated structures (with bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG	GP6"/G0" * 100	1.06

		glycans		
IGP68	FBG1 ⁿ /G1 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of monogalactosylated structures (with bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	SUM(GP10 ⁿ + GP11 ⁿ) / G1 ⁿ *	2.70
IGP69	FBG2 ⁿ /G2 ⁿ	The percentage of fucosylation of digalactosylated structures (with bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	GP15 ⁿ / G2 ⁿ * 100	21.03
IGP70	FB ⁿ /F ⁿ	Ratio of fucosylated structures with and without bisecting GlcNAc in total neutral IgG glycans	<i>FBⁿ/ Fⁿ</i> * 100	3.39
IGP71	FB ⁿ /F ^{n total}	The incidence of bisecting GlcNAc in all fucosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	FB ⁿ /F ^{n total} * 100	3.43
IGP72	F ⁿ /(B ⁿ + FB ⁿ)	Ratio of fucosylated non-bisecting GlcNAc structures and all structures with bisecting GlcNAc in total neutral IgG glycans	F ⁿ /(GP13 ⁿ + FB ⁿ)	6.00
IGP73	B ⁿ /(F ⁿ + FB ⁿ)	Ratio of structures with bisecting GlcNAc and all fucosylated structures (+/- bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	GP13 ⁿ / (F ⁿ + FB ⁿ) * 1000	92.62
IGP74	FBG2 ⁿ /FG2 ⁿ	Ratio of fucosylated digalactosylated structures with and without bisecting GlcNAc in total neutral IgG glycans	GP15 ⁿ /GP14 ⁿ	28.12
IGP75	FBG2 ⁿ /(FG2 ⁿ + FBG2 ⁿ)	The incidence of bisecting GlcNAc in all fucosylated digalactosylated structures in total neutral IgG glycans	GP15 ⁿ /(GP14 ⁿ + GP15 ⁿ) * 100	28.43
IGP76	FG2 ⁿ /(BG2 ⁿ + FBG2 ⁿ)	Ratio of fucosylated digalactosylated non-bisecting GlcNAc structures and all digalactosylated structures with bisecting GlcNAc in total neutral IgG glycans	GP14 ⁿ /(GP13 ⁿ + GP15 ⁿ)	52.69
IGP77	BG2 ⁿ /(FG2 ⁿ + FBG2 ⁿ)	Ratio of digalactosylated structures with bisecting GlcNAc and all fucosylated digalactosylated structures (+/- bisecting GlcNAc) in total neutral IgG glycans	GP13 ⁿ /(GP14 ⁿ + GP15 ⁿ) * 1000	106.54

Supplementary Table 2 All cause analysis

Code	Glycan	Dead	Survived	Crude model (n=1229)		Model I (AJCC, age, se	ex, n=1229)	Model II (AJCC, age, sex,		
	-	(N=489)	(N=740)					time between sar	nple and	
								surgery, operation t	ype, bmi,	
								CRP n=952)	-	
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Total Ig	gG glycans (neu	tral and charge	d); Measured							
IGP3	Continuous	26.39 (7.33)	23.87 (6.37)	1.04 (1.03, 1.06)	4.7x10 ⁻¹²	1.04 (1.03, 1.06)	7.5x10 ⁻¹¹	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	7.5x10 ⁻⁶	
	RT			1.36 (1.24, 1.48)	5.9x10 ⁻¹¹	1.35 (1.23, 1.48)	5.0x10 ⁻¹⁰	1.28 (1.14, 1.43)	2.6x10 ⁻⁵	
IGP5	Continuous	6.34 (1.88)	5.79 (1.57)	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)	1.5x10 ⁻⁸	1.14 (1.08, 1.20)	3.5x10 ⁻⁷	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)	0.001	
	RT			1.28 (1.17, 1.40)	9.7x10 ⁻⁸	1.27 (1.15, 1.39)	1.4x10 ⁻⁶	1.19 (1.06, 1.34)	0.002	
IGP7	Continuous	18.26 (2.21)	18.67 (1.81)	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	0.0001	0.93 (0.88, 0.97)	0.001	0.92 (0.87, 0.97)	0.003	
	RT			0.85 (0.77, 0.93)	0.001	0.87 (0.79, 0.95)	0.003	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	0.01	
IGP8	Continuous	9.38 (1.36)	9.83 (1.35)	0.83 (0.78, 0.89)	3.4x10 ⁻⁸	0.86 (0.80, 0.91)	2.6x10 ⁻⁶	0.87 (0.81, 0.94)	0.0002	
	RT			0.78 (0.74, 0.85)	3.4x10 ⁻⁸	0.81 (0.74, 0.89)	4.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.83 (0.75, 0.92)	0.0003	
IGP9	Continuous	5.45 (1.23)	5.48 (1.15)	0.96 (0.89, 1.04)	0.35	0.96 (0.88, 1.03)	0.26	0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	0.29	
	RT			0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	0.25	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)	0.16	0.93 (0.84, 1.04)	0.20	
IGP13	Continuous	10.19 (3.13)	11.44 (3.13)	0.89 (0.87, 0.92)	8.9x10 ⁻¹³	0.90 (0.87, 0.93)	1.4x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.92 (0.89, 0.96)	4.0x10 ⁻⁵	
	RT			0.70 (0.64, 0.77)	5.2x10 ⁻¹⁴	0.71 (0.65, 0.79)	1.6x10 ⁻¹¹	0.77 (0.68, 0.86)	9.6x10 ⁻⁶	
IGP14	Continuous	1.38 (0.41)	1.50 (0.43)	0.55 (0.44, 0.70)	4.0x10 ⁻⁷	0.59 (0.46, 0.74)	5.9x10 ⁻⁶	0.70 (0.53, 0.92)	0.01	
	RT			0.78 (0.71, 0.85)	9.0x10 ⁻⁸	0.80 (0.73, 0.88)	2.0x10 ⁻⁶	0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	0.007	
IGP17	Continuous	7.78 (2.29)	8.47 (2.34)	0.89 (0.85, 0.93)	9.3x10 ⁻⁸	0.89 (0.85, 0.93)	1.3x10 ⁻⁷	0.91 (0.86, 0.96)	0.0002	
	RT			0.76 (0.70, 0.83)	4.4x10 ⁻⁹	0.76 (0.69, 0.83)	8.4x10 ⁻⁹	0.79 (0.71, 0.89)	4.9x10 ⁻⁵	
IGP18	Continuous	1.87 (0.38)	1.90 (0.39)	0.85 (0.67, 1.08)	0.18	0.81 (0.65, 1.02)	0.08	0.94 (0.73, 1.22)	0.64	
	RT			0.94 (0.86, 1.03)	0.18	0.93 (0.85, 1.01)	0.10	0.98 (0.89, 1.08)	0.70	
Sialylat	tion									
IGP24	Continuous	24.78 (3.20)	24.95 (3.10)	0.99 (0.96, 1.01)	0.33	0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.06	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.18	
	RT			0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	0.29	0.92 (0.84, 1.00)	0.06	0.93 (0.84, 1.03)	0.18	
IGP25	Continuous	32.83 (6.17)	32.58 (6.34)	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.38	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	0.67	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.48	
	RT			1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	0.37	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	0.65	1.04 (0.94, 1.15)	0.47	
IGP26	Continuous	16.35 (3.63)	17.35 (3.61)	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	7.3x10 ⁻⁷	0.93 (0.91, 0.96)	2.7x10 ⁻⁷	0.94 (0.92, 0.97)	0.0003	
	RT			0.79 (0.72, 0.86)	1.6x10 ⁻⁷	0.77 (0.71, 0.85)	6.2x10 ⁻⁸	0.81 (0.72, 0.90)	0.0001	

IGP27	Continuous	21.21 (4.88)	21.82 (4.96)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.04	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.03	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.30
	RT			0.91 (0.83, 0.99)	0.04	0.91 (0.83, 0.99)	0.03	0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.30
IGP29	Continuous	40.18 (2.99)	39.51 (2.77)	1.07 (1.04, 1.10)	1.2x10 ⁻⁵	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.03	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.47
	RT			1.23 (1.12, 1.35)	9.2x10 ⁻⁶	1.12 (1.03, 1.23)	0.10	1.06 (0.95, 1.18)	0.28
IGP31	Continuous	37.02 (3.87)	36.41 (3.97)	1.04 (1.01, 1.06)	0.002	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.006	1.03 (1.00, 1.05)	0.04
	RT			1.15 (1.05, 1.26)	0.002	1.14 (1.04, 1.24)	0.004	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	0.03
Bisectir	ng GlcNAc			•					
IGP36	Continuous	0.30 (0.08)	0.28 (0.07)	8.74 (2.87, 26.66)	0.0001	7.95 (2.43, 26.01)	0.001	9.40 (2.30, 38.49)	0.002
	RT			1.19 (1.09, 1.30)	0.0001	1.18 (1.07, 1.29)	0.001	1.19 (1.06, 1.33)	0.002
IGP37	Continuous	0.17 (0.05)	0.16 (0.05)	19.00 (3.31, 109.06)	0.001	19.22 (3.12, 118.60)	0.001	30.56 (3.50, 267.02)	0.002
	RT			1.16 (1.06, 1.27)	0.001	1.16 (1.06, 1.27)	0.002	1.18 (1.06, 1.32)	0.003
IGP38	Continuous	0.14 (0.04)	0.14 (0.03)	76.90 (6.38, 927.03)	0.001	73.95 (5.58, 980.16)	0.001	126.7 (5.94, 2701)	0.002
	RT			1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	0.001	1.16 (1.06, 1.28)	0.001	1.19 (1.06, 1.32)	0.002
IGP39	Continuous	1.35 (0.32)	1.27 (0.30)	1.82 (1.39, 2.39)	1.5x10 ⁻⁵	1.66 (1.26, 2.19)	0.0003	1.62 (1.16, 2.27)	0.005
	RT			1.22 (1.11, 1.33)	1.4x10 ⁻⁵	1.19 (1.09, 1.30)	0.0002	1.17 (1.05, 1.31)	0.005
IGP40	Continuous	0.56 (0.06)	0.55 (0.06)	30.19 (6.24, 146.08)	2.3x10 ⁻⁵	19.39 (3.90, 96.34)	0.0003	13.96 (2.03, 96.03)	0.007
	RT			1.22 (1.11, 1.33)	1.9x10 ⁻⁵	1.19 (1.08, 1.30)	0.0002	1.17 (1.05, 1.30)	0.005
Neutra	l IgG glycans								
IGP43	Continuous	32.33 (8.02)	29.56 (7.02)	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	3.7x10 ⁻¹²	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	1.2x10 ⁻¹⁰	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	1.0x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			1.36 (1.24, 1.49)	4.3x10 ⁻¹¹	1.35 (1.22, 1.48)	6.9x10 ⁻¹⁰	1.28 (1.14, 1.43)	2.6x10 ⁻⁵
IGP45	Continuous	7.78 (2.07)	7.18 (1.76)	1.13 (1.08, 1.18)	4.9x10 ⁻⁸	1.12 (1.07, 1.17)	2.0x10 ⁻⁶	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	0.002
	RT			1.27 (1.16, 1.39)	2.9x10 ⁻⁷	1.24 (1.13, 1.37)	9.2x10 ⁻⁶	1.17 (1.05, 1.31)	0.006
IGP47	Continuous	22.59 (3.09)	23.32 (2.52)	0.92 (0.89, 0.95)	1.1x10 ⁻⁶	0.93 (0.90, 0.96)	5.0x10 ⁻⁶	0.93 (0.90, 0.97)	0.0002
	RT			0.81 (0.74, 0.88)	4.7x10 ⁻⁶	0.81 (0.74, 0.89)	1.4x10 ⁻⁵	0.83 (0.74, 0.92)	0.001
IGP48	Continuous	11.60 (1.81)	12.28 (1.75)	0.85 (0.81, 0.89)	1.6x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.86 (0.82, 0.91)	8.8x10 ⁻⁹	0.88 (0.83, 0.93)	1.3x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			0.74 (0.68, 0.81)	1.5x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.76 (0.70, 0.84)	1.0x10 ⁻⁸	0.79 (0.71, 0.88)	1.5x10 ⁻⁵
IGP49	Continuous	6.73 (1.54)	6.85 (1.46)	0.95 (0.89, 1.01)	0.08	0.94 (0.88, 1.00)	0.04	0.94 (0.87, 1.01)	0.09
	RT			0.91 (0.83, 1.00)	0.05	0.90 (0.82, 0.98)	0.02	0.90 (0.81, 1.01)	0.06
IGP53	Continuous	12.70 (4.29)	14.39 (4.37)	0.92 (0.90, 0.94)	3.9x10 ⁻¹²	0.93 (0.91, 0.95)	1.9x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.94 (0.92, 0.97)	4.7x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			0.70 (0.64, 0.77)	8.5x10 ⁻¹⁴	0.71 (0.65, 0.79)	1.0x10 ⁻¹¹	0.76 (0.68, 0.86)	7.4x10 ⁻⁶
IGP54	Continuous	1.72 (0.54)	1.89 (0.59)	0.64 (0.54, 0.75)	1.7x10 ⁻⁷	0.66 (0.55, 0.78)	1.5x10 ⁻⁶	0.75 (0.61, 0.92)	0.005
	RT			0.77 (0.71, 0.85)	3.4x10 ⁻⁸	0.79 (0.72, 0.87)	4.5x10 ⁻⁷	0.85 (0.76, 0.95)	0.003

