Supporting Information

Real-Time Biological Annotation of Synthetic Compounds

Christopher J. Gerry,^{†,‡} Bruce K. Hua,^{†,‡} Mathias J. Wawer,[‡] Jonathan P. Knowles,[¶] Shawn D. Nelson Jr.,^{†,‡} Oscar Verho,^{†,‡} Sivaraman Dandapani,[‡] Bridget K. Wagner,[‡] Paul A. Clemons,[‡] Kevin I. Booker-Milburn,[¶] Zarko V. Boskovic,^{†,‡,*} Stuart L. Schreiber^{†,‡,§,*}

Affiliations:

[†]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

*Center for the Science of Therapeutics and [§]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Broad Institute, 415 Main Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, United States

School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, United Kingdom

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

stuart_schreiber@harvard.edu

zarko@broadinstitute.org

Table of Contents

1. General Methods	S3
2. Chemistry Methods	S4
General Synthetic Procedures	S4
Scheme S1. Substrate preparation and overall scheme	S4
Table S1. Influence of solvent on thermal rearrangement	S4
Compounds	S5
Experimental procedures/summary of characterization data	S5
NMR spectra	S18
X-Ray Crystallography Data	S62
Scheme S2. Chemical derivatization of aziridine 5b to afford a regioisomeric mix crystalline amides 5b ' and 5b ''	ture of S62
Figure S1. Summary of X-ray diffraction data of amides 5b ' and 5b' '	S63
3. Biological Annotation Methods	S64
Multiplexed cellular morphology ("Cell Painting") imaging assay protocol	S64
Table S2. Stains used in cell-painting experiment	S64
Assay materials	S65
Assay timeline/overview	S65
4. Data Processing and Analysis	S66
Image analysis and data processing	S66
Biological profiles	S66
Compound activity calculation	S82
Principal moment-of-inertia (PMI) calculations	S82
Heat map generation and clustering	S82

1. General Methods

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from Acros Organics, Alfa Aesar, AstaTech, Oakwood Chemical, or Sigma-Aldrich. Chemicals were used without further purification.

Reaction progress was monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. TLC analyses were performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates (250 μ m). A handheld 254 nm UV lamp and potassium permanganate staining solution (with light heating) were used for detection.

Flash column chromatography was performed using a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash Rf+ purification system with RediSep Rf Gold Normal-Phase Silica columns (average particle size: 20 – 40 μ m; average pore size: 60 Å).

Known compounds were characterized by, at minimum, ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Novel synthetic intermediates and final compounds were characterized by, at minimum, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. Further NMR experiments were performed as needed to confirm structural assignment.

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker UltraShield 300 MHz and Ascend 400 MHz spectrometers. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are reported using residual non-deuterated solvent as an internal standard – CDCl₃: 7.26 (¹H), 77.16 (¹³C) ppm. Multiplicity abbreviations are as follows: s = singlet, br s = broad singlet, d = doublet (dd = doublet of doublets, etc.), t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet. All NMR data were collected at 25 °C. All deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories.

High-resolution mass spectra were acquired on an Agilent 1290 Infinity separations module coupled to a 6230 time-of-flight (TOF) mass detector operating in ESI+ mode. Masses were confirmed using the "Find by Formula" feature in MassHunter Qualitative Analysis vB.06.00. All values are averages of three independent measurements.

U-2 OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1X GlutaMAX, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PS) and maintained in a 37 °C, 5% CO₂ humidified incubator.

2. Chemistry Methods

General Synthetic Procedures

Scheme S1. Substrate preparation and overall scheme



*Thermal rearrangements were performed in CDCl₃ so reaction progress could be easily monitored via ¹H NMR spectroscopy. As seen in Table S1, this transformation proceeds in comparable yields in several different solvents; CDCl₃ was simply the most convenient.

