$Rev-erb\alpha$ in the brain is essential for circadian food entrainment

Julien Delezie, Stéphanie Dumont, Cristina Sandu, Sophie Reibel, Paul Pevet, & Etienne Challet^{1*}

Supplemental Information

Figure S1: Synchronization of the liver clock by mealtime in *Rev-erba* GKO mice
Figure S2: Conditional knock-out strategy
Figure S3: Breeding scheme of *Rev-erba* GKO mice
Supplemental Tables

Figure S1: Synchronization of the liver clock by mealtime in Rev-erba BKO mice



Expression of *Rev-erba* (A), *Bmal1* (B), *Per2* (C) under conditions of food *ad libitum* (left panel) and restricted feeding (right panel). * p<0.05 for BKO vs. WT animals at a given time-point as determined by 2-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD *post-hoc* test; ^a p<0.05 for restricted feeding vs. food *ad libitum* at a given time-point in wild-type (WT) mice (2-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test); ^b p<0.05 for restricted feeding vs. food *ad libitum* at a given time-point in *Rev-erba* brain-specific knock-out (BKO) mice (2-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test). ZT: Zeitgeber Time.

Figure S2: Conditional knock-out strategy



Strategy chosen: flox of exons 3 and 4.

Nr1d1 gene (also named $RevErb\alpha$) is a member of the nuclear receptor family. Additional information on this gene can be accessed at:

http://www.informatics.jax.org/javawi2/servlet/WIFetch?page=markerDetail&key=85194 LoxP and FRT sites are indicated in green and pink, respectively. *Mus musculus* sequences are indicated in uppercase. Exogenous sequences are marked in lowercase. The targeting vector was generated in 129Sv/Pas (Mouse Clinical Institute, Strasbourg, France and European EUMODIC consortium).





Supplemental tables

Table S1: Cosinor analysis of PER2 expression in the hypothalamus and cerebellum of WT and *Rev-erbα* GKO mice.

Table S2: Cosinor analysis of P-ERK expression in the hypothalamus and cerebellum of WT and *Rev-erba* GKO mice.

Supplemental Table S1. Cosinor analysis of PER2 expression in the hypothalamus and cerebellum of WT and *Rev-erbα* GKO mice.

				Food ad	libitum	Restricted feeding							
		WT			GKO			WT			GKO		
		Mean	SEM	Р	Mean	SEM	Р	Mean	SEM	Р	Mean	SE	Р
												Μ	
VMH	а	47.4	3.7	< 0.001	44.6	2.5	<0.001	51.5	3.3	< 0.001	53.8	3.3	<0.001
	b	18.0	5.2	0.003	5.6	3.5	NS	13.6	4.7	0.01	6.9	4.5	NS
	с	ZT18.6 ^a	1.1	< 0.001				ZT11.0 ^b	1.3	< 0.001			
ARC	а	52.0	2.5	< 0.001	53.2	2.4	< 0.001	57.6	3.1	< 0.001	53.9	3.7	< 0.001
	b	15.7	3.6	< 0.001	7.6	3.3	0.04	9.4	4.4	0.05	11.8	5.1	0.04
	с	ZT18.1 ^a	0.8	< 0.001	ZT13.2 ^{ab}	1.8	< 0.001	ZT9.8 ^b	1.8	< 0.001	ZT10.0 ^b	1.8	< 0.001
PVN	а	39.0	2.8	< 0.001	38.8	1.6	< 0.001	45.1	2.3	< 0.001	43.8	2.4	< 0.001
	b	10.9	4.0	0.01	4.9	2.3	0.05	10.1	3.2	0.007	9.7	3.5	0.02
	с	ZT17.8 ^a	1.4	< 0.001	ZT15.3 ^{ab}	1.8	< 0.001	ZT10.6 ^{bc}	1.2	< 0.001	ZT7.7 ^c	1.3	< 0.001
SCN	а	77.9	4.3	< 0.001	79.9	3.3	< 0.001	84.1	4.8	< 0.001	81.8	3.5	< 0.001
	b	22.8	6.1	0.001	11.4	4.6	0.03	22.1	6.8	0.001	16.7	4.8	0.004
	с	ZT14.7 ^a	1.0	< 0.001	ZT13.6 ^a	1.6	< 0.001	ZT10.9 ^a	1.2	< 0.001	ZT10.1 ^a	1.2	< 0.001
CRB	а	21.7	0.7	< 0.001	22.0	1.5	<0.001	25.1	1.5	<0.001	22.4	1.1	< 0.001
	b	3.2	0.9	0.003	2.9	2.0	NS	3.1	2.2	NS	4.3	1.7	0.02
	с	ZT19.4 ^a	1.1	< 0.001							ZT18.9 ^a	1.3	< 0.001

