### Non-homologous end joining repair in Xenopus egg extract

Songli Zhu and Aimin Peng\*

Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA.

\* To whom reprint requests should be sent: Aimin Peng, Ph.D. Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Lincoln, NE 68583. Tel: 402-472-5903, Fax: 402-472-2551. Email: Aimin.Peng@UNMC.edu

#### Supplemental figure legends

#### Figure S1. The repair of non-compatible ends was suppressed by DNA-PK inhibition.

*Xenopus* egg extract was supplemented with or without DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7026, and incubated with the 5'/3' NHEJ template. The repair activity (in relative to the control extract) was measured by colony numbers. A minimum of three experiments were carried out and the results are shown as the mean values and standard deviations. Statistical significance was analyzed using an unpaired 2-tailed Student's t-test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Figure S2. Five types of NHEJ templates were generated using different restriction

**enzymes.** Different restriction enzymes were utilized to generate five types of NHEJ templates for the repair assay.

#### Figure S3. Validation of the ATM and DNA-PK inhibitors.

(A & B) *Xenopus* egg extracts were treated with double-stranded oligonucleotides (dA-dT), and specific inhibitors as indicated. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, samples were analyzed using specific antibodies as indicated.

#### Figure S4. The repair of non-compatible ends with DNA-PK inhibition.

Various NHEJ repair templates with non-compatible ends, as in Fig. S2, were incubated in *Xenopus* egg extracts, re-isolated, and transformed into bacteria cells. Final repair products were isolated and subjected to sequencing analysis. The NHEJ templates include: blunt/3'-overhang (A), blunt with 5'-overhang (B), 3'-overhang /5'-overhang (C), 3'-overhang /3'-overhang (D), and 5'-overhang /5'-overhang (E). The repair assay was performed with or without DNA-PK inhibitor (NU7441) as in Fig. 1E. In each reaction, approximately 10 final repair

products were sequenced and shown. Nucleotides deleted during DNA repair were indicated by empty triangles.



Enzymes	Terminus Configuration	Sequence
StuI / HindIII	Blunt /	AGG AGCTT
	5'overhang	TCC A
StuI / KpnI	Blunt /	AGG C
	3'overhang	TCC CATGG
XhoI / KpnI	5'overhang /	C C
	3'overhang	GAGCT CATGG
PstI / KpnI	3'overhang /	CTGCA C
	3'overhang	G CATGG
BamHI / HindIII	5'overhang /	G AGCTT
	5'overhang	CCTAG A



### В

dA-dT	-	+	+	+
Caffeine(mM)	-	-	2	5
pATMS1981		-		14. 16 1. 11.
pSMC1S957			to readed	
H2B		-		1