Galacto	sylation								
IGP55	Continuous	41.15 (9.19)	37.69 (8.09)	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	1.2x10 ⁻¹³	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	5.9x10 ⁻¹²	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	2.0x10 ⁻⁶
	RT			1.39 (1.27, 1.53)	1.0x10 ⁻¹²	1.38 (1.26, 1.52)	2.7x10 ⁻¹¹	1.31 (1.16, 1.47)	5.5x10 ⁻⁶
IGP56	Continuous	42.72 (4.71)	44.26 (3.56)	0.93 (0.91, 0.95)	1.7x10 ⁻¹²	0.93 (0.92, 0.95)	2.2x10 ⁻¹¹	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	2.1x10 ⁻⁷
	RT			0.75 (0.69, 0.83)	2.2x10 ⁻⁹	0.76 (0.69, 0.83)	8.3x10 ⁻⁹	0.78 (0.69, 0.87)	1.3x10 ⁻⁵
IGP57	Continuous	15.65 (5.00)	17.61 (5.15)	0.94 (0.92, 0.95)	5.9x10 ⁻¹²	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	4.2x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.95 (0.93, 0.98)	0.0001
	RT			0.71 (0.65, 0.78)	2.5x10 ⁻¹³	0.72 (0.66, 0.80)	3.6x10 ⁻¹¹	0.78 (0.69, 0.87)	2.4x10 ⁻⁵
Core fu	cosylation and	bisecting GlcNA	lc .						
IGP62	Continuous	79.47 (3.74)	79.77 (3.46)	0.99 (0.96, 1.01)	0.27	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.42	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.41
	RT			0.96 (0.87, 1.05)	0.32	0.97 (0.88, 1.06)	0.52	0.96 (0.86, 1.07)	0.48
IGP63	Continuous	78.81 (4.29)	78.69 (4.11)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.34	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.29	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.51
	RT			1.05 (0.96, 1.15)	0.30	1.06 (0.96, 1.16)	0.24	1.04 (0.93, 1.16)	0.46
IGP64	Continuous	80.03 (3.79)	80.45 (3.56)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.10	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.18	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.25
	RT			0.93 (0.85, 1.02)	0.12	0.95 (0.86, 1.04)	0.24	0.94 (0.85, 1.05)	0.31
IGP66	Continuous	17.29 (3.20)	16.97 (2.90)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.14	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.38	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.64
	RT			1.06 (0.97, 1.16)	0.19	1.03 (0.94, 1.13)	0.50	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.73
IGP67	Continuous	19.15 (3.88)	19.30 (3.65)	0.99 (0.96, 1.01)	0.26	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.17	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.28
	RT			0.95 (0.86, 1.03)	0.22	0.93 (0.85, 1.02)	0.13	0.94 (0.84, 1.05)	0.25
IGP68	Continuous	18.20 (3.46)	17.82 (3.25)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.12	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)	0.27	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.44
	RT			1.07 (0.98, 1.17)	0.13	1.05 (0.95, 1.15)	0.34	1.04 (0.93, 1.16)	0.50
IGP70	Continuous	0.22 (0.05)	0.21 (0.05)	4.33 (0.71, 26.41)	0.11	2.73 (0.41, 18.15)	0.30	2.05 (0.23, 18.63)	0.52
	RT			1.06 (0.97, 1.16)	0.19	1.03 (0.94, 1.14)	0.49	1.02 (0.92, 1.14)	0.68
IGP71	Continuous	17.88 (3.38)	17.55 (3.07)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.16	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.38	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.59
	RT			1.06 (0.97, 1.16)	0.21	1.03 (0.94, 1.14)	0.49	1.02 (0.92 , 1.14)	0.68
IGP72	Continuous	4.65 (1.09)	4.71 (1.01)	0.97 (0.89, 1.06)	0.51	1.00 (0.91, 1.09)	0.93	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.85
	RT			0.96 (0.87, 1.05)	0.32	0.98 (0.89, 1.07)	0.64	0.98 (0.88, 1.09)	0.74

Supplementary Table 3 CRC-specific analysis

Code	Glycan	Dead	Survived	Crude model (n=1229)		Model I (AJCC,	age, sex,	Model II (AJCC, age, sex,		
	-	(N=385)	(N=844)			n=1229)		time between sample and		
								surgery, operation t	ype, CRP,	
								bmi, n=971)		
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Total Ig	gG glycans (neu	tral and charge	d); Measured							
IGP3	Continuous	26.20 (7.31)	24.27 (6.59)	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	5.9x10 ⁻⁸	1.04 (1.03, 1.06)	2.2x10 ⁻⁸	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.001	
	RT			1.31 (1.18, 1.45)	2.8x10 ⁻⁷	1.33 (1.20, 1.48)	5.5x10 ⁻⁸	1.23 (1.09, 1.40)	0.001	
IGP5	Continuous	6.23 (1.85)	5.91 (1.63)	1.10 (1.04, 1.17)	0.0004	1.12 (1.05, 1.18)	0.0002	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)	0.10	
	RT			1.18 (1.07, 1.31)	0.001	1.22 (1.09, 1.35)	0.0003	1.10 (0.97, 1.25)	0.14	
IGP7	Continuous	18.33 (2.13)	18.59 (1.91)	0.94 (0.89, 0.99)	0.01	0.94 (0.89, 0.99)	0.01	0.95 (0.89, 1.01)	0.10	
	RT			0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.03	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.02	0.92 (0.81, 1.04)	0.16	
IGP8	Continuous	9.46 (1.35)	9.74 (1.38)	0.87 (0.81, 0.94)	0.003	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.006	0.92 (0.84, 1.00)	0.05	
	RT			0.83 (0.75, 0.92)	0.0003	0.88 (0.79, 0.97)	0.009	0.90 (0.80, 1.01)	0.06	
IGP9	Continuous	5.40 (1.19)	5.50 (1.18)	0.93 (0.85, 1.01)	0.10	0.93 (0.85, 1.02)	0.11	0.92 (0.83, 1.03)	0.15	
	RT			0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.08	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.07	0.90 (0.80, 1.02)	0.11	
IGP13	Continuous	10.30 (3.19)	11.24 (3.15)	0.91 (0.88, 0.94)	3.5x10 ⁻⁸	0.91 (0.88, 0.94)	5.3x10 ⁻⁸	0.94 (0.90, 0.98)	0.004	
	RT			0.73 (0.66, 0.81)	5.3x10 ⁻⁹	0.73 (0.66, 0.81)	1.5x10 ⁻⁸	0.81 (0.71, 0.92)	0.002	
IGP14	Continuous	1.38 (0.40)	1.49 (0.43)	0.54 (0.42, 0.70)	2.9x10 ⁻⁶	0.56 (0.43, 0.72)	1.2x10 ⁻⁵	0.70 (0.51, 0.96)	0.03	
	RT			0.77 (0.70, 0.86)	9.7x10 ⁻⁷	0.79 (0.71, 0.87)	5.7x10 ⁻⁶	0.86 (0.76, 0.98)	0.02	
IGP17	Continuous	7.87 (2.31)	8.35 (2.34)	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	0.0001	0.90 (0.85, 0.94)	5.2x10 ⁻⁶	0.92 (0.87, 0.97)	0.003	
	RT			0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	2.4x10 ⁻⁵	0.77 (0.70, 0.86)	1.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.82 (0.72, 0.93)	0.002	
IGP18	Continuous	1.87 (0.37)	1.90 (0.39)	0.85 (0.65, 1.10)	0.22	0.78 (0.60, 1.02)	0.07	0.99 (0.74, 1.33)	0.97	
	RT			0.94 (0.85, 1.04)	0.24	0.92 (0.83, 1.01)	0.08	1.00 (0.90, 1.12)	0.98	
Sialylat	tion; Derived									
IGP24	Continuous	24.84 (3.24)	24.90 (3.09)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.63	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.06	0.97 (0.93, 1.00)	0.09	
	RT			0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	0.56	0.91 (0.83, 1.01)	0.07	0.90 (0.80, 1.01)	0.08	
IGP25	Continuous	32.93 (6.13)	32.56 (6.34)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.27	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	0.67	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.37	
	RT			1.06 (0.96, 1.17)	0.26	1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	0.65	1.05 (0.94, 1.19)	0.38	
IGP26	Continuous	16.47 (3.64)	17.17 (3.63)	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	0.0003	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	7.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	0.002	
	RT			0.82 (0.74, 0.91)	0.0001	0.78 (0.71, 0.87)	3.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.82 (0.72, 0.92)	0.001	

IGP27	Continuous	21.38 (4.93)	21.66 (4.94)	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.29	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.08	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.79
	RT			0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.30	0.92 (0.83, 1.01)	0.09	0.99 (0.88, 1.11)	0.80
IGP29	Continuous	40.22 (3.05)	39.57 (2.77)	1.07 (1.04, 1.11)	4.0x10 ⁻⁵	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	0.13	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.75
	RT			1.24 (1.12, 1.38)	3.7x10 ⁻⁵	1.10 (1.00, 1.22)	0.05	1.00 (0.89., 1.13)	0.99
IGP31	Continuous	37.11 (3.80)	36.44 (3.99)	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.002	1.04 (1.01, 1.06)	0.006	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.01
	RT			1.18 (1.06, 1.30)	0.001	1.15 (1.05, 1.27)	0.004	1.17 (1.04, 1.31)	0.009
Bisectir	ng GlcNAc; Deri	ived			·				
IGP36	Continuous	0.30 (0.07)	0.29 (0.08)	4.42 (1.23, 15.89)	0.02	5.06 (1.30, 19.60)	0.02	7.14 (1.41, 36.18)	0.02
	RT			1.13 (1.03, 1.25)	0.01	1.14 (1.03, 1.27)	0.01	1.17 (1.03, 1.33)	0.02
IGP37	Continuous	0.17 (0.05)	0.16 (0.05)	7.92 (1.06, 58.84)	0.04	11.26 (1.42, 89.56)	0.02	30.51 (2.53, 367.34)	0.007
	RT			1.12 (1.01, 1.23)	0.03	1.13 (1.02, 1.26)	0.02	1.19 (1.05, 1.35)	0.006
10020	Cantinuan	0.14 (0.03)	0.14 (0.03)	24.79 (1.45, 423.15)	0.03	40.48 (2.19,	0.01	138.34 (4.24, 4509)	0.006
IGP38	Continuous					748.37)			
	RT			1.12 (1.02, 1.24)	0.02	1.14 (1.03, 1.27)	0.01	1.19 (1.06, 1.35)	0.005
IGP39	Continuous	1.33 (0.32)	1.29 (0.30)	1.53 (1.12, 2.08)	0.008	1.42 (1.04, 1.94)	0.03	1.35 (0.92, 1.98)	0.13
	RT			1.15 (1.04, 1.27)	0.006	1.13 (1.02, 1.25)	0.02	1.10 (0.98, 1.25)	0.12
IGP40	Continuous	0.56 (0.06)	0.55 (0.06)	10.50 (1.78, 61.78)	0.009	7.90 (1.32, 47.39)	0.02	4.87 (0.55, 43.15)	0.16
	RT			1.15 (1.04, 1.27)	0.008	1.13 (1.02, 1.25)	0.02	1.10 (0.97, 1.25)	0.13
Neutra	l IgG glycans; D	erived							
IGP43	Continuous	32.14 (8.00)	29.98 (7.24)	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	3.5x10 ⁻⁸	1.02 (1.03, 1.05)	2.8x10 ⁻⁸	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.001
	RT			1.32 (1.19, 1.46)	1.6x10 ⁻⁷	1.33 (1.20, 1.48)	6.4x10 ⁻⁸	1.24 (1.09, 1.41)	0.001
IGP45	Continuous	7.65 (2.07)	7.31 (1.83)	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	0.0009	1.10 (1.04, 1.15)	0.001	1.04 (0.98, 1.11)	0.19
	RT			1.17 (1.06, 1.30)	0.002	1.19 (1.07, 1.33)	0.001	1.08 (0.94, 1.22)	0.27
IGP47	Continuous	22.71 (3.01)	23.18 (2.66)	0.94 (0.91, 0.98)	0.001	0.93 (0.90, 0.97)	0.0002	0.95 (0.91, 0.99)	0.02
	RT			0.85 (0.77, 0.95)	0.003	0.83 (0.75, 0.92)	0.0003	0.87 (0.77, 0.98)	0.02
IGP48	Continuous	11.72 (1.81)	12.14 (1.79)	0.89 (0.84, 0.94)	2.2x10 ⁻⁵	0.90 (0.85, 0.95)	0.0002	0.92 (0.86, 0.98)	0.01
	RT			0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	2.1x10 ⁻⁵	0.82 (0.74, 0.91)	0.0002	0.85 (0.76, 0.97)	0.01
IGP49	Continuous	6.68 (1.49)	6.85 (1.50)	0.92 (0.86, 0.99)	0.03	0.91 (0.85, 0.98)	0.01	0.92 (0.84, 1.00)	0.04
	RT			0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.02	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	0.009	0.88 (0.77, 0.99)	0.03
IGP53	Continuous	12.86 (4.38)	14.11 (4.38)	0.93 (0.91, 0.96)	1.1x10 ⁻⁷	0.93 (0.91, 0.96)	5.6x10 ⁻⁸	0.95 (0.93, 0.98)	0.003
	RT			0.74 (0.67, 0.82)	9.1x10 ⁻⁹	0.73 (0.66, 0.81)	9.7x10 ⁻⁹	0.80 (0.71, 0.92)	0.001
IGP54	Continuous	1.72 (0.53)	1.87 (0.59)	0.63 (0.52, 0.76)	2.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.63 (0.52, 0.77)	3.9x10 ⁻⁶	0.76 (0.60, 0.95)	0.02