Table S1. Influence of solvent on thermal rearrangement



General Procedure A: Tosylation

To a flame-dried round-bottom flask under an atmosphere of N_2 was added tosyl chloride (1.1 equiv.), DMAP (0.15 equiv.), anhydrous DCM (0.2 M), anhydrous NEt₃ (2 equiv.), and the corresponding homoallylic alcohol (1 equiv.). The resulting light yellow solution turned cloudy after 5-10 minutes and was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction was quenched with H₂O and diluted with hexanes. The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed twice with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude yellow oil was purified via flash column chromatography to afford the desired tosylate.

General Procedure B: Pyrrole alkylation

To a solution of the corresponding pyrrole (1 equiv.) in anhydrous DMF (0.4 M) was added the corresponding tosylate (1.6 equiv.) and cesium carbonate (2.3 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at 85 °C for 16 hours, at which point the reaction vessel was allowed to cool to room temperature and its contents were partitioned between Et_2O and water. The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed an additional 3 times with water, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via flash column chromatography to afford the desired alkylated pyrrole.

General Procedure C: Photochemical rearrangement of *N*-substituted pyrroles

To a quartz round-bottom flask was added the corresponding pyrrole and acetonitrile (5 mM), and the resulting colorless solution was sparged with N_2 for 30 minutes. The reaction flask was then placed in a Rayonet photochemical reactor outfitted with a cooling fan and eight low pressure 254 nm (4 W) Hg lamps. The reaction mixture was irradiated until TLC analysis revealed that the reaction had gone to completion (usually 3-12 hours). The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the crude oil was purified via flash column chromatography to afford the desired tricyclic aziridine.

General Procedure D: Thermal rearrangement of tricyclic aziridines

To a 1 dram vial was added the corresponding aziridine and $CDCI_3$ (0.1 M). The vial was tightly capped and placed in a heating block raised to 70 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir until ¹H NMR analysis indicated that the reaction had gone to completion (usually 24-48 hours). The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the crude oil was either filtered through activated charcoal or purified via flash column chromatography to afford the desired imine/amine.



But-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

General procedure A was followed using but-3-en-1-ol (335 mg, 4.64 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (1.04 g, 99% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.67 (ddt, *J* = 17.1, 10.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.11 – 5.07 (m, 1H), 5.06 – 5.04 (m, 1H), 4.06 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.40 (qt, *J* = 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H). Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.¹



(±) 2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

General procedure A was followed using 2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (585 mg, 6.79 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (1.53 g, 94% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.63 (ddd, *J* = 17.3, 10.4, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08 – 5.01 (m, 2H), 3.92 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.56 – 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.²



3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

General procedure A was followed using 3-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (597 mg, 6.79 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (1.52 g, 91% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.77 (br s, 1H), 4.66 (br s, 1H), 4.11 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.33 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (s, 3H). Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.³



1-(1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (1a)

General procedure B was followed using 2-acetyl-1H-pyrrole (678 mg, 6.21 mmol) and but-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (2.25 g, 9.94 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (950 mg, 94% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.84 – 6.80 (m, 1H), 6.10 (dd, J = 4.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.06 – 4.98 (m, 2H), 4.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.50 – 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.2, 134.7, 130.3, 130.0, 120.4, 117.2, 107.9, 49.3, 35.8, 27.3. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₃NO – calculated: 163.0997, found: 163.0999. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) 1-(1-(2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (2a)

General procedure B was followed using 2-acetyl-1H-pyrrole (227 mg, 2.08 mmol) and 2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (800 mg, 3.33 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (234 mg, 64% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.82 – 6.76 (m, 1H), 6.08 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (ddd, *J* = 16.9, 10.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.97 – 4.88 (m, 2H), 4.23 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 – 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.4, 140.8, 131.1, 130.2, 120.5, 114.9, 107.6, 55.3, 39.4, 27.4, 17.1. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1155.



1-(1-(3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (3a)

General procedure B was followed using 2-acetyl-1H-pyrrole (97 mg, 0.892 mmol) and 3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (343 mg, 1.43 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (145 mg, 92% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.84 – 6.79 (m, 1H), 6.09 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.77 – 4.74 (m, 1H), 4.68 – 4.61 (m, 1H), 4.44 – 4.35 (m, 2H), 2.43 – 2.38 (m, 5H), 1.74 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.1, 142.4, 130.2, 130.0, 120.3, 112.3, 107.9, 48.6, 39.6, 27.3, 22.5. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1153.



