Supplemental Material

LIGAND BINDING ENSEMBLES DETERMINE GRADED AGONIST EFFICACIES AT A G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR

Andreas Bock^{1,§,*}, Marcel Bermudez^{2,§,*}, Fabian Krebs³, Carlo Matera⁴, Brian Chirinda³, Dominique Sydow², Clelia Dallanoce⁴, Ulrike Holzgrabe⁵, Marco De Amici⁴, Martin Lohse¹, Gerhard Wolber² and Klaus Mohr^{3,*}

¹ Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Würzburg, Versbacher Strasse 9, 97078 Würzburg, Germany

² Institute of Pharmacy, Freie Universität Berlin, Königin-Luise-Straße 2 und 4, 14195 Berlin, Germany

³ Pharmacology and Toxicology Section, Institute of Pharmacy, University of Bonn, Gerhard-Domagk-Straße 3, 53121 Bonn, Germany

⁴ Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Sezione di Chimica Farmaceutica "Pietro Pratesi", Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Mangiagalli 25, 20133 Milan, Italy

⁵ Institute of Pharmacy, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

[§] These authors contributed equally to this work

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1: Synthesis of iper-rigid-naph. a) CH₃CN, reflux, 18 h.

Syntheses and analyses of chemical probes

Melting points were determined on a model B 540 Büchi or a Sanyo Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. TLC analyses were performed on commercial silica gel 60 F254 aluminum sheets; spots were further evidenced by an alkaline aqueous solution of potassium permanganate or an ethanol solution of phosphomolybdic acid. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were recorded with a Varian Mercury 300 (¹H, 300.063 MHz; ¹³C, 75.451 MHz) spectrometer. The specific NMR assignments were unambiguously determined by using typical techniques including polarization transfer experiments (DEPT) and two-dimensional experiments, e.g. ¹H-¹H correlation (COSY) and ¹H-¹³C-proton-carbon heteronuclear correlation (HMQC, HMBC). Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm and coupling constants (*J*) in Hertz. Abbreviations for data quoted are: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. ESI mass spectra of the new compounds were recorded on a Varian 320 LC-MS/MS instrument. Data are reported as mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of the corresponding positively charged molecular ions.

1,4-Bis-(3-bromo-1-prop-1yn-1y)benzene 1 was prepared following a published protocol (1). Tertiary amine 2 (2) and dimethylamino- Δ^2 -isoxazoline 4 (3,4) were synthesized according to known procedures. Intermediate 3 was obtained in 87% yield by refluxing an acetonitrile solution containing 2 and a five-fold excess of dibromo-derivative 1. Treatment of 3 with 4 in similar experimental conditions provided the dualsteric muscarinic ligand 5 (iper-rigid-naph) in 71% yield (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

3-(4-(3-Bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl)-*N*-(3-(1,3-dioxo-1*H*-benzo[de]isoquinolin-2(3*H*)-yl)-2,2dimethylpropyl)-*N*,*N*-dimethylprop-2-yn-1-aminium Bromide [3]



A solution of 1,4-bis(3-bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl)benzene **1** (2.63 g, 8.44 mmol) and 2-(3-(dimethylamino)-2,2-dimethylpropyl)-1*H*-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2*H*)-dione **2** (524 mg, 1.69 mmol) in acetonitrile (35 mL) was refluxed for 18 h in a sealed glass reaction vessel (TLC in dichloromethane/methanol 9:1). The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool down to room temperature. After removing the clear supernatant containing most of the excess reagent, the precipitate was recrystallized twice from acetonitrile/diethyl ether to afford the desired product as a colorless solid (918 mg, 87% yield). Mp: 199-202 °C dec. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 8.48 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H, napht.), 8.36 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 2H, napth.), 7.78 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.3 Hz, 2H, napht.), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H, arom.), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, arom.), 4.66 (s, 2H, =C-CH₂Br), 4.36 (s, 2H, =C-CH₂N⁺), 4.26 (s, 2H, C-CH₂N⁺), 3.71 (s, 2H, C-CH₂N(napht.)), 3.40 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂N⁺), 1.44 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂C). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 166.71 (C=O, napht.), 135.83 (napht.), 133.09 (napht.), 132.74 (arom.), 132.56 (napht.), 129.17 (napht.), 128.23 (napth.), 125.09 (arom.), 123.34 (napht.), 121.59 (arom.), 92.75 (=C-CH₂), 88.63 (=C-CH₂), 86.08 (=C-Ar), 79.47 (=C-Ar), 73.10 (C-<u>C</u>H₂N⁺), 58.71 (C-<u>C</u>H₂N(napht.)), 53.91 (\equiv C-<u>C</u>H₂N⁺), 50.79 ((<u>C</u>H₃)₂N⁺), 40.53 ((<u>C</u>H₃)₂C), 26.01 ((CH₃)₂<u>C</u>), 15.24 (\equiv C-<u>C</u>H₂Br). MS (ESI) *m*/*z* [M]⁺ Calcd for C₃₁H₃₀BrN₂O₂⁺: 541.15. Found: 541.2.