	RT			0.77 (0.70, 0.86)	8.5x10 ⁻¹¹	0.78 (0.70, 0.86)	2.0x10 ⁻⁶	0.85 (0.75, 0.97)	0.01
Galacto	osylation; Deriv	ed							
IGP55	Continuous	40.83 (9.18)	38.26 (8.36)	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	1.7x10 ⁻⁸	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	1.0x10 ⁻⁸	1.02 (1.01, 1.04)	0.001
	RT			1.33 (1.20, 1.47)	7.0x10 ⁻⁸	1.35 (1.22, 1.50)	2.3x10 ⁻⁸	1.24 (1.09, 1.41)	0.001
IGP56	Continuous	42.90 (4.63)	43.99 (3.83)	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	2.5x10 ⁻⁷	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	1.1x10 ⁻⁷	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	0.0004
	RT			0.80 (0.72, 0.88)	1.5x10 ⁻⁵	0.78 (0.70, 0.86)	2.4x10 ⁻⁶	0.82 (0.72, 0.94)	0.003
IGP57	Continuous	15.79 (5.09)	17.30 (5.16)	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	6.5x10 ⁻⁸	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	6.1x10 ⁻⁸	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)	0.005
	RT			0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	7.0x10 ⁻⁹	0.73 (0.66, 0.82)	1.2x10 ⁻⁸	0.81 (0.71, 0.93)	0.002
Core fu	cosylation and	bisecting GlcNA	Ac; Derived						
IGP62	Continuous	79.68 (3.70)	79.63 (3.52)	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.79	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.94	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.88
	RT			1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	0.71	1.01 (0.91, 1.13)	0.80	1.02 (0.90, 1.15)	0.77
IGP63	Continuous	79.01 (4.23)	78.62 (4.16)	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.07	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.10	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.17
	RT			1.10 (1.00, 1.22)	0.06	1.10 (0.99, 1.22)	0.07	1.10 (0.97, 1.24)	0.13
IGP64	Continuous	80.24 (3.73)	80.30 (3.62)	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.84	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.75	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	0.94
	RT			0.99 (0.90, 1.10)	0.92	0.99 (0.89, 1.11)	0.90	1.00 (0.89, 1.14)	0.94
IGP66	Continuous	17.10 (3.15)	17.09 (2.97)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.99	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	0.95	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.51
	RT			0.99 (0.90, 1.10)	0.89	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.80	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	0.44
IGP67	Continuous	18.98 (3.81)	19.36 (3.70)	0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.06	0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.06	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.08
	RT			0.90 (0.82, 1.00)	0.05	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)	0.04	0.89 (0.79, 1.01)	0.07
IGP68	Continuous	18.01 (3.39)	17.96 (3.32)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.87	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.93	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.73
	RT			1.00 (0.91, 1.11)	0.94	1.00 (0.90, 1.11)	0.93	0.97 (0.86, 1.10)	0.64
IGP70	Continuous	0.21 (0.05)	0.21 (0.05)	1.08 (0.13, 8.62)	0.94	1.06 (0.12, 9.53)	0.30	0.50 (0.04, 6.76)	0.60
	RT			0.99 (0.90, 1.10)	0.87	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.79	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	0.47
IGP71	Continuous	17.68 (3.33)	17.68 (3.14)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.96	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.96	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.58
	RT			0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.85	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.80	0.96 (0.85, 1.09)	0.50
IGP72	Continuous	4.71 (1.06)	4.68 (1.03)	1.03 (0.93, 1.13)	0.61	1.03 (0.93, 1.14)	0.54	1.05 (0.93, 1.18)	0.42
	RT			1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	0.68	1.02 (0.92, 1.14)	0.67	1.05 (0.92, 1.18)	0.47

Supplementary Table 4 All-cause mortality analysis for stages 1-3

Code	Glycan	Dead	Survived	Crude model (n=108	33)	Model I (AJCC,	age, sex,	Model II (AJCC, age, s	sex,
		(N=355)	(N=728)			n=1083)		time between san	nple and
								surgery, operation t	ype, bmi,
								CRP n=850)	
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Total Ig	gG glycans (neutra	al and charged); N	leasured						
IGP3	Continuous	26.11 (7.30)	23.90 (6.34)	1.04 (1.03, 1.06)	9.5x10 ⁻⁸	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	1.6x10 ⁻⁶	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	9.6x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			1.32 (1.18, 1.47)	4.4x10 ⁻⁷	1.29 (1.16, 1.45)	8.13x10 ⁻⁶	1.28 (1.11, 1.46)	0.0004
IGP5	Continuous	6.43 (1.86)	5.80 (1.86)	1.18 (1.12, 1.25)	3.3x10 ⁻⁹	1.16 (1.10, 1.24)	7.6x10 ⁻⁷	1.16 (1.08, 1.25)	3.8x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			1.35 (1.22, 1.51)	3.0x10 ⁻⁸	1.30 (1.16, 1.47)	7.2x10 ⁻⁶	1.30 (1.13, 1.49)	0.0002
IGP7	Continuous	18.25 (2.18)	18.66 (1.80)	0.91 (0.86, 0.96)	0.001	0.92 (0.87, 0.98)	0.006	0.91 (0.85, 0.97)	0.002
	RT			0.84 (0.75, 0.93)	0.001	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	0.01	0.84 (0.74, 0.96)	0.008
IGP8	Continuous	9.35 (1.39)	9.84 (1.34)	0.81 (0.75, 0.87)	5.9x10 ⁻⁸	0.81 (0.75, 0.88)	2.1x10 ⁻⁷	0.80 (0.73, 0.87)	7.9x10 ⁻⁷
	RT			0.75 (0.67, 0.83)	6.9x10 ⁻⁸	0.75 (0.68, 0.84)	2.8x10 ⁻⁷	0.73 (0.65, 0.83)	1.0x10 ⁻⁶
IGP9	Continuous	5.57 (1.27)	5.48 (1.16)	1.05 (0.96, 1.14)	0.32	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	0.65	1.00 (0.91, 1.11)	0.94
	RT			1.04 (0.94, 1.16)	0.44	1.01 (0.91, 1.13)	0.81	1.00 (0.88, 1.13)	0.95
IGP13	Continuous	10.29 (3.07)	11.42 (3.11)	0.90 (0.87, 0.93)	8.1x10 ⁻⁹	0.91 (0.87, 0.94)	7.9x10 ⁻⁷	0.92 (0.88, 0.96)	0.0003
	RT			0.71 (0.64, 0.80)	1.6x10 ⁻⁹	0.73 (0.65, 0.82)	1.6x10 ⁻⁷	0.75 (0.65, 0.87)	7.5x10⁻⁵
IGP14	Continuous	1.41 (0.41)	1.50 (0.43)	0.64 (0.49, 0.83)	0.001	0.67 (0.51, 0.87)	0.003	0.73 (0.53, 1.00)	0.05
	RT			0.83 (0.74, 0.92)	0.0004	0.84 (0.75, 0.94)	0.002	0.87 (0.76, 0.99)	0.03
IGP17	Continuous	7.83 (2.30)	8.45 (2.28)	0.90 (0.86, 0.94)	2.6x10 ⁻⁵	0.91 (0.86, 0.96)	0.0005	0.92 (0.87, 0.99)	0.02
	RT			0.77 (0.70, 0.86)	3.2x10 ⁻⁶	0.79 (0.70, 0.89)	5.7x10 ⁻⁵	0.81 (0.71, 0.93)	0.003
IGP18	Continuous	1.88 (0.39)	1.90 (0.39)	0.89 (0.67, 1.16)	0.38	0.87 (0.67, 1.13)	0.31	0.89 (0.66, 1.20)	0.43
	RT			0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.33	0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.33	0.95 (0.85, 1.07)	0.40
Sialylat	tion								
IGP24	Continuous	24.78 (3.18)	24.93 (3.04)	0.99 (0.95, 1.02)	0.49	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.58	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.88
	RT			0.96 (0.86, 1.06)	0.40	0.96 (0.86, 1.07)	0.48	1.00 (0.88, 1.13)	0.99
IGP25	Continuous	32.49 (6.30)	32.58 (6.38)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.91	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.94	1.00 (0.98, 1.02	0.90
	RT			0.99 (0.90, 1.10)	0.91	1.00 (0.90, 1.10)	0.96	1.01 (0.90, 1.13)	0.89
IGP26	Continuous	16.43 (3.64)	17.32 (3.55)	0.94 (0.91, 0.97)	0.0001	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	0.001	0.95 (0.92, 0.99)	0.02
	RT			0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	4.0x10 ⁻⁵	0.81 (0.73, 0.91)	0.0002	0.83 (0.73, 0.95)	0.007

IGP27	Continuous	21.01 (5.01)	21.81 (4.97)	0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.02	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.05	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.14
	RT			0.87 (0.79, 0.97)	0.01	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)	0.05	0.91 (0.81, 1.02)	0.12
IGP29	Continuous	40.09 (2.90)	39.49 (2.70)	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	0.0001	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)	0.001	1.06 (1.01, 1.10)	0.009
	RT			1.23 (1.10, 1.37)	0.0002	1.20 (1.07, 1.34)	0.001	1.19 (1.05, 1.35)	0.007
IGP31	Continuous	36.78 (3.86)	36.40 (3.97)	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.10	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.12	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.58
	RT			1.10 (0.99, 1.21)	0.08	1.09 (0.98, 1.21)	0.10	1.04 (0.92, 1.17)	0.53
Bisectin	ng GlcNAc	·	·						
IGP36	Continuous	0.30 (0.08)	0.28 (0.07)	10.21 (2.80, 37.30)	0.0004	7.18 (1.82, 28.32)	0.005	7.26 (1.42, 37.22)	0.02
	RT			1.20 (1.09, 1.34)	0.0004	1.17 (1.05, 1.30)	0.006	1.16 (1.02, 1.32)	0.02
IGP37	Continuous	0.17 (0.05)	0.16 (0.05)	20.26 (2.63, 155.9)	0.004	13.32 (1.57, 112.9)	0.02	11.89 (0.94, 150.5)	0.06
	RT			1.16 (1.05, 1.29)	0.005	1.13 (1.02, 1.26)	0.02	1.12 (0.99, 1.28)	0.08
IGP38	Continuous	0.14 (0.04)	0.14 (0.03)	84.20 (4.58, 1549)	0.003	43.03 (2.04, 909.9)	0.02	33.95 (0.92, 12.46)	0.06
	RT			1.17 (1.05, 1.30)	0.003	1.14 (1.02, 1.27)	0.02	1.13 (0.99, 1.28)	0.07
IGP39	Continuous	1.36 (0.33)	1.27 (0.30)	2.04 (1.49, 2.81)	1.0x10 ⁻⁵	1.86 (1.34, 2.58)	0.0002	1.84 (1.24, 2.73)	0.002
	RT			1.26 (1.13, 1.40)	2.0x10 ⁻⁵	1.22 (1.10, 1.36)	0.0003	1.21 (1.06, 1.37)	0.005
IGP40	Continuous	0.56 (0.06)	0.55 (0.06)	55.05 (8.57, 353.6)	2.4x10 ⁻⁵	32.58 (4.82, 220.4)	0.0004	24.66 (2.52, 241.26)	0.006
	RT			1.26 (1.13, 1.40)	2.2x10 ⁻⁵	1.22 (1.09, 1.36)	0.0003	1.20 (1.06, 1.37)	0.005
Neutra	l IgG glycans								
IGP43	Continuous	31.97 (7.93)	29.59 (6.98)	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	1.3x10 ⁻⁷	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	2.4x10 ⁻⁶	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	0.0001
	RT			1.32 (1.18, 1.47)	5.3x10 ⁻⁷	1.29 (1.15, 1.45)	1.0x10 ⁻⁵	1.28 (1.12, 1.47)	0.0004
IGP45	Continuous	7.88 (2.08)	7.18 (1.75)	1.16 (1.10, 1.22)	5.4x10 ⁻⁹	1.14 (1.08, 1.21)	1.6x10 ⁻⁶	1.14 (1.07, 1.22)	5.8x10 ⁻⁵
	RT			1.35 (1.21, 1.50)	4.8x10 ⁻⁸	1.29 (1.15, 1.45)	1.5x10 ⁻⁵	1.29 (1.12, 1.48)	0.0003
IGP47	Continuous	22.58 (3.07)	23.30 (2.50)	0.92 (0.88, 0.95)	1.3x10 ⁻⁵	0.93 (0.89, 0.97)	0.0004	0.92 (0.88, 0.97)	0.0004
	RT			0.79 (0.71, 0.89)	3.8x10⁻⁵	0.82 (0.73, 0.92)	0.001	0.81 (0.71, 0.92)	0.002
IGP48	Continuous	11.56 (1.84)	12.29 (1.74)	0.83 (0.78, 0.88)	8.8x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.84 (0.79, 0.89)	6.5x10 ⁻⁹	0.83 (0.77, 0.89)	1.1x10 ⁻⁷
	RT			0.72 (0.64, 0.80)	8.0x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.72 (0.65, 0.81)	7.0x10 ⁻⁹	0.71 (0.63, 0.81)	1.2x10 ⁻⁷
IGP49	Continuous	6.88 (1.60)	6.84 (1.47)	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.80	0.99 (0.93, 1.07)	0.88	0.99 (0.91, 1.07)	0.73
	RT			1.00 (0.90, 1.12)	0.95	0.98 (0.88, 1.09)	0.72	0.97 (0.86, 1.10)	0.63
IGP53	Continuous	12.82 (4.23)	14.36 (4.34)	0.93 (0.90, 0.95)	2.0x10 ⁻⁸	0.93 (0.91, 0.96)	1.5x10 ⁻⁶	0.94 (0.91, 0.97)	0.001
	RT			0.72 (0.64, 0.80)	1.8x10 ⁻⁹	0.73 (0.65, 0.82)	1.5x10 ⁻⁷	0.75 (0.65, 0.87)	7.8x10 ⁻⁵
IGP54	Continuous	1.76 (0.55)	1.88 (0.59)	0.70 (0.58, 0.85)	0.0004	0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	0.002	0.78 (0.62, 0.99)	0.04
	RT			0.82 (0.73, 0.91)	0.0002	0.83 (0.75, 0.93)	0.001	0.86 (0.76, 0.98)	0.02

