Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
Personal Characteristics		
Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	Methods, page 3
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	Online
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Methods, page 4
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	Methods, page 3
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Methods, page 4
Relationship with participants		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	No, Methods, page 2
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Yes, Informed consent, Methods, page 2
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	Methods, page 3
Domain 2: study design		
Theoretical framework		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Methods, page 2
Participant selection		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Methods, page 2
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Methods, page 2
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Findings, page 4
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Not known, Methods, page 2
Setting		

Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	Methods, page 3
Was anyone else present besides the	No, Methods, page 3
What are the important characteristics of	Table 1, Table 2
Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Appendix 1
Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No
Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Methods, page 3
Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	Methods, page 3
What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	Methods, page 3
Was data saturation discussed?	Methods, page 3
Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
How many data coders coded the data?	Methods, page 3
coding tree?	N/A
Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Methods, page 3
What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	NVivo, Methods, page 3
Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	Strengths and limitations, pages 9-11
Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Findings, pages 5- 10
Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Interpretation, pages 11-14
Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Findings, page 4
Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Interpretation, pages 11-14
	clinic, workplace Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? Was data saturation discussed? Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? How many data coders coded the data? Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? Did participants provide feedback on the findings? Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? Is there a description of diverse cases or