1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (4a)

General procedure B was followed using 1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (147 mg, 1.89 mmol) and but-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (684 mg, 3.02 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (249 mg, 90% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.83 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J = 4.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.6, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.10 – 5.03 (m, 2H), 4.09 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.59 – 2.52 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 133.4, 126.5, 120.1, 118.4, 114.0, 109.4, 103.6, 48.5, 35.5. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₉H₁₀N₂ – calculated: 146.0844, found: 146.0849. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) 1-(2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (5a)

General procedure B was followed using 1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (128 mg, 1.40 mmol) and 2methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (540 mg, 2.24 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (186 mg, 83% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.80 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (dd, J = 4.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.04 – 4.95 (m, 2H), 3.98 – 3.88 (m, 2H), 2.75 – 2.62 (m, 1H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.5, 127.0, 119.9, 116.1, 114.1, 109.3, 104.0, 54.4, 39.8, 17.2. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₂N₂ – calculated: 160.1000, found: 160.1002.



1-(3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (6a)

General procedure B was followed using 1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (164 mg, 1.78 mmol) and 3methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (684 mg, 2.85 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (276 mg, 97% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.83 (dd, *J* = 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.84 – 4.78 (m, 1H), 4.69 – 4.64 (m, 1H), 4.14 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.76 (s, 3H). **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₂N₂ – calculated: 160.1000, found: 160.0999. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁵



Ethyl 4-acetyl-1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (7a)

General procedure B was followed using ethyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (396 mg, 1.89 mmol) and but-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (684 mg, 3.02 mmol) to afford

the title compound as a colorless oil (474 mg, 95% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.73 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.08 – 4.99 (m, 2H), 4.32 – 4.23 (m, 4H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.42 – 2.34 (m, 5H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.1, 161.7, 139.3, 134.0, 129.1, 123.3, 119.5, 117.2, 59.9, 44.5, 34.9, 31.6, 14.3, 13.3, 12.1. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₅H₂₁NO₃ – calculated: 263.1521, found: 263.1527. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) Ethyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-1-(2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (8a)

General procedure B was followed using ethyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (184 mg, 0.881 mmol) and 2-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (340 mg, 1.41 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (214 mg, 88% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.62 (ddd, J = 17.4, 10.4, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.94 – 4.85 (m, 2H), 4.29 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (br s, 2H), 2.57 – 2.48 (m, 4H), 2.45 – 2.39 (m, 6H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.6, 162.2, 140.2, 140.0, 129.4, 123.5, 120.1, 115.3, 60.1, 50.1, 39.5, 32.0, 17.0, 14.5, 13.7, 12.9. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₆H₂₃NO₃ – calculated: 277.1678, found: 277.1683.



Ethyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-1-(3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (9a)

General procedure B was followed using ethyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (373 mg, 1.78 mmol) and 3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (684 mg, 3.02 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (483 mg, 98% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.73 – 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.38 – 4.27 (m, 4H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.36 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.37 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.5, 161.9, 142.2, 139.5, 129.2, 123.6, 119.8, 112.2, 60.1, 44.3, 38.7, 31.9, 22.7, 14.5, 13.6, 12.1. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₆H₂₃NO₃ – calculated: 277.1678, found: 277.1685.



1-(1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-one

To a flame-dried round-bottom flask under an atmosphere of N₂ was added 2,2,2-trichloro-1-(1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethanone (300 mg, 1 equiv.), triphenylphosphine (0.926 g, 2.5 equiv.), anhydrous THF (8 ml, 0.16 M), and but-3-en-1-ol (305 mg, 3 equiv.). The resulting colorless solution was cooled to 0 °C. Once cool, DIAD (0.686 ml, 2.5 equiv.) was added dropwise via syringe over the course of 30 minutes to afford a yellow solution. The ice bath was allowed to melt slowly and the reaction mixture stirred for 24 hours. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting yellow oil was partitioned between 25 mL Et₂O and 2 mL H₂O. The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with an additional 2 x 2 mL H₂O, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction product was purified via flash column chromatography (ISCO, Silica 24 g, 0-10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (230 mg, 61% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (dd, *J* = 4.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, *J* = 4.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (ddt, *J* = 16.9, 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08 – 5.02 (m, 2H), 4.39 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (qt, *J* = 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 2H). Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-N-(adamantan-1-ylmethyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxamide (10a)