Galacto	sylation										
IGP55	Continuous	40.89 (9.04)	37.72 (8.04)	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	1.7x10 ⁻⁹	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	7.1x10 ⁻⁸	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	8.4x10 ⁻⁶		
	RT			1.38 (1.24, 1.54)	6.7x10 ⁻⁹	1.35 (1.21, 1.52)	2.9x10 ⁻⁷	1.34 (1.17, 1.54)	2.9x10 ⁻⁵		
IGP56	Continuous	42.83 (4.68)	42.25 (3.54)	0.93 (0.91, 0.96)	6.5x10 ⁻⁹	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	6.9x10 ⁻⁸	0.93 (0.90, 0.96)	3.3x10 ⁻⁷		
	RT			0.76 (0.68, 0.85)	9.3x10 ⁻⁷	0.77 (0.69, 0.87)	1.0x10 ⁻⁵	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	2.6x10 ⁻⁵		
IGP57	Continuous	15.81 (4.93)	17.58 (5.12)	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	4.1x10 ⁻⁸	0.94 (0.92, 0.97)	2.5x10 ⁻⁶	0.95 (0.93, 0.98)	0.001		
	RT			0.72 (0.65, 0.81)	5.4x10 ⁻⁹	0.74 (0.66, 0.83)	3.6x10 ⁻⁷	0.76 (0.66, 0.88)	0.0002		
Core fucosylation and bisecting GlcNAc											
IGP62	Continuous	79.17 (3.89)	79.77 (3.47)	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)	0.02	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.11	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)	0.12		
	RT			0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.03	0.92 (0.83, 1.03)	0.15	0.91 (0.81, 1.03)	0.15		
IGP63	Continuous	78.42 (4.42)	78.69 (4.14)	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.41	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.74	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.54		
	RT			0.96 (0.86, 1.07)	0.46	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.81	0.96 (0.85, 1.09)	0.57		
IGP64	Continuous	79.71 (3.94)	80.45 (3.57)	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.003	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.03	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.04		
	RT			0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	0.005	0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.04	0.88 (0.78, 1.00)	0.05		
IGP66	Continuous	17.58 (3.31)	16.97 (2.91)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	0.002	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)	0.04	1.04 (1.00, 1.08)	0.08		
	RT			1.16 (1.05, 1.29)	0.005	1.11 (1.00, 1.24)	0.06	1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.10		
IGP67	Continuous	19.52 (3.98)	19.29 (3.67)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.44	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.83	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)	0.67		
	RT			1.04 (0.93, 1.15)	0.51	1.00 (0.90, 1.12)	0.93	1.02 (0.90, 1.16)	0.71		
IGP68	Continuous	18.52 (3.59)	17.82 (3.27)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	0.002	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.02	1.04 (1.00, 1.08)	0.04		
	RT			1.17 (1.05, 1.30)	0.003	1.13 (1.01, 1.25)	0.03	1.13 (1.00, 1.28)	0.05		
IGP70	Continuous	0.22 (0.05)	0.21 (0.05)	27.42 (3.53, 213.1)	0.002	10.93 (1.32, 90.70)	0.03	10.14 (0.88, 116.8)	0.06		
	RT			1.16 (1.05, 1.29)	0.005	1.11 (0.99, 1.24)	0.06	1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.10		
IGP71	Continuous	18.18 (3.50)	17.55 (3.08)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	0.003	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.04	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.08		
	RT			1.16 (1.04, 1.29)	0.006	1.10 (0.99, 1.23)	0.07	1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.11		
IGP72	Continuous	4.57 (1.10)	4.72 (1.01)	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)	0.04	0.94 (0.84, 1.05)	0.25	0.92 (0.81, 1.04)	0.19		
	RT			0.88 (0.79, 0.97)	0.01	0.92 (0.82, 1.02)	0.12	0.91 (0.80, 1.03)	0.15		

Code	Glycan	Dead (N=257)	Survived (N=826)	Crude model (n=1083)		Model I (AJCC, n=1083)	age, sex,	Model II (AJCC, age, sex, time between sample and surgery, operation type, bmi, CRP n=850)		
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Total Ig	G glycans (neutra	al and charged); N	leasured		•		·	·		
IGP3	Continuous	25.76 (7.28)	24.27 (6.53)	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.0004	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	0.0001	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	0.004	
	RT			1.24 (1.10, 1.40)	0.001	1.28 (1.12, 1.46)	0.002	1.24 (1.05, 1.45)	0.01	
IGP5	Continuous	6.29 (1.85)	5.91 (1.63)	1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	0.005	1.13 (1.05, 1.22)	0.001	1.10 (1.01, 1.20)	0.04	
	RT			1.23 (1.09, 1.40)	0.001	1.23 (1.08, 1.42)	0.002	1.17 (0.99, 1.37)	0.06	
IGP7	Continuous	18.33 (2.09)	18.59 (1.90)	0.93 (0.88, 1.00)	0.04	0.94 (0.88, 1.00)	0.05	0.93 (0.86, 1.00)	0.07	
	RT			0.89 (0.78, 1.01)	0.07	0.89 (0.78, 1.02)	0.09	0.88 (0.76, 1.03)	0.11	
IGP8	Continuous	9.46 (1.38)	9.75 (1.37)	0.86 (0.79, 0.94)	0.001	0.86 (0.79, 0.95)	0.002	0.84 (0.75, 0.93)	0.001	
	RT			0.82 (0.72, 0.92)	0.001	0.82 (0.73, 0.93)	0.002	0.79 (0.68, 0.91)	0.001	
IGP9	Continuous	5.54 (1.25)	5.50 (1.18)	1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	0.67	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	0.83	0.97 (0.85, 1.10)	0.59	
	RT			1.02 (0.90, 1.15)	0.77	0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	0.73	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)	0.52	
IGP13	Continuous	10.48 (3.14)	11.23 (3.13)	0.92 (0.89, 0.96)	0.0001	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	8.3x10 ⁻⁵	0.93 (0.88, 0.99)	0.02	
	RT			0.77 (0.68, 0.87)	6.0x10 ⁻⁵	0.74 (0.65, 0.85)	3.1x10 ⁻⁵	0.79 (0.67, 0.93)	0.006	
IGP14	Continuous	1.41 (0.41)	1.49 (0.43)	0.66 (0.48, 0.89)	0.007	0.64 (0.47, 0.87)	0.004	0.73 (0.50, 1.06)	0.10	
	RT			0.84 (0.74, 0.95)	0.005	0.83 (0.73, 0.94)	0.003	0.87 (0.75, 1.01)	0.07	
IGP17	Continuous	7.99 (2.33)	8.33 (2.29)	0.93 (0.88, 0.99)	0.02	0.92 (0.87, 0.98)	0.007	0.95 (0.88, 1.02)	0.16	
	RT			0.84 (0.74, 0.95)	0.007	0.81 (0.71, 0.93)	0.003	0.86 (0.73, 1.01)	0.07	
IGP18	Continuous	1.88 (0.38)	1.89 (0.39)	0.89 (0.65, 1.23)	0.49	0.86 (0.63, 1.17)	0.34	0.94 (0.66, 1.33)	0.71	
	RT			0.96 (0.85, 1.08)	0.47	0.95 (0.85, 1.07)	0.40	0.97 (0.85, 1.11)	0.69	
Sialylat	tion	<u>.</u>					·			
IGP24	Continuous	24.87 (3.25)	24.88 (3.03)	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.93	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.66	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	0.85	
	RT			0.98 (0.87, 1.12)	0.81	0.96 (0.85, 1.09)	0.56	1.00 (0.86, 1.16)	0.99	
IGP25	Continuous	32.52 (6.35)	32.56 (6.35)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.98	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.91	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	0.62	
	RT			1.00 (0.89, 1.13)	0.99	1.01 (0.90, 1.13)	0.90	1.04 (0.90, 1.19)	0.62	
IGP26	Continuous	16.64 (3.67)	17.15 (3.58)	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.02	0.95 (0.92, 0.99)	0.007	0.96 (0.92, 1.01)	0.11	
	RT			0.85 (0.75, 0.97)	0.01	0.83 (0.73, 0.94)	0.004	0.86 (0.74, 1.01)	0.06	

Supplementary Table 5 CRC-specific mortality analysis for stages 1-3

IGP27	Continuous	21.19 (5.12)	21.66 (4.95)	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.17	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)	0.20	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.63
	RT			0.91 (0.81, 1.03)	0.14	0.92 (0.82, 1.04)	0.20	0.96 (0.84, 1.11)	0.59
IGP29	Continuous	40.13 (2.97)	39.55 (2.71)	1.08 (1.03, 1.12)	0.001	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)	0.008	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	0.05
	RT			1.24 (1.09, 1.41)	0.001	1.19 (1.05, 1.36)	0.007	1.17 (1.01, 1.36)	0.04
IGP31	Continuous	36.84 (3.79)	36.43 (3.97)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.10	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.06	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)	0.32
	RT			1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.09	1.13 (1.00, 1.27)	0.05	1.08 (0.94, 1.24)	0.28
Bisectir	ng GlcNAc	÷	·			•	•		
IGP36	Continuous	0.29 (0.07)	0.29 (0.08)	4.00 (0.84, 19.03)	0.08	3.86 (0.75, 19.74)	0.11	4.17 (0.58, 29.87)	0.16
	RT			1.12 (0.99, 1.27)	0.06	1.12 (0.99, 1.27)	0.08	1.12 (0.96, 1.31)	0.14
IGP37	Continuous	0.17 (0.05)	0.16 (0.05)	6.17 (0.53, 72.39)	0.15	6.98 (0.55, 89.35)	0.14	7.93 (0.37, 168.5)	0.18
	RT			1.10 (0.97, 1.24)	0.13	1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.11	1.11 (0.95, 1.29)	0.19
IGP38	Continuous	0.14 (0.03)	0.14 (0.03)	17.82 (0.55, 577.2)	0.11	20.69 (0.56, 761.0)	0.10	21.73 (0.29, 1602)	0.16
	RT			1.11 (0.98, 1.26)	0.10	1.12 (0.98, 1.27)	0.09	1.12 (0.96, 1.30)	0.16
IGP39	Continuous	1.34 (0.33)	1.29 (0.30)	1.61 (1.10, 2.35)	0.02	1.52 (1.03, 2.25)	0.04	1.45 (0.90, 2.35)	0.13
	RT			1.16 (1.03, 1.32)	0.02	1.15 (1.01, 1.30)	0.03	1.11 (0.95, 1.30)	0.17
IGP40	Continuous	0.56 (0.06)	0.55 (0.06)	13.15 (1.49, 116.1)	0.02	10.21 (1.10, 95.12)	0.04	5.86 (0.39, 88.93)	0.20
	RT			1.16 (1.03, 1.31)	0.02	1.14 (1.01, 1.30)	0.04	1.11 (0.95, 1.30)	0.18
Neutra	l IgG glycans								
IGP43	Continuous	31.61 (7.90)	29.98 (7.18)	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.0004	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	0.0001	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.004
	RT			1.24 (1.10, 1.41)	0.001	1.28 (1.12, 1.46)	0.0002	1.24 (1.06, 1.46)	0.008
IGP45	Continuous	7.73 (2.07)	7.31 (1.83)	1.11 (1.05, 1.18)	0.001	1.11 (1.04, 1.19)	0.001	1.08 (1.00, 1.17)	0.05
	RT			1.23 (1.08, 1.39)	0.001	1.22 (1.07, 1.40)	0.004	1.16 (0.98, 1.36)	0.08
IGP47	Continuous	22.73 (2.97)	23.17 (2.63)	0.94 (0.90, 0.99)	0.01	0.94 (0.90, 0.98)	0.009	0.94 (0.89, 1.00)	0.04
	RT			0.86 (0.75, 0.97)	0.02	0.85 (0.74, 0.97)	0.02	0.86 (0.74, 1.00)	0.06
IGP48	Continuous	11.72 (1.84)	12.15 (1.78)	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	0.0002	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	0.0003	0.86 (0.79, 0.94)	0.0004
	RT			0.79 (0.70, 0.90)	0.0002	0.79 (0.70, 0.90)	0.0003	0.76 (0.66, 0.89)	0.0004
IGP49	Continuous	6.86 (1.56)	6.85 (1.50)	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	0.96	0.97 (0.89, 1.05)	0.47	0.96 (0.87, 1.06)	0.42
	RT			0.99 (0.87, 1.12)	0.88	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)	0.41	0.93 (0.81, 1.08)	0.36
IGP53	Continuous	13.10 (4.34)	14.10 (4.35)	0.94 (0.92, 0.97)	0.0002	0.94 (0.91, 0.97)	0.0001	0.95 (0.92, 0.99)	0.02
	RT			0.77 (0.68, 0.88)	7.4x10 ⁻⁵	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	3.2x10 ⁻⁵	0.79 (0.67, 0.94)	0.007
IGP54	Continuous	1.76 (0.54)	1.87 (0.59)	0.72 (0.58, 0.91)	0.005	0.70 (0.56, 0.88)	0.003	0.78 (0.59, 1.04)	0.09
	RT			0.83 (0.73, 0.94)	0.004	0.82 (0.73, 0.93)	0.002	0.87 (0.74, 1.01)	0.07

