Supplemental Table 1

Adjusted random effect multinomial regression models for self-reported diarrhea and vomiting among students and risk factors in Monastic schools in Myanmar using student-to-facility ratios to measure toilet and handwash station availability (N = 1, 659)

Factor	Diarrhea only $(N = 204)$		Vomiting only $(N = 200)$		Diarrhea and vomiting $(N = 179)$	
	ARR (95% CI)	P value	ARR (95% CI)	P value	ARR (95% CI)	P value
Student level						
Student characteris	stics					
Sex						
Male	1.18 (0.86–1.62)	0.30	1.06 (0.77–1.46)	0.73	1.05 (0.75–1.48)	0.77
Female	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Grade						
4	1.46 (1.07–2.00)	0.02	2.13 (1.54–2.94)	< 0.01	1.58 (1.13–2.21)	0.01
5	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Hygiene behavior						
Poor handwashir	2					
Yes	1.01 (0.66–1.57)	0.95	1.06 (0.69–1.62)	0.80	1.34 (0.87–2.08)	0.185
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
	ng after toilet use					
Yes	1.01 (0.65–1.56)	0.98	1.24 (0.81–1.89)	0.32	1.03 (0.66–1.61)	0.91
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Inconsistent toile		. =.	1.2.1 (0.0.1.1.0.1)			
Yes	1.07 (0.76–1.52)	0.70	1.34 (0.94–1.91)	0.11	1.37 (0.95–1.99)	0.09
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Poor hygiene ed		0.72	0.06 (0.50 4.56)	0.00	4.47 (0.70 4.04)	0.50
Yes	0.91 (0.56–1.50)	0.72	0.96 (0.59–1.56)	0.88	1.17 (0.72–1.91)	0.52
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
School level						
Student-to-toilet			D · f		D · f	
< 51:1	Ref.	. 0.01	Ref.	0.20	Ref.	0.02
51:1–100:1 > 100:1	0.45 (0.26-0.78) 0.48 (0.24-0.97)	$< 0.01 \\ 0.04$	0.70 (0.40–1.20) 0.70 (0.35–1.40)	0.20 0.32	0.49 (0.27–0.89) 1.07 (0.52–2.18)	0.02 0.86
	0.46 (0.24-0.97)	0.04	0.70 (0.33–1.40)	0.52	1.07 (0.32–2.18)	0.80
Type of toilet Pour flush						
Yes	0.71 (0.42–1.20)	0.20	0.87 (0.51–1.48)	0.61	0.74 (0.43–1.29)	0.29
No	0.71 (0.42–1.20) Ref.	0.20	0.67 (0.51–1.46) Ref.	0.01	Ref.	0.29
Latrine	RCI.		RCI.		RCI.	
Yes	0.90 (0.54–1.49)	0.68	1.16 (0.70–1.92)	0.56	1.29 (0.76–2.19)	0.35
No	0.90 (0.94–1.49) Ref.	0.00	Ref.	0.50	Ref.	0.55
Septic tank	Ref.		RCI.		RCI.	
Yes	0.59 (0.37-0.95)	0.03	0.69 (0.43–1.19)	0.14	0.58 (0.35-0.95)	0.03
No	Ref.	0.03	Ref.	0.14	Ref.	0.03
Unclean toilets	11011		Tto:		11011	
Yes	0.72 (0.43–1.20)	0.72	0.82 (0.49–1.36)	0.44	0.60 (0.35-1.01)	0.05
No	Ref.	0.72	Ref.	0	Ref.	0.00
No separate toile			11011		11011	
Yes	0.86 (0.51–1.45)	0.57	1.22 (0.72-2.05)	0.46	0.45 (0.25-0.80)	< 0.01
No	Ref.	0.07	Ref.	00	Ref.	(0.02
Handwashing facili						
Student-to-handy	wash station ratio†					
< 51:1	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
51:1-150:1	0.86 (0.48–1.53)	0.61	1.16 (0.65–2.06)	0.62	0.83 (0.46–1.50)	0.54
> 151:1	1.96 (1.02–3.75)	0.04	1.71 (0.89–3.30)	0.11	1.61 (0.81–3.18)	0.17
Insufficient soap	available		*		. ,	
Yes	0.78 (0.48–1.27)	0.32	0.93 (0.57-1.52)	0.76	0.76 (0.46–1.26)	0.28
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Insufficient water	r available					
Yes	0.48 (0.15–1.50)	0.21	0.41 (0.13-1.34)	0.14	2.47 (0.91-6.65)	0.08
No	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	

ARR = adjusted relative risk ratio; CI = confidence interval.

*Student-to-toilet ratio = number of students at the school to the number of student toilets at the school.

†Student-to-handwash station ratio = number of students at the school to the number of handwash stations for students at the school.