Δ					D	Pstl (C_TG	CA^G
/ \	Stul (AGG 5' ATTCA 3' TAAGT	A AGG C AAGCTT 3' C TCC CATGG TTCGAA 5'	Blunt + 3'overha:	nd	U	5' TAGAGC 3' ATCACG	CT G
			Number	Overall frequency		Control	т
	Control	ATTCAA <b>AGGGTACC</b> AAGCTT	10	100.00% accurate		0000101	
		TAAGTT <b>TCCCATGG</b> TTCGAA					 
	+DNA-PK	ATTCAA <b>AGGGTACC</b> AAGCTT	10	100.00% accurate			1
	Inhibitor	TAAGTT <b>TCCCATGG</b> TTCGAA					
						+DNA-PK	1
R	StuI (AGG_^(	CCT) + HindIII (A^AGCT_T)	Blunt + 5'overhang			Innibitor	
	5' ATTCAA	AGG AGCTT GGCACT 3'					Т
	3' TAAGTT	TCC A CCGTGA 5'					A
			Number	Overall frequency			Т
	Control	ATTCAA <b>AGGAGCTT</b> GGCACT	9	90.00% accurate			A
		TAAGTT <b>TCCTCGAA</b> CCGTGA	-		_	RamHT (CAC	ATC.
		ATTCAA <b>AGGΔΔCTT</b> GGCACT	1	10.00% <b>deletion</b>	E		AIC_
		TAAGTT <b>TCCΔΔGAA</b> CCGTGA				3' GCGGTA	G C C
	+DNA-PK	ATTCAA <b>AGG∆∆TT</b> GGCACT	8	88.89% accurate			
	Inhibitor	TAAGTT <b>TCCTCGAA</b> CCGTGA					
		ATTCAA <b>AGG∆∆∆TT</b> GGCACT	1	11.11% <b>deletion</b>		Control	С
		ΤΑΑGΤΤ <b>ΤϹϹΔΔΑΑΑ</b> CCGTGA					G
_							С
С	XhoI (C^TCG	A G) + KpnI (G GTAC^C)	5'overhang + 3'over	hang			G
	5' TGCAGT	C C AAGCTT 3'		2			С
	3' ACGTCA	GAGCT CATGG TTCGAA 5'					G
			Number	Overall frequency		+DNA-PK	С
	Control	TGCAGT <b>CTCGAGTACC</b> AAGCTT	8	88.89% accurate		Inhibitor	G
		ACGTCA <b>GAGCTCATGG</b> TTCGAA					С
		TGCAGT <b>CTCG∆GTACC</b> AAGCTT	1	11.11% <b>deletion</b>			G
		ACGTCA <b>GAGC∆CATGG</b> TTCGAA					С
	+DNA-PK	TGCAGT <b>CTCGAGTACC</b> AAGCTT	11	100.00% accurate			G
	Inhibitor	ACGTCA <b>GAGCTCATGG</b> TTCGAA					С
							~

PstI (C\_TGCA^G) + KpnI (G\_GTAC^C) 3' overhang + 3' overhang

5	5'	TAGAGC	CTG	CA	С	AAGCTT	3'
1	3'	ATCACG	G		CATGG	TTCGAA	5′

		Number	Overall frequency
Control	TAGAGC <b>CTGAAATACC</b> AAGCTT	7	63.64% deletion
	ATCACG <b>GACAAAATGG</b> TTCGAA		
	TAGAGC <b>CTGCAAACC</b> AAGCTT	3	27.27% deletion
	ATCACG <b>GACGTΔΔΔGG</b> TTCGAA		
+DNA-PK	TAGAGC <b>CTGAAATACC</b> AAGCTT	6	66.67% deletion
Inhibitor	ATCACG <b>GACAAATGG</b> TTCGAA		
	TAGAGCCTGCAAACCAAGCTT	2	22.22% deletion
	ATCACG <b>GACGTΔΔΔGG</b> TTCGAA		
	TAGAGC <b>CTGCAAAAC</b> AAGCTT	1	11.11% deletion
	ATCACG <b>GACGTΔΔΔΔG</b> TTCGAA		

BamHI (G^GATC\_C) + HindIII (A^AGCT\_T) 5'overhang + 5'overhang

5′	CGCCATG	G	AGCTT	GGCACT	31
3′	GCGGTAC	CCTAG	A	CCGTGA	5'

		Number	Overall frequency
Control	CGCCATG <b>GGATAAACTT</b> GGCACT	5	50.00% deletion
	gcggtac <b>ctallgaa</b> ccgtga		
	CGCCATG <b>GGAAAAGCTT</b> GGCACT	3	30.00% deletion
	GCGGTACCCTAAACGAACCGTGA		
	CGCCATG <b>AAAAAGCTT</b> GGCACT	2	20.00% deletion
	gcggtac <b>aaaatcgaa</b> ccgtga		
+DNA-PK	CGCCATG <b>GGATAAACTT</b> GGCACT	1	10.00% deletion
Inhibitor	gcggtac <b>cctaaagaa</b> ccgtga		
	CGCCATG <b>GGAAAAGCTT</b> GGCACT	1	10.00% deletion
	gcggtac <b>ctaacgaa</b> ccgtga		
	CGCCATG <b>AAAAAGCTT</b> GGCACT	5	50.00% deletion
	gcggtac <b>aaaatcgaa</b> ccgtga		
	CGCCATG <b>AAAAAACTT</b> GGCACT	3	30.00% deletion
	gcggtac <b>aaaaagaa</b> ccgtga		