Galacto	Galactosylation											
IGP55	Continuous	40.35 (9.00)	38.27 (8.29)	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)	8.3x10 ⁻⁵	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	2.4x10 ⁻⁵	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	0.002			
	RT			1.28 (1.12, 1.45)	0.0002	1.32 (1.15, 1.51)	5.3x10 ⁻⁵	1.27 (1.08, 1.50)	0.005			
IGP56	Continuous	43.11 (4.56)	43.99 (3.79)	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	0.0003	0.94 (0.92, 0.97)	6.8x10 ⁻⁵	0.94 (0.91, 0.97)	0.0005			
	RT			0.82 (0.72, 0.93)	0.003	0.80 (0.70, 0.91)	0.001	0.79 (0.67, 0.93)	0.004			
IGP57	Continuous	16.08 (5.03)	17.29 (5.12)	0.95 (0.93, 0.98)	0.0002	0.95 (0.92, 0.97)	0.0001	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.02			
	RT			0.77 (0.68, 0.88)	6.2x10 ⁻⁵	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	2.9x10 ⁻⁵	0.80 (0.67, 0.94)	0.008			
Core fucosylation and bisecting GlcNAc												
IGP62	Continuous	79.40 (3.90)	79.63 (3.54)	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.34	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.68	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.77			
	RT			0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	0.43	0.98 (0.87, 1.12)	0.80	0.99 (0.85, 1.15)	0.87			
IGP63	Continuous	78.59 (4.39)	78.61 (4.18)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.99	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.57	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)	0.67			
	RT			1.01 (0.89, 1.14)	0.93	1.04 (0.92, 1.18)	0.51	1.04 (0.90, 1.21)	0.61			
IGP64	Continuous	79.92 (3.92)	80.30 (3.65)	0.98 (0.94, 1.01)	0.14	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.33	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.43			
	RT			0.92 (0.81, 1.04)	0.18	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	0.41	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)	0.50			
IGP66	Continuous	17.41 (3.29)	17.09 (2.98)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.12	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.37	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	0.68			
	RT			1.09 (0.96, 1.23)	0.17	1.05 (0.92, 1.19)	0.49	1.02 (0.88, 1.19)	0.77			
IGP67	Continuous	19.40 (3.95)	19.36 (3.72)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.94	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.57	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.62			
	RT			1.00 (0.88, 1.13)	0.99	0.96 (0.85, 1.09)	0.51	0.96 (0.83, 1.11)	0.58			
IGP68	Continuous	18.35 (3.54)	17.95 (3.34)	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.08	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.26	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)	0.44			
	RT			1.10 (0.98, 1.25)	0.12	1.06 (0.94, 1.20)	0.34	1.05 (0.91, 1.22)	0.52			
IGP70	Continuous	0.22 (0.05)	0.21 (0.05)	7.65 (0.66, 88.88)	0.10	3.42 (0.27, 42.66)	0.34	2.01 (0.10, 39.38)	0.65			
	RT			1.09 (0.96, 1.23)	0.19	1.04 (0.92, 1.18)	0.53	1.02 (0.88, 1.19)	0.79			
IGP71	Continuous	18.00 (3.49)	17.68 (3.15)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.14	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.42	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	0.68			
	RT			1.08 (0.96, 1.23)	0.21	1.04 (0.92, 1.18)	0.55	1.03 (0.88, 1.19)	0.78			
IGP72	Continuous	4.62 (1.06)	4.68 (1.04)	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)	0.40	0.99 (0.87, 1.12)	0.82	1.00 (0.86, 1.15)	0.95			
	RT			0.94 (0.83, 1.06)	0.30	0.97 (0.86, 1.11)	0.69	0.99 (0.85, 1.15)	0.88			

Code	AJCC stage 1 (n=210)		AJCC stage 2 (n=327)	AJCC stage 2 (n=327)		3)	AJCC stage 4 (n=102	AJCC stage 4 (n=102)	
	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Total Ig	G glycans (neutral and	d charged);	Measured						
IGP3	1.32 (0.96, 1.81)	0.09	1.93 (0.99, 3.78)	0.05	1.27 (1.05, 1.55)	0.02	1.15 (0.93, 1.42)	0.21	
IGP5	1.29 (0.92, 1.82)	0.14	1.46 (1.16, 1.84)	0.001	1.20 (0.99, 1.47)	0.07	1.01 (0.83, 1.24)	0.90	
IGP7	0.65 (0.47, 0.90)	0.009	0.76 (0.61, 0.96)	0.02	0.95 (0.79, 1.13)	0.55	1.00 (0.81, 1.22)	0.97	
IGP8	0.77 (0.56, 1.06)	0.11	0.57 (0.46, 0.72)	9.3x10 ⁻⁷	0.84 (0.71, 0.99)	0.04	1.25 (1.00, 1.57)	0.05	
IGP9	0.95 (0.70, 1.29)	0.73	1.03 (0.83, 1.28)	0.77	0.98 (0.82, 1.18)	0.85	0.89 (0.70, 1.12)	0.32	
IGP13	0.76 (0.55, 1.05)	0.09	0.72 (0.57, 0.93)	0.01	0.77 (0.62, 0.95)	0.02	0.91 (0.74, 1.12)	0.38	
IGP14	0.89 (0.64, 1.23)	0.47	0.90 (0.72, 1.13)	0.38	0.85 (0.71, 1.03)	0.09	0.93 (0.73, 1.18)	0.55	
IGP17	0.87 (0.63, 1.19)	0.38	0.79 (0.62, 1.01)	0.06	0.81 (0.67, 0.99)	0.04	0.79 (0.65, 0.97)	0.02	
IGP18	0.91 (0.67, 1.23)	0.54	0.86 (0.70, 1.07)	0.17	1.02 (0.88, 1.19)	0.77	1.09 (0.85, 1.40)	0.49	
Sialylat	tion				·	·	·	•	
IGP24	1.19 (0.87, 1.64)	0.28	1.08 (0.86, 1.35)	0.51	0.92 (0.77, 1.09)	0.32	0.75 (0.63, 0.91)	0.003	
IGP25	1.04 (0.76, 1.42)	0.80	0.97 (0.78, 1.20)	0.76	1.03 (0.88, 1.21)	0.70	1.00 (0.78, 1.28)	0.98	
IGP26	0.90 (0.66, 1.22)	0.49	0.86 (0.68, 1.08)	0.20	0.80 (0.67, 0.97)	0.02	0.78 (0.63, 0.95)	0.01	
IGP27	0.91 (0.66, 1.25)	0.57	0.84 (0.68, 1.03)	0.10	0.96 (0.82, 1.13)	0.66	0.98 (0.78, 1.23)	0.88	
IGP29	1.43 (1.05, 1.96)	0.03	1.19 (0.95, 1.50)	0.13	1.11 (0.93, 1.33)	0.24	0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	0.002	
IGP31	0.97 (0.71, 1.33)	0.84	0.87 (0.70, 1.07)	0.19	1.17 (0.99, 1.38)	0.06	1.39 (1.09, 1.76)	0.008	
Bisecti	ng GlcNAc						·		
IGP36	1.12 (0.81, 1.55)	0.48	1.18 (0.93, 1.49)	0.18	1.18 (0.98, 1.41)	0.08	1.24 (0.98, 1.57)	0.07	
IGP37	1.04 (0.76, 1.42)	0.81	1.05 (0.85, 1.33)	0.70	1.19 (1.00, 1.43)	0.05	1.36 (1.09, 1.71)	0.008	
IGP38	1.06 (0.77, 1.46)	0.72	1.06 (0.83, 1.35)	0.64	1.19 (1.00, 1.43)	0.05	1.36 (1.08, 1.71)	0.008	
IGP39	1.17 (0.86, 1.59)	0.33	1.26 (1.00, 1.59)	0.05	1.23 (1.02, 1.47)	0.03	1.05 (0.86, 1.30)	0.63	
IGP40	1.16 (0.85, 1.59)	0.34	1.25 (0.99, 1.58)	0.06	1.22 (1.02, 1.47)	0.03	1.05 (0.85, 1.29)	0.65	
Neutra	l IgG glycans				·	·	·		
IGP43	1.35 (0.98, 1.85)	0.07	1.25 (0.98, 1.60)	0.07	1.27 (1.04, 1.54)	0.02	1.12 (0.91, 1.39)	0.29	
IGP45	1.29 (0.92, 1.82)	0.14	1.49 (1.18, 1.88)	0.001	1.17 (0.96, 1.43)	0.11	0.96 (0.78, 1.19)	0.74	
IGP47	0.67 (0.48, 0.92)	0.02	0.75 (0.60, 0.95)	0.02	0.89 (0.74, 1.07)	0.20	0.90 (0.74, 1.09)	0.29	
IGP48	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)	0.12	0.57 (0.46, 0.72)	8.1x10 ⁻⁷	0.79 (0.66, 0.95)	0.01	1.16 (0.92, 1.47)	0.20	
IGP49	0.94 (0.68, 1.28)	0.68	1.01 (0.82, 1.25)	0.92	0.95 (0.80, 1.14)	0.58	0.83 (0.66, 1.05)	0.12	

Supplementary Table 6 All-cause mortality analysis by stage [Model II; rank transformed variables]

IGP53	0.77 (0.56, 1.06)	0.11	0.73 (0.57, 0.93)	0.01	0.77 (0.62, 0.94)	0.01	0.89 (0.72, 1.09)	0.25	
IGP54	0.88 (0.64, 1.23)	0.46	0.90 (0.71, 1.12)	0.34	0.85 (0.70, 1.01)	0.07	0.90 (0.71, 1.12)	0.34	
Galactosylation									
IGP55	1.39 (1.00, 1.92)	0.05	1.38 (1.08, 1.76)	0.009	1.31 (1.07, 1.60)	0.01	1.10 (0.89, 1.35)	0.38	
IGP56	0.71 (0.51, 0.98)	0.04	0.69 (0.54, 0.87)	0.002	0.80 (0.66, 0.98)	0.03	0.95 (0.77, 1.17)	0.64	
IGP57	0.78 (0.56, 1.07)	0.12	0.78 (0.61, 0.99)	0.05	0.76 (0.66, 0.93)	0.008	0.91 (0.73, 1.12)	0.35	
Core fu	cosylation and bisection	ng GlcNAc					·		
IGP62	0.94 (0.68, 1.31)	0.73	0.75 (0.60, 0.94)	0.01	1.00 (0.84, 1.19)	0.99	1.03 (0.80, 1.32)	0.82	
IGP63	1.03 (0.76, 1.41)	0.85	0.84 (0.64, 1.01)	0.06	1.03 (0.87, 1.23)	0.74	1.14 (0.91, 1.44)	0.25	
IGP64	0.83 (0.60, 1.15)	0.26	0.77 (0.62, 0.96)	0.02	0.97 (0.81, 1.15)	0.69	1.09 (0.85, 1.41)	0.50	
IGP66	1.13 (0.82, 1.55)	0.47	1.25 (1.00, 1.55)	0.05	1.03 (0.86, 1.23)	0.73	0.87 (0.70, 1.10)	0.24	
IGP67	0.99 (0.73, 1.35)	0.97	1.15 (0.95, 1.49)	0.13	0.96 (0.80, 1.14)	0.64	0.84 (0.68, 1.05)	0.12	
IGP68	1.20 (0.87, 1.66)	0.26	1.24 (1.00, 1.53)	0.05	1.05 (0.88, 1.25)	0.58	0.86 (0.68, 1.10)	0.23	
IGP70	1.13 (0.81, 1.57)	0.47	1.28 (1.02, 1.59)	0.03	1.02 (0.86, 1.22)	0.79	0.88 (0.69, 1.11)	0.28	
IGP71	1.11 (0.81, 1.53)	0.52	1.27 (1.02, 1.58)	0.03	1.02 (0.86, 1.22)	0.79	0.89 (0.71, 1.12)	0.32	
IGP72	0.91 (0.66, 1.26)	0.59	0.79 (0.63, 0.98)	0.03	0.99 (0.83, 1.18)	0.92	1.11 (0.88, 1.40)	0.38	

