**Biophysical Journal, Volume 110** 

## **Supplemental Information**

## Binding Mechanism of the N-Terminal SH3 Domain of CrkII and Proline-Rich Motifs in cAbl

Veer S. Bhatt, Danyun Zeng, Inna Krieger, James C. Sacchettini, and Jae-Hyun Cho

**Table S1.** Proline-rich motifs (PRMs) in human cAbl-1A tested for the binding to the nSH3 domain of CrkII

Sequence <sup>a</sup>	K <sub>d</sub> (μM)
PRM <sup>524</sup>	$1.72 \pm 0.47$
QA <b>P</b> ELPTKTRTSY	$1.72 \pm 0.47$
PRM <sup>546</sup>	
DV <b>P</b> EMPHSKGQY	N. D.
PRM <sup>568</sup>	$2.00 \pm 0.10$
VS <b>P</b> LLPRKERGY	2.90 ± 0.19
PRM <sup>610</sup>	
TA <b>P</b> TPPKRSSSY	N. D.
PRM <sup>758</sup>	4 70 1 0 40
YEK <b>P</b> ALPRKR	$1.72 \pm 0.40$
PRM <sup>781</sup>	
YR <b>P</b> PPRLVKK	N. D.
PRM <sup>897</sup>	
PA <b>P</b> PPPAASAY	IN. D.
PRM <sup>956</sup>	
KK <b>P</b> VLPATPKPQY	IN. D.

<sup>a</sup> The number in the peptide name corresponds to the amino acid number of first proline in each sequence (shown in bold). Tyrosine is added to either the N- or the C-terminal end of each peptide to measure the concentration of the peptide.

	Data Collection
Source	
space group	P12 <sub>1</sub> 1
a, b, c (A)	45.2, 29.5, 45.8
α, β, γ (deg)	90.0, 94.3, 90.0
temperature (K)	113.15
wavelength (A)	1.5
resolution (A)	50.00-1.80 (1.83-
R <sub>merge</sub> <sup>b</sup>	0.14 (0.17)
completeness (%)	99.1 (98.9)
$\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle$	38.1 (27.6)
total number of reflections	39207
Average redundancy	3.5 (3.3)
	<b>Refinement Statistics</b>
resolution (Å)	45.6-1.8
number of reflections	10714
R <sub>factor</sub> <sup>c</sup>	0.17
R <sub>free</sub> <sup>c</sup>	0.24
number of protein atoms per chain	501
number of water atoms	181
number of ligand atoms per chain	94
rms deviation from ideal values <sup>d</sup> for bond distances	0.022
rms deviation from ideal values for bond angles	2.04
average B-factors	
chain A of the protein $(Å^2)$	17.80
chain B of the protein $(A^2)$	19.60
chain M of the ligand $(Å^2)$	30.00
chain N of the ligand $(A^2)$	24 60
water molecules ( $Å^2$ )	31 11
Ramachandran nlot <sup>e</sup>	01.11
favored (%)	08 32
	0
	0

## Table S2. Data Collection and Refinement Statistics

<sup>a</sup>The numbers in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

 ${}^{\mathrm{b}}\mathsf{R}_{\mathrm{merge}} = \sum_{\mathrm{hkl}} \sum_{j} |\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{hkl},j} - \langle \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{hkl}} \rangle | / \sum_{\mathrm{hkl}} \sum_{j} \langle \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{hkl}} \rangle$ 

 $^{c}R_{factor} = \sum |F_{obs}-F_{calc}|/\sum |F|_{obs}$ , where  $R_{free}$  refers to the  $R_{factor}$  for 5% of the data that <sup>d</sup> Ideal values used from Engh and Huber (1)

<sup>e</sup>Validation by COOT (2)



Figure S1. Binding isotherm of PRM<sup>758</sup> to the nSH3 domain at pH 7.5 in Hepes buffer. The measured  $K_d$  is 1.6  $\mu$ M.

## **Supporting References**

- 1. Engh, R. A., and R. Huber. 1991. Accurate bond and angle parameters for X-ray protein structure refinement. Acta Crystallogr A 47:392-400.
- 2. Emsley, P., and K. Cowtan. 2004. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60:2126-2132.