The table S1 shows the three parameters of cosinor regression, including a the mean level, b the amplitude, and c the acrophase of the rhythm (see Methods). For the acrophase, the reference time is Zeitgeber (ZT) 0 (i.e., lights on). NS, non-significant amplitude, making the whole regression not statistically significant (shown by italicized characters; See Methods). For a given structure, the acrophases (c) were compared respectively with t-tests or ANOVA when there were 2 or more groups with significant regressions (c values are not shown when the amplitude was not significant). For a given structure, means lacking common letters are significantly different (p<0.05). WT, wild-type; GKO, global knock-out.

Supplemental Table S2. Cosinor analysis of P-ERK expression in the hypothalamus and

		Food ad libitum							Restricted feeding						
		WT			GKO			WT			GKO				
		Mean	SEM	р	Mean	SEM	р	Mean	SEM	р	Mean	SEM	p		
VMH	а	146.4	5.3	<0.001	144.6	5.2	<0.001	147.5	4.8	<0.001	144.6	5.3	<0.001		
	b	4.0	7.5	NS	14.3	7.2	NS	10.2	6.9	NS	13.4	7.5	NS		
	c														
ARC	a	166.4	3.8	< 0.001	164.1	4.5	< 0.001	170.9	2.3	< 0.001	167.5	3.4	<0.001		
	b	13.8	5.4	0.02	13.9	6.3	0.04	8.5	2.8	0.01	7.3	4.8	NS		
	с	ZT13.5 ^a	1.5	< 0.001	ZT7.2 ^b	1.7	< 0.001	ZT5.2 ^b	1.7	< 0.001					
PVN	а	162.4	3.1	<0.001	165.7	3.0	<0.001	161.2	1.9	< 0.001	155.9	4.9	<0.001		
	b	6.4	4.3	NS	2.1	4.2	NS	5.4	2.4	0.04	14.5	6.9	NS		
	с							ZT6.1	2.1	< 0.001					
DMH	а	143.2	6.0	<0.001	146.3	4.8	<0.001	138.4	6.8	<0.001	135.9	6.4	<0.001		
	b	12.4	8.7	NS	11.3	6.7	NS	12.6	9.0	NS	18.0	9.0	NS		
	с														
SCN	а	160.8	4.7	<0.001	155.4	2.3	<0.001	152.1	3.3	< 0.001	146.3	4.0	<0.001		
	b	6.8	6.4	NS	5.5	3.2	NS	18.6	4.3	< 0.001	9.2	5.6	NS		
	c							ZT7.8	1.1	< 0.001					
CRB	a	50.6	2.9	< 0.001	54.1	4.0	< 0.001	57.5	3.5	<0.001	61.5	4.1	<0.001		
	b	22.0	4.0	< 0.001	14.9	5.6	0.02	6.2	5.0	NS	8.0	6.6	NS		
	с	ZT23.3 ^a	0.7	< 0.001	ZT21.5 ^b	1.4	< 0.001								

cerebellum of WT and *Rev-erba* GKO mice.

The table S2 shows the three parameters of cosinor regression, including a the mean level, b the amplitude, and c the acrophase of the rhythm (see Methods). For the acrophase, the reference time is Zeitgeber (ZT) 0 (i.e., lights on). NS, non-significant amplitude, making the whole regression not statistically significant (shown by italicized characters; See Methods). For a given structure, the acrophases (c) were compared respectively with t-tests or ANOVA when there were 2 or more groups with significant regressions (c values are not shown when the amplitude was not significant). For a given structure, means lacking common letters are significantly different (p<0.05). WT, wild-type; GKO, global knock-out.