Code	AJCC stage 1 (n=210)		AJCC stage 2 (n=327)		AJCC stage 3 (n=313)		AJCC stage 4 (n=102)	
	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Total Ig	gG glycans (neutral and	d charged);	Measured					
IGP3	1.14 (0.67, 1.95)	0.63	1.21 (0.90, 1.62)	0.21	1.26 (1.02, 1.55)	0.04	1.15 (0.92, 1.43)	0.21
IGP5	0.94 (0.55, 1.61)	0.82	1.35 (1.01, 1.80)	0.04	1.10 (0.88, 1.36)	0.40	1.01 (0.82, 1.24)	0.94
IGP7	0.81 (0.48, 1.35)	0.42	0.74 (0.56, 0.98)	0.04	0.96 (0.79, 1.17)	0.71	1.00 (0.81, 1.24)	0.97
IGP8	0.72 (0.42, 1.24)	0.24	0.67 (0.51, 0.88)	0.004	0.85 (0.71, 1.02)	0.09	1.25 (0.99, 1.57)	0.06
IGP9	0.86 (0.52, 1.42)	0.56	1.00 (0.77, 1.31)	0.98	0.92 (0.76, 1.12)	0.42	0.88 (0.69, 1.12)	0.30
IGP13	0.98 (0.57, 1.69)	0.95	0.76 (0.56, 1.03)	0.08	0.78 (0.62, 0.98)	0.04	0.91 (0.71, 1.13)	0.39
IGP14	0.85 (0.50, 1.46)	0.56	0.91 (0.69, 1.20)	0.51	0.86 (0.70, 1.05)	0.13	0.92 (0.72, 1.18)	0.53
IGP17	1.12 (0.65, 1.94)	0.68	0.82 (0.61, 1.11)	0.20	0.85 (0.68, 1.05)	0.13	0.79 (0.64, 0.97)	0.02
IGP18	1.03 (0.62, 1.70)	0.92	0.84 (0.64, 1.08)	0.18	1.04 (0.88, 1.23)	0.64	1.07 (0.83, 1.38)	0.61
Sialylat	tion					·		
IGP24	1.29 (0.77, 2.18)	0.34	1.05 (0.79, 1.39)	0.74	0.96 (0.79, 1.16)	0.64	0.75 (0.62, 0.90)	0.003
IGP25	1.23 (0.72, 2.11)	0.44	0.93 (0.71, 1.22)	0.61	1.08 (0.91, 1.29)	0.39	1.00 (0.78, 1.28)	0.99
IGP26	1.08 (0.64, 1.82)	0.77	0.87 (0.66, 1.16)	0.35	0.84 (0.68, 1.03)	0.09	0.77 (0.62, 0.95)	0.01
IGP27	1.19 (0.69, 2.05)	0.53	0.84 (0.64, 1.08)	0.18	1.02 (0.86, 1.22)	0.79	0.98 (0.77, 1.23)	0.85
IGP29	1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	0.19	1.17 (0.88, 1.55)	0.28	1.14 (0.94, 1.38)	0.20	0.73 (0.60, 0.90)	0.002
IGP31	1.05 (0.63, 1.73)	0.86	0.89 (0.69, 1.16)	0.41	1.18 (0.98, 1.42)	0.07	1.38 (1.08, 1.76)	0.01
Bisecti	ng GlcNAc					·		
IGP36	1.02 (0.61, 1.72)	0.94	1.10 (0.82, 1.46)	0.53	1.15 (0.95, 1.40)	0.15	1.23 (0.97, 1.57)	0.09
IGP37	0.98 (0.59, 1.63)	0.94	0.99 (0.74, 1.33)	0.96	1.18 (0.97, 1.44)	0.10	1.36 (1.07, 1.71)	0.01
IGP38	0.99 (0.59, 1.65)	0.96	1.01 (0.75, 1.36)	0.93	1.18 (0.98, 1.44)	0.09	1.35 (1.07, 1.71)	0.01
IGP39	0.91 (0.55, 1.49)	0.71	1.22 (0.91, 1.63)	0.18	1.11 (0.91, 1.36)	0.29	1.05 (0.85, 1.30)	0.64
IGP40	0.91 (0.55, 1.50)	0.71	1.21 (0.90, 1.61)	0.21	1.11 (0.91, 1.36)	0.29	1.05 (0.85, 1.30)	0.66
Neutra	l IgG glycans		·			·		
IGP43	1.20 (0.70, 2.05)	0.51	1.21 (0.90, 1.63)	0.21	1.22 (1.02, 1.56)	0.03	1.12 (0.90, 1.40)	0.30
IGP45	0.95 (0.55, 1.63)	0.85	1.37 (1.02, 1.83)	0.03	1.08 (0.87, 1.33)	0.51	0.96 (0.77, 1.19)	0.69
IGP47	0.87 (0.51, 1.47)	0.60	0.74 (0.56, 0.99)	0.04	0.92 (0.75, 1.12)	0.41	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)	0.32
IGP48	0.78 (0.46, 1.31)	0.34	0.67 (0.51, 0.88)	0.003	0.82 (0.67, 0.99)	0.04	1.16 (0.91, 1.47)	0.23
IGP49	0.87 (0.53, 1.45)	0.60	0.99 (0.76, 1.29)	0.93	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)	0.30	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.11

Supplementary Table 7 CRC-specific mortality analysis by stage [Model II; rank transformed variables]

IGP53	1.00 (0.58, 1.72)	0.99	0.77 (0.57, 1.04)	0.09	0.79 (0.63, 0.98)	0.04	0.88 (0.72, 1.09)	0.26		
IGP54	0.88 (0.51, 1.50)	0.63	0.91 (0.69, 1.20)	0.49	0.85 (0.70, 1.04)	0.12	0.89 (0.70, 1.12)	0.32		
Galactosylation										
IGP55	1.14 (0.66, 1.97)	0.64	1.30 (0.96, 1.75)	0.09	1.27 (1.02, 1.59)	0.03	1.10 (0.89, 1.36)	0.40		
IGP56	0.76 (0.45, 1.29)	0.32	0.73 (0.55, 0.98)	0.04	0.82 (0.66, 1.02)	0.07	0.95 (0.77, 1.18)	0.65		
IGP57	0.96 (0.56, 1.65)	0.88	0.82 (0.61, 1.10)	0.18	0.78 (0.62, 0.97)	0.03	0.90 (0.73, 1.12)	0.36		
Core fu	cosylation and bisecti	ng GlcNAc								
IGP62	1.19 (0.69, 2.05)	0.53	0.80 (0.61, 1.05)	0.11	1.06 (0.88, 1.29)	0.52	1.04 (0.81, 1.33)	0.78		
IGP63	1.23 (0.73, 2.06)	0.44	0.87 (0.65, 1.15)	0.33	1.10 (0.91, 1.33)	0.32	1.15 (0.91, 1.45)	0.25		
IGP64	0.99 (0.58, 1.68)	0.96	0.80 (0.61, 1.06)	0.12	1.03 (0.85, 1.24)	0.77	1.11 (0.85, 1.43)	0.45		
IGP66	0.88 (0.52, 1.47)	0.61	1.18 (0.90, 1.55)	0.22	0.96 (0.79, 1.17)	0.68	0.86 (0.69, 1.09)	0.21		
IGP67	0.84 (0.50, 1.38)	0.49	1.14 (0.86, 1.50)	0.36	0.90 (0.74, 1.09)	0.29	0.84 (0.67, 1.04)	0.12		
IGP68	0.98 (0.58, 1.64)	0.93	1.19 (0.91, 1.55)	0.19	0.98 (0.81, 1.19)	0.84	0.85 (0.67, 1.09)	0.20		
IGP70	0.87 (0.51, 1.47)	0.61	1.22 (0.92, 1.60)	0.16	0.95 (0.78, 1.15)	0.61	0.87 (0.68, 1.10)	0.25		
IGP71	0.87 (0.52, 1.46)	0.59	1.20 (0.92, 1.58)	0.18	0.95 (0.79, 1.16)	0.64	0.88 (0.70, 1.11)	0.29		
IGP72	1.17 (0.70, 1.98)	0.55	0.83 (0.63, 1.09)	0.17	1.06 (0.88, 1.29)	0.54	1.12 (0.89, 1.42)	0.33		

	Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		Stage 4	
Clinical	All-cause mor	tality	All-cause mor	tality	All-cause mor	tality	All-cause mor	tality
algorithm	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	0.0006	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	0.0009	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.03	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.44
Sex	0.73 (0.40, 1.34) 0.31 0.96 (0.63, 1.46) 0.85 0.95 (0.95 (0.68, 1.33)	0.77	0.94 (0.63, 1.40)	0.76		
CRP	2.84 (1.20, 6.78)	0.02	1.96 (1.06, 3.63)	0.18	1.70 (1.02, 2.83)	0.04	1.95 (1.18, 3.22)	0.01
BMI	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)	0.61	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	0.0005	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.49	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)	0.10
Harrell's C	0.68		0.65		0.55		0.61	
IDI	n/a*		0.09 [§]		0.08 [§]		0.13 [§]	
AUC	n/a*		0.66		0.58		0.63	
Clinical &	Clinical & All-cause mortality*		All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality		All-cause mor	tality
glycans algorithm	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age			1.04 (1.01, 1.06)	0.006	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.23	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.45
Sex			0.98 (0.64, 1.50)	0.93	0.94 (0.67, 1.32)	0.73	1.00 (0.66, 1.52)	0.67
CRP			2.33 (1.24, 4.39)	0.01	1.47 (0.88, 2.47)	0.14	1.53 (0.86, 2.73)	0.03
BMI			1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	0.003	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.65	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	0.05
Top Glycan 1**			0.59 (0.46, 0.76)	2.6x10 ⁻⁵	1.06 (0.85,1.32)	0.61	1.11 (0.81, 1.51)	0.53
Top Glycan 2**			0.95 (0.77, 1.17)	0.63	1.39 (1.03, 1.89)	0.03	0.98 (0.72, 1.33)	0.90
Top Glycan 3**			1.06 (0.83, 1.35)	0.62	0.75 (0.55, 1.01)	0.06	0.77 (0.58, 1.02)	0.07
Harrell's C	Harrell's C 0.67		0.53		0.61			
IDI 0.15 ^{§§}		0.12 ^{§§}		0.41 ^{§§}				
AUC			0.72		0.60		0.69	

Supplementary Table 8 Multivariate Cox regression and estimate of the Harrell's concordance coefficient of the a) clinical parameters and b) clinical and glycan parameters by AJCC stage for all-cause mortality

* Due to the low number of observations, cross-validation was not possible and therefore we could not calculate the IDI and AUC values. Harrell's C coefficient were calculated based on the fitting all dataset

§ The IDI was calculated based on the comparison of the model II (adjusted for AJCC, age and sex) and the full clinical model III (adjusted for stage, age, sex, BMI and CRP – presented here).

** Top Glycans for: Stage 2 IGP48, IGP18, IGP43; Stage 3 IGP43, IGP29, IGP24; Stage 4 IGP27, IGP49, IGP17

§§ The IDI was calculated based on the comparison of full clinical model III (adjusted for stage, age, sex, bmi and CRP) and the model with these clinical factors plus the three top selected glycans.

	Stage 1	Stage 1 Stage 2		Stage 3		Stage 4		
Clinical	CRC mortali	ity	CRC morta	lity	CRC mortal	lity	CRC mortal	ity
algorithm	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	0.05	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.05	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.23	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.48
Sex	0.59 (0.21, 1.64)	0.31	1.35 (0.82, 2.22)	0.24	1.02 (0.71-1.46)	0.92	0.97 (0.65, 1.44)	0.86
CRP	RP 1.04 (0.93, 1.16) 0.49 2.09 (1.02, 4.3) 0.04		0.04	1.92 (1.13-3.25)	0.02	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)	0.09	
BMI	2.52 (0.57, 11.19)	0.22	1.13 (1.07, 1.19)	7.9x10 ⁻⁶	1.02 (0.98-1.06)	1.02 (0.98-1.06) 0.34		0.07
Harrell's C	0.68		0.63		0.55		0.56	
IDI	n/a*		0.11 [§]		0.06§		0.12 [§]	
AUC	n/a*		0.68		0.56		0.63	
Clinical &	Clinical & CRC mortality* CRC morta		lity	CRC mortal	ity	CRC mortal	ity	
glycans algorithm	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age			1.02 (0.99,1.05)	0.17	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.22	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.42
Sex			1.38 (0.83,2.27)	0.21	1.03 (0.71, 1.49)	0.87	1.09 (0.71, 1.66)	0.70
CRP			2.32 (1.10,4.87)	0.03	1.80 (1.06, 3.07)	0.03	2.22 (1.21, 4.06)	0.01
BMI			1.11 (1.05,1.17)	8.34E-05	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.39	1.04 (0.99, 1.09)	0.12
Top Glycan 1**			0.81 (0.24,2.68)	0.73	0.91 (0.62, 1.34)	0.64	1.17 (0.87, 1.59)	0.29
Top Glycan 2**		0.87 (0.59,1.29) 0.50 1.15 (0.95, 1.40) 0.16		0.16	0.70 (0.55,0.90)	0.005		
Top Glycan 3**			0.88 (0.29,2.63)	0.82	0.97 (0.66, 1.41)	0.87	0.87 (0.67, 1.13)	0.30
Harrell's C	Harrell's C 0.61			0.51		0.61		
IDI			0.14 ^{§§}		0.08 ^{§§}		0.43 ^{§§}	
AUC			0.71		0.59		0.71	

Supplementary Table 9 Multivariate Cox regression and estimate of the Harrell's concordance coefficient of the a) clinical parameters and b) clinical and glycan parameters by AJCC stage for CRC mortality

* Due to the low number of observations, cross-validation was not possible and therefore we could not calculate the IDI and AUC values. Harrell's C coefficient were calculated based on the fitting all dataset

§ The IDI was calculated based on the comparison of the model II (adjusted for AJCC, age and sex) and the full clinical model III (adjusted for stage, age, sex, BMI and CRP – presented here).

** Top Glycans for: Stage 2 IGP48, IGP56, IGP8; Stage 3 IGP67, IGP29, IGP49. IGP49, IGP63 and IGP9 were prioritised equally by generalised boosted approach, but results for IGP49 is only presented; Stage 4 IGP27, IGP24, IGP49

§§ The IDI was calculated based on the comparison of full clinical model III (adjusted for stage, age, sex, bmi and CRP) and the model with these clinical factors plus the three top selected glycans

Supplementary Table 10 Predictions of 5 year risk of CRC death for models with clinical factors and clinical and glycan factors using k-nearest neighbours, LASSO, Naïve Bayes, PAM, Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Boosted Stump classifiers. The results are summarized over 10 cross-validation folds.