4-((4,5-Dihydroisoxazol-3-yl)oxy)-*N*-(3-(4-(3-((3-((3-((3-(1,3-dioxo-1*H*-benzo[de]isoquinolin-2(3*H*)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropyl)dimethylammonio)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-*N*,*N*-dimethylbut-2-yn-1-aminium Dibromide [5]



A solution of 4 (157 mg, 0.86 mmol) and 3 (448 mg, 0.72 mmol) in acetonitrile (7 mL) was refluxed for 18 h in a sealed glass reaction vessel (TLC in dichloromethane/methanol 9:1). The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool down to room temperature. After removing the clear supernatant, the yellowish precipitate was recrystallized from acetonitrile/diethyl ether to afford 5 (iper-rigid-naph) as a light yellow hygroscopic solid (411 mg, 71% yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 8.54 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 2H, napht.), 8.38 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.0 Hz, 2H, napht.), 7.81 (dd, J = 8.3, 7.3 Hz, 2H, 1.0 Hz, 1.napht.), 7.48-7.41 (m, 4H, arom.), 4.96 (s, 2H, -OCH₂-C=), 4.74 (s, 2H, =C-CH₂N⁺), 4.70 (s, 2H, =C- CH_2N^+), 4.58 (s, 2H, $\equiv C-CH_2N^+$), 4.40 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H, $-OCH_2$ -, isox.), 4.32 (s, 2H, $C-CH_2N^+$), 3.74 (s, 2H, C-CH₂N(napht.)), 3.42 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂N⁺), 3.36 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂N⁺), 3.03 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H, -CH₂-, isox.), 1.43 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂C). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 168.74 (-C=N-, isox.), 166.66 (C=O, napht.), 135.80 (napth.), 133.28 (napht.), 133.08 (arom.), 133.05 (arom.), 132.56 (napht.), 129.16 (napht.), 128.26 (napht.), 123.36 (napht.), 123.15 (arom.), 122.99 (arom.), 92.37 (≡C-CH₂), 91.99 (≡<u>C</u>-CH₂), 88.42 (≡<u>C</u>-CH₂), 80.10 (≡<u>C</u>-CH₂), 79.51 (≡<u>C</u>-Ar), 76.37 (≡<u>C</u>-Ar), 73.36 (C-<u>CH</u>₂N⁺), 71.21 (-OCH₂-, isox.), 58.90 (C-<u>C</u>H₂N(napht.)), 58.33 (=C-<u>C</u>H₂N⁺), 55.84 (=C-<u>C</u>H₂N⁺), $55.37 (\equiv C-CH_2N^+)$, $53.88 ((CH_3)_2N^+)$, $51.14 ((CH_3)_2N^+)$, $50.54 (-OCH_2-C\equiv)$, $40.50 ((CH_3)_2C)$, $33.66 (-CH_2-C\equiv)$ CH₂-, isox.), 26.15 ((CH₃)₂C). MS (ESI) m/z [M]²⁺ Calcd for C₄₀H₄₄N₄O₄²⁺: 322.17. Found: 322.3.

Supplementary References

- Werner, C., Hopf, H., Dix, I., Bubenitschek, P., and Jones, P. G. (2007) 1,xelimination reactions: extending the limits of a classical organic reaction. *Chemistry* 13, 9462-9477
- 2. Muth, M., Bender, W., Scharfenstein, O., Holzgrabe, U., Balatkova, E., Trankle, C., and Mohr, K. (2003) Systematic development of high affinity bis(ammonio)alkanetype allosteric enhancers of muscarinic ligand binding. *J. Med. Chem.* **46**, 1031-1040
- Dallanoce, C., Conti, P., De Amici, M., De Micheli, C., Barocelli, E., Chiavarini, M., Ballabeni, V., Bertoni, S., and Impicciatore, M. (1999) Synthesis and functional characterization of novel derivatives related to oxotremorine and oxotremorine-M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 7, 1539-1547
- 4. Kloeckner, J., Schmitz, J. & Holzgrabe, U. (2010) Convergent, short synthesis of the muscarinic superagonist iperoxo. *Tetrahedr. Lett.* **51**, 3470-3472