Clinical model with age, sex and stage (n=950)											
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity						
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.7379	-	0	1						
k Nearest Neighbours	0.6619	0.7284	0.4833	0.5221	0.8017						
LASSO	0.7786	0.8189	0.8738	0.3614	0.9815						
Naive Bayes normal	0.7627	0.8179	0.8654	0.3614	0.9800						
Naive Bayes kernel	0.7587	0.8179	0.8654	0.3614	0.9800						
PAM	0.7626	0.7379	-	0	1						
SVM linear	0.6831	0.7253	0.4805	0.5944	0.7718						
SVM quadratic	0.6854	0.7000	0.4503	0.6546	0.7161						
SVM cubic	0.7047	0.6884	0.4434	0.7390	0.6705						
SVM RBF	0.7014	0.7389	0.5016	0.6125	0.7803						
Decision Trees	0.6430	0.8189	0.8738	0.3614	0.9815						
Boosted stumps	0.7691	0.8200	0.8750	0.3655	0.9815						
Clinical model with age	, sex, stage, BN	/II, CRP (n=950									
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity						
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.7379	-	0	1						
k Nearest Neighbours	0.6310	0.7211	0.4661	0.4418	0.8203						
LASSO	0.8052	0.8105	0.7315	0.4378	0.9429						
Naive Bayes normal	0.8076	0.8116	0.7108	0.4739	0.9315						
Naive Bayes kernel	0.7962	0.8095	0.7931	0.3695	0.9658						
PAM	0.8039	0.7379	-	0	1						
SVM linear	0.7237	0.7337	0.4944	0.7028	0.7447						
SVM quadratic	0.7096	0.7568	0.5315	0.6104	0.8088						
SVM cubic	0.6884	0.7274	0.4840	0.6064	0.7703						
SVM RBF	0.6965	0.7221	0.4776	0.6426	0.7504						
Decision Trees	0.6476	0.8189	0.8738	0.3614	0.9815						
Boosted stumps	0.7978	0.8189	0.7770	0.4337	0.9558						

Note to **Supplementary Tables** 10-14: In cross-validation, because training sets overlap, prediction errors over different folds and samples are not independent, which significantly complicates estimation of distribution of prediction (generalization) errors¹. Although it is not uncommon to report variances or confidence intervals of prediction errors, we find them misleading, as they ignore the covariance structure of the test errors across multiple folds. We refer the readers to the result from machine learning literature showing that there are no universal unbiased estimates of the variance of the cross-validation error, and that "naïve estimators that do not take into account the error correlations between training and test sets (can) grossly underestimate the variance"². For some special cases there exist biased task- and model-specific estimates (for example, Markatou et. al.³), but to the best of our knowledge they have not yet been developed for the AUC criterion and our choice of the sparse parametric and non-parametric models chosen for their applicability to biomarker prediction studies. Supplementary Tables 10-16 summarize the aggregated AUCs over the

10 test folds not used during training, where all classifiers were calibrated to output conditional probabilities of the class given the covariates. Note that PPV, sensitivity, and specificity depend on the task-specific calibration; we show the results for the probability cut-off of 0.5.

Supplementary Table 11 Predictions of 5 year risk of CRC death for models with the extended set of clinical factors with and without glycans using k-nearest neighbours, LASSO, PAM, Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Boosted Stump classifiers. The results are summarized over 10 cross-validation folds.

Clinical model with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between operation and								
blood collection, and stage of cancer (n=949)								
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity			
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.7379	-	0	1			
k Nearest Neighbours	0.6174	0.7081	0.4398	0.4274	0.8074			
LASSO	0.8042	0.8061	0.7192	0.4234	0.9415			
PAM	0.8036	0.7492	0.9167	0.0444	0.9986			
SVM linear	0.7229	0.7292	0.4875	0.7097	0.7361			
SVM cubic	0.7047	0.7408	0.5032	0.6290	0.7803			
SVM RBF	0.6764	0.7144	0.4639	0.5968	0.7561			
Decision Trees	0.6331	0.8188	0.8725	0.3589	0.9815			
Boosted stumps	0.8086	0.8124	0.7431	0.4315	0.9472			
Clinical model with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between operation and								
chinear model with age	, SEA, DIVII, CAR	, type of open	ation, time be	tween opera	tion and			
blood collection, stage	of cancer, and	log-transform	ed glycans (n=	:949)				
blood collection, stage	of cancer, and AUC	log-transform Accuracy	ed glycans (n=	-949) Sensitivity	Specificity			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior	of cancer, and AUC 0.5	log-transform Accuracy 0.7387	ed glycans (n= PPV -	-949) Sensitivity	Specificity			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713	Open openIog-transformAccuracy0.73870.6881	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857	Sensitivity 0.3266	Specificity 1 0.8160			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980	Open of open open log-transform Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980 0.6918	Open of open open log-transform Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093 0.7576	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557 0.6667	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992 0.1452	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544 0.9743			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980 0.6918 0.7068	Operation Operation Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093 0.7576 0.7208	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557 0.6667 0.4759	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992 0.1452 0.6774	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544 0.9743 0.7361			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM cubic	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980 0.6918 0.7068 0.6449	Operation Operation Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093 0.7576 0.7208 0.7218 0.7218	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557 0.6667 0.4759 0.4688	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992 0.1452 0.6774 0.4839	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544 0.9743 0.7361 0.8060			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM cubic SVM RBF	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980 0.6918 0.7068 0.6449 0.5	iog-transform Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093 0.7576 0.7208 0.7218 0.7387	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557 0.6667 0.4759 0.4688 -	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992 0.1452 0.6774 0.4839 0	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544 0.9743 0.7361 0.8060 1			
blood collection, stage Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM cubic SVM RBF Decision Trees	of cancer, and AUC 0.5 0.5713 0.7980 0.6918 0.7068 0.6449 0.5 0.5	Iog-transform Accuracy 0.7387 0.6881 0.8093 0.7576 0.7208 0.7218 0.7387 0.8188	ed glycans (n= PPV - 0.3857 0.7557 0.6667 0.4759 0.4688 - 0.8725	Sensitivity 0 0.3266 0.3992 0.1452 0.6774 0.4839 0 0.3589	Specificity 1 0.8160 0.9544 0.9743 0.7361 0.8060 1 0.9815			

Supplementary Table 12 Predictions of rapid progressors in stage 2 for models with the extended set of clinical factors with and without glycans using k-nearest neighbour, LASSO, PAM, Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Boosted Stump classifiers. The results are summarized over 10 cross-validation folds.

Clinical model for stage 2 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between									
operation and blood collection, and stage of cancer (n=326)									
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity				
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.9356	-	0	1				
k Nearest Neighbours	0.5863	0.8896	0.2000	0.2381	0.9344				
LASSO	0.7820	0.9356	0.5000	0.0476	0.9967				
PAM	0.7066	0.9356	-	0	1				
SVM linear	0.7440	0.7699	0.1786	0.7143	0.7738				
SVM quadratic	0.6939	0.8006	0.1765	0.5714	0.8164				
SVM cubic	0.6200	0.8282	0.1569	0.3810	0.8590				
SVM RBF	0.6331	0.8528	0.1860	0.3810	0.8852				
Decision Trees	0.4746	0.9356	-	0	1				
Boosted stumps	0.6911	0.9325	0.4286	0.1429	0.9869				
Clinical model for stage 2 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between									
operation and blood collection, stage of cancer, and log-transformed glycans (n=326)									
operation and blood co	ollection, stage	of cancer, and	log-transform	ned giycans (n=326)				
operation and blood co	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity				
Maximum Prior	AUC 0.5	Accuracy 0.9356	PPV -	Sensitivity	Specificity				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours	AUC 0.5 0.5912	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988	PPV - 0.2273	Sensitivity 0 0.2381	Specificity 1 0.9443				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356	PPV - 0.2273 0.5000	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356	PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 -	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623 0.6537	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.7669	PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 - 0.1429	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476 0 0.5238	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1 0.7836				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623 0.6537 0.5574	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9357	PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 - 0.1429 0.1905	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476 0 0.5238 0.1905	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1 0.7836 0.9443				
Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623 0.6537 0.5674 0.4976	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.89857 0.8896	Orgentransform PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 - 0.1429 0.1905 0.0588	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476 0 0.5238 0.1905 0.0476	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1 0.7836 0.9443 0.9443 0.9443				
Operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic SVM RBF	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623 0.6537 0.5674 0.4976 0.5	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.8957 0.8896 0.9356	PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 - 0.1429 0.1905 0.0588 -	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476 0 0.5238 0.1905 0.0476 0	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1 0.7836 0.9443 0.9443 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.9443 1 1 1 1				
Operation and blood coMaximum Priork Nearest NeighboursLASSOPAMSVM linearSVM quadraticSVM cubicSVM RBFDecision Trees	AUC 0.5 0.5912 0.7369 0.6623 0.6537 0.5674 0.4976 0.5 0.4746	Accuracy 0.9356 0.8988 0.9356 0.9356 0.9356 0.7669 0.8957 0.8896 0.9356 0.9356	Iog-transform PPV - 0.2273 0.5000 - 0.1429 0.1905 0.0588 - -	Sensitivity 0 0.2381 0.0476 0 0.5238 0.1905 0.0476 0 0.0476	Specificity 1 0.9443 0.9967 1 0.7836 0.9443 0.9443 0.9475 1 1				

Supplementary Table 13 Predictions of rapid progressors in stage 3 for models with the extended set of clinical factors with and without glycans using k-nearest neighbour, LASSO, PAM, Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Boosted Stump classifiers. The results are summarized over 10 cross-validation folds.

Clinical model for stage 3 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between								
operation and blood collection, and stage of cancer (n=312)								
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity			
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.8782	-	0	1			
k Nearest Neighbours	0.4438	0.7596	0.0256	0.0263	0.8613			
LASSO	0.6259	0.8782	-	0	1			
PAM	0.4802	0.8782	-	0	1			
SVM linear	0.5864	0.7115	0.1905	0.4211	0.7518			
SVM quadratic	0.5412	0.6122	0.1453	0.4474	0.6350			
SVM cubic	0.5133	0.6827	0.1325	0.2895	0.7372			
SVM RBF	0.5360	0.6827	0.1494	0.3421	0.7299			
Decision Trees	0.4901	0.8782	-	0	1			
Boosted stumps	0.5965	0.8782	-	0	1			
Clinical model for stage 3 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between								
Clinical model for stage	e 3 with age, se	x, BMI, CRP, ty	ype of operati	on, time betv	veen			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co	e 3 with age, se pllection, stage	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and	pe of operati	on, time betv ned glycans (I	veen n=312)			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co	e 3 with age, se ollection, stage AUC	ex, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy	ype of operati log-transforr PPV	on, time betv ned glycans (I Sensitivity	veen n=312) Specificity			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior	3 with age, se bllection, stage AUC 0.5	of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782	ype of operati l log-transforr PPV -	on, time betv ned glycans (i Sensitivity 0	veen n=312) Specificity 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours	a 3 with age, se ollection, stage AUC 0.5 0.4972	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.1176	on, time betv ned glycans (i Sensitivity 0 0.1053	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO	a 3 with age, se ollection, stage AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782	ype of operati l log-transforr PPV - 0.1176 -	on, time betv ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM	 a with age, se bllection, stage AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953 0.5645 	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.1176 - 1	on, time betv ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear	 a with age, se a with age, se blection, stage AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953 0.5645 0.5941 	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814 0.7051	ype of operati log-transform PPV - 0.1176 - 1 0.1932	on, time betv ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263 0.4474	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1 1 0.7409			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic	 3 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953 0.5645 0.5941 0.5169 	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814 0.7051 0.7885	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.1176 - 1 0.1932 0.1500	on, time betv ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263 0.4474 0.1579	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1 1 0.7409 0.8759			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic	 3 with age, se 3 with age, se a with age, se b with age, se a with age, se <	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814 0.7051 0.7885 0.8173	ype of operati log-transform PPV - 0.1176 - 1 0.1932 0.1500 0.1481	on, time betw ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263 0.4474 0.1579 0.1053	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1 1 0.7409 0.8759 0.9161			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic SVM RBF	 3 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953 0.5645 0.5941 0.5169 0.5107 0.5000 	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814 0.7051 0.7885 0.8173 0.8782	ype of operati log-transform PPV - 0.1176 - 1 0.1932 0.1500 0.1481 -	on, time betv ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263 0.4474 0.1579 0.1053 0	veen n=312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1 0.7409 0.8759 0.9161 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic SVM RBF Decision Trees	 3 with age, se 3 with age, se a With age, se b Ilection, stage AUC 0.5 0.4972 0.5953 0.5645 0.5941 0.5169 0.5107 0.5000 0.4745 	x, BMI, CRP, ty of cancer, and Accuracy 0.8782 0.7949 0.8782 0.8814 0.7051 0.7885 0.8173 0.8173 0.8782 0.8782	ype of operati log-transform PPV - 0.1176 - 1 0.1932 0.1932 0.1500 0.1481 - -	on, time betw ned glycans (r Sensitivity 0 0.1053 0 0.0263 0.4474 0.1579 0.1053 0 0	veen =312) Specificity 1 0.8905 1 1 0.7409 0.8759 0.9161 1 1 1 1			

Supplementary Table 14 Predictions of rapid progressors in stage 4 for models with the extended set of clinical factors with and without glycans using k-nearest neighbour, LASSO, PAM, Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Boosted Stump classifiers. The results are summarized over 10 cross-validation folds.

Clinical model for stage 4 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between								
operation and blood collection, and stage of cancer (n=102)								
	AUC	Accuracy	PPV	Sensitivity	Specificity			
Maximum Prior	0.5	0.7059	-	0	1			
k Nearest Neighbours	0.5	0.5686	0.2941	0.3333	0.6667			
LASSO	0.4331	0.6961	0.3333	0.0333	0.9722			
PAM	0.4535	0.7059	-	0	1			
SVM linear	0.5514	0.5588	0.3404	0.5333	0.5694			
SVM quadratic	0.5819	0.6569	0.4138	0.4000	0.7639			
SVM cubic	0.5472	0.6078	0.3529	0.4000	0.6944			
SVM RBF	0.5278	0.6078	0.3333	0.3333	0.7222			
Decision Trees	0.4899	0.7059	-	0	1			
Boosted stumps	0.5505	0.6471	0.3125	0.1667	0.8472			
Clinical model for stage 4 with age, sex, BMI, CRP, type of operation, time between								
Clinical model for stage	e 4 with age, se	ex, BMI, CRP, t	ype of operati	on, time betv	veen			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co	e 4 with age, se ollection, stage	ex, BMI, CRP, to of cancer, and	ype of operati I log-transforr	on, time betw ned glycans (veen n=102)			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co	e 4 with age, se ollection, stage AUC	ex, BMI, CRP, to of cancer, and Accuracy	ype of operati l log-transforr PPV	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity	veen n=102) Specificity			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior	e 4 with age, se bllection, stage AUC 0.5	ex, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059	ype of operati l log-transforr PPV -	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0	veen n=102) Specificity 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours	e 4 with age, se bllection, stage AUC 0.5 0.5819	ex, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000	veen n=102) Specificity 1 0.7639			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO	 4 with age, se blection, stage AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 	ex, BMI, CRP, to of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0	veen =102) Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM	 4 with age, se a blection, stage AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 	ex, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0	veen =102) Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear	 4 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 0.5972 	ex, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765 0.6373	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0 0 0.4054	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0 0 0.5000	veen 102) Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583 0.6944			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic	 4 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 0.5972 0.5778 	ex, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765 0.6373 0.6373	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0 0 0.4054 0.3939	on, time betw ed glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0.4000 0 0.4333	Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583 0.6944 0.7222			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic	 4 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 0.5972 0.5778 0.6486 	 x, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765 0.6373 0.6373 0.7647 	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0 0.4054 0.3939 0.6875	on, time betw ned glycans (i Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0.5000 0.4333 0.3667	Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583 0.6944 0.7222 0.9306			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic SVM RBF	 4 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 0.5972 0.5778 0.6486 0.5000 	 x, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765 0.6373 0.6373 0.7647 0.7059 	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0 0 0.4054 0.3939 0.6875 -	on, time betw ned glycans (Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0.4000 0 0.4000 0 0.4000 0 0.3667 0	ven 102) Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583 0.6944 0.7222 0.9306 1			
Clinical model for stage operation and blood co Maximum Prior k Nearest Neighbours LASSO PAM SVM linear SVM quadratic SVM cubic SVM RBF Decision Trees	 4 with age, se AUC 0.5 0.5819 0.5815 0.5257 0.5972 0.5778 0.6486 0.5000 0.6569 	 x, BMI, CRP, tr of cancer, and Accuracy 0.7059 0.6569 0.6863 0.6765 0.6373 0.6373 0.7647 0.7059 0.7353 	ype of operati log-transforr PPV - 0.4138 0 0 0.4054 0.3939 0.6875 - 0.6154	on, time betw ed glycans (i Sensitivity 0 0.4000 0 0.3000 0.4333 0.3667 0 0.2667	ven =102) Specificity 1 0.7639 0.9722 0.9583 0.6944 0.7222 0.9306 1 0.9306			

Supplementary Table 15 Studies on IgG glycosylation changes in cancer

Author	Year	Cancer	Method	Samples	Cases	Controls	Results
Galactosylation							
							Fr 1 (monogalactosyl oligosaccharide)
							and Fr 2 (digalactosyl oligosaccharide)
							decreased significantly (p<0.05), while
							Fr 4 (agalactosyl IgG oligosaccharide)
							increased with PCa tumor progression.
			Fluorophore-assocd.				The Fr 4 / Fr 1 + 2 ratio in metastatic
			carbohydrate				PCa patients was significantly higher
Kanoh Y ⁴	2004	Prostate cancer	electrophoresis (FACE)	serum	12	10	than in healthy controls (p<0.05)
_		Multiple	Lectin blotting and				IgG galactosylation was reduced in
Aurer I ⁵	2007	myeloma	densitometry	blood	16	16	multiple myeloma
							Significant increase of agalactosylated
							(GnGnF, GnGn(bi)F), and decrease of
							galactosylated (AGn(bi), AGn(bi)F,
Kodar K ⁶	2011	Gastric cancer	LC-ESI-MS	serum	80	51	AA(bi), AAF)
							The data indicates that in the cancerous
			Hydrophilic interaction				state there is a switch in IgG production
			liquid chromatography with				toward the more pro-inflammatory IgG
Bones J ⁷	2011	Gastric cancer	fluorescence detection	serum	80	30	G0 glycoform (agalactosyl).
			Concanavalin A affinity				
			columns and sodium				
			dodecyl sulfate-				This report demonstrated the presence
Gercel-Taylor			polyacrylamide gel				of an aberrantly glycosylated IgG
C ⁸	2001	Ovarian cancer	electrophoresis	serum	62	50	population in cancer patients.
							IgG containing agalactosylated
							structures (G0) (mostly represented by
							FA2) were doubled;
							monogalactosylated (G1) decreased;
			Quantitative NPHPLC and				digalactosylated (G2) structures
Saldova R ⁹	2007	Ovarian cancer	exoglycosidase digestion	serum	27	34	decreased

			MALDI-TOF Mass-				Increased levels of a-galactosylation structures were obsd. on N-linked glycans derived from IgG, which were independent of the presence of fucose
Alley WR ¹⁰	2012	Ovarian cancer	spectrometric Analysis	serum	19	20	residues.
							$GO/(G1 + G2 \cdot 2)$ was found significantly
							higher in the malignant group than in
Oian V ¹¹	2012	Ovarian cancer	MALDI-TOF Mass-	sorum	22	26	(0.74 vs 0.34; p < 0.001)
Cichulation	2013	Ovariali calicel	spectrometric Analysis	Seruin	52	20	0.0001)
Slarylation	1						Detion to with more lance shows allow
			High pressure anion				Patients with myeloma showed an
			exchange chromatography				increase in the proportion of sialylated
		Multiple	with pulsed electrochemical				oligosaccharides in comparison with
Flemming SC ¹²	1998	myeloma	detection (HPAE-PED)	serum	47	14	patients with MGUS
			Quantitative NPHPLC and				
Saldova R ⁹	2007	Ovarian cancer	exoglycosidase digestion	serum	27	34	The overall sialylation decreased
							Decrease of monosialylated IgG
							glycoforms (NaAF, NaA(bi)) in cancer
Kodar K ⁶	2011	Gastric cancer	LC-ESI-MS	serum	80	51	patients.
Bisecting							
GlcNAC							
							A statistically significant decrease of
							bisecting GlcNAc was observed in
Kodar K ⁶	2011	Gastric cancer	LC-ESI-MS	serum	80	51	tumour stage II and III



Supplementary Figure 1 Correlation matrix for robust measured and derived glycans

Supplementary Section: Model Selection

One classifier vs multiple classifiers

In life and clinical sciences, it is common to analyse the predictive performance by using an arbitrarily chosen single regression or classification model such as linear regression for continuous outcomes or logistic regression for binary outcomes, without motivating the model choice. There are multiple models that may in principle be considered for continuous and binary outcomes, and deeper insights about the utility of biomarkers may potentially be obtained by evaluating many such models. We note that the analysis of the predictive performance based on a single model may be misleading, due to the following observations: (i) It may happen that by considering a single model, researchers observe that biomarkers do not improve the quality of predictions. But this observation may be an artefact of the implied modelling constraints (such as the linear decision surface separating cases from controls in logistic regression). One reason for failing to demonstrate an improvement in predictions may be the fact that the chosen predictive model was limited and inappropriate for the dataset. The biomarkers may still be useful predictors, but the researchers may be making incorrect assumptions about the data and using a wrong model, without trying to evaluate whether the modelling assumptions are correct. (ii) A similar argument may hold for a subset of variables. For example, researchers may be able to demonstrate that a common model such as logistic regression with covariates defined by biomarkers and clinical variables outperforms logistic regression that only uses clinical variables, and may conclude that the biomarkers are generally useful for predicting the considered outcome. However, it may happen that the logistic assumption is particularly unfavourable to the clinical model (for example, when the mapping from the clinical variables to the outcomes is complex, and the classification surface cannot be well modelled by a hyperplane in the subspace of clinical variables). In this case, a clinical model of some other class (for example, an SVM) that does not use the biomarkers could significantly outperform models with biomarkers. In this case, the conclusion that the biomarkers are useful for developing a diagnostics, may be misleading - one may be able to achieve a superior quality of predictions when considering "richer" clinical models (something overlooked by considering model of a single class).

We note that the assessment of the predictive performance by considering a single model may often be limited, and the results may need to be interpreted with some care. This work is an empirical attempt to overcome the arbitrariness of a specific model choice. In particular, we considered a larger set of models that make different assumptions about the mapping from glycans to outcomes. We use (nested) cross-validation to estimate the predictive performance on new previously unseen data. We then compare pairs of models of the same class that use clinical variables only and clinical variables with glycans, and test how likely it is that using glycans for predictions leads to improvements over clinical models independently of the modelling assumptions. (Note that the models are generally not nested even when they belong to the same class – so we cannot use standard tests). As the evaluation criterion, we use the AUC computed by cross-validation over the test folds of data. In some sense, rather than comparing an arbitrary model with or without glycans, we are evaluating how easy it may be to use glycomic biomarkers to construct a superior diagnostic independently of the modelling details.

Model Selection for Cross-Validation

We decided to approximate the performance on unused test data, where a possible chance improvement in the performance on training data is compensated by an implied penalty on the increased model complexity (Cherkassky and Mulier, 2007; Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman, 2009, see Chapter 7 in particular), because addition of uninformative covariates could have chance effects.

Cross-validation fits multiple models and tests them on non-overlapping sets of unused data. In contrast to fitting a single model to a complete dataset, this has the advantage of providing an approximately unbiased estimate of the expected performance in a new cohort, if the training and

validation samples are drawn from the same distribution (e.g. Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2009)). However, cross-validation has the disadvantage that there is no universal unbiased estimator of the variance of cross-validation estimates, which significantly complicates statistical testing (Bengio and Grandvalet, 2004), and which poses one of the big unsolved statistical problems in the area (Arlot and Celisse, 2010). This makes it important to choose an evaluation strategy: that is, to evaluate all models on the training dataset where statistical tests may be available, or to compute the expected performance on out-of-sample data - using cross-validation or similar evaluation techniques – at the expense of not being able to provide rigorous unbiased estimates of the error bars on the estimated performance. We insist that cross-validation is a general and appropriate strategy of assessing classifiers on new data, as the samples used for validations are independent from the training data, so at least the estimates of the mean performance are asymptotically unbiased (also see discussions in Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2009), Chapter 7, and Arlot and Celisse (2010), Section 4). However, despite its generality and wide use, some theoretical issues about cross-validation are still widely open (see e.g. Alrot and Celisse, 2010, Section 10). Demsar (2006) suggests Wilcoxon signed ranks or Friedman tests to compare multiple classifiers on large independent datasets; we use the former for comparing multiple models in the context discussed earlier.

Arlot and Celisse (2010). A survey of cross-validation procedures for model selection. Stat Surveys 4:40-79.

Bengio and Grandvalet (2004). No Unbiased Estimator of the Variance of K-Fold Cross-Validation. JMLR 5:1089-1105.

Cherkassky and Mulier (2007). Learning from Data (2nd ed.). Wiley. Demsar (2006). Statistical Comparison of Classifiers over Multiple Datasets. JMLR 7:1-30.

Hastie, Tibshirani, Friedman (2009). The Elements of Statistical Learning (2nd ed.). Springer.

References

- 1. Arlot S, Celisse A. A survey of cross-validation procedures for model selection. *Statistics surveys* 2010;4:40-79.
- 2. Bengio Y, Grandvalet Y. No unbiased estimator of the variance of k-fold cross-validation. *Mach Learn Res* 2004;5:1089-105.
- 3. Markatou M, Tian H, Biswas S, Hripcsak GM. Analysis of variance of cross-validation estimators of the generalization error. *J Mach Learn Res* 2005;6:1127-68.
- 4. Kanoh Y, Mashiko T, Danbara M, Takayama Y, Ohtani S, Egawa S, et al. Changes in serum IgG oligosaccharide chains with prostate cancer progression. *Anticancer Res* 2004;24(5B):3135-9.
- 5. Aurer I, Lauc G, Dumic J, Rendic D, Matisic D, Milos M, et al. Aberrant glycosylation of Igg heavy chain in multiple myeloma. *Coll Antropol* 2007;31(1):247-51.
- 6. Kodar K, Stadlmann J, Klaamas K, Sergeyev B, Kurtenkov O. Immunoglobulin G Fc N-glycan profiling in patients with gastric cancer by LC-ESI-MS: relation to tumor progression and survival. *Glycoconj J* 2012;29(1):57-66.
- 7. Bones J, Byrne JC, O'Donoghue N, McManus C, Scaife C, Boissin H, et al. Glycomic and glycoproteomic analysis of serum from patients with stomach cancer reveals potential markers arising from host defense response mechanisms. *J Proteome Res* 2011;10(3):1246-65.
- 8. Gercel-Taylor C, Bazzett LB, Taylor DD. Presence of aberrant tumor-reactive immunoglobulins in the circulation of patients with ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2001;81(1):71-6.
- 9. Saldova R, Royle L, Radcliffe CM, Abd Hamid UM, Evans R, Arnold JN, et al. Ovarian cancer is associated with changes in glycosylation in both acute-phase proteins and IgG. *Glycobiology* 2007;17(12):1344-56.
- 10. Alley WR, Jr., Vasseur JA, Goetz JA, Svoboda M, Mann BF, Matei DE, et al. N-linked glycan structures and their expressions change in the blood sera of ovarian cancer patients. *J Proteome Res* 2012;11(4):2282-300.
- 11. Qian Y, Wang Y, Zhang X, Zhou L, Zhang Z, Xu J, et al. Quantitative analysis of serum IgG galactosylation assists differential diagnosis of ovarian cancer. *J Proteome Res* 2013;12(9):4046-55.
- 12. Fleming SC, Smith S, Knowles D, Skillen A, Self CH. Increased sialylation of oligosaccharides on IgG paraproteins--a potential new tumour marker in multiple myeloma. *J Clin Pathol* 1998;51(11):825-30.