To a solution of 1-(1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-one (140 mg, 0.525 mmol) in acetonitrile (1.0 mL, 0.42 M) was added triethylamine (0.110 mL, 0.788 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and adamantan-1-ylmethanamine (0.140 mL, 0.788 mmol, 1.5 equiv.). The resulting colorless solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 5 hours, during which time the reaction mixture turned bright orange. The reaction vial was then allowed to cool to room temperature and its contents were diluted with 75 mL hexanes, washed with 2 x 5 mL saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and 5 mL H₂O, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude light yellow oil was purified via flash column chromatography (ISCO, Silica 12g, 0-10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (160 mg, 97% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.75 (dd, J = 2.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (dd, J = 3.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (br s, 1H), 5.76 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.06 – 4.97 (m, 2H), 4.39 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.55 – 2.48 (m, 2H), 2.00 – 1.96 (m, 3H), 1.74 – 1.69 (m, 3H), 1.65 – 1.60 (m, 3H), 1.54 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.1, 135.1, 126.8, 125.6, 117.0, 111.3, 107.1, 50.6, 48.5, 40.4, 37.1, 36.3, 34.2, 28.4. HRMS (ESI+) C₂₀H₂₈N₂O – calculated: 312.2202, found: 312.2208.



(±) 1-((3¹R,3aS,6aS)-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indol-3¹(2H)-yl)ethan-1-one (1b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **1a** (230 mg, 1.40 mmol) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (149 mg, 65% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.18 (dddd, *J* = 10.0, 6.1, 2.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (dddd, *J* = 10.0, 4.1, 3.3, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (ddd, *J* = 9.7, 4.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.16 – 3.06 (m, 1H), 2.80 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 10.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.46 – 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.25 – 2.18 (m, 1H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.93 – 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.50 – 1.42 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.5, 135.7, 120.1, 59.5, 49.9, 44.0, 41.1, 31.9, 29.8, 24.3. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₃NO – calculated: 163.0997, found: 163.0998. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) 1-((3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-6a-methyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indol-3¹(2H)-yl)ethan-1one (2b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **2a** (158 mg, 0.891 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (81 mg, 51% yield, *d.r.* = 6:1). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.21 (dddd, *J* = 10.0, 6.0, 2.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (dddd, *J* = 10.0, 4.1, 3.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.83 – 2.78 (m, 2H), 2.76 – 2.71 (m, 2H), 2.29 – 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 2.01 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 0.99 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.6, 135.6, 119.7, 58.5, 56.8, 50.3, 43.6, 40.1, 29.2, 24.1, 22.7. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1153.



(±) 1-((3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-6a-methyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indol-3¹(2H)-yl)ethan-1one (3b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **3a** (131 mg, 0.739 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (63 mg, 48% yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.19 (ddd, J = 10.0, 6.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dddd, J = 10.0, 4.0, 3.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (td, J = 11.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dt, J = 12.1, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.33 – 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.13 – 2.02 (m, 4H), 1.88 (dd, J = 17.2, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.70 – 1.59 (m, 1H), 1.26 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.5, 136.1, 120.8, 59.7, 48.6, 48.2, 42.1, 42.0, 38.5, 27.6, 26.9. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1154.



(±) $(3^{1}R, 3aS, 6aS)$ -1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carbonitrile (4b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **4a** (241 mg, 1.65 mmol) to afford the title compound as an orange oily solid (67 mg, 28% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.25 – 6.16 (m, 1H), 5.77 (dtd, *J* = 10.2, 3.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (td, *J* = 11.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.97 – 2.91 (m, 1H), 2.85 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.74 – 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.47 (ddd, *J* = 11.7, 10.0, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.41 – 2.33 (m, 1H), 1.98 – 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.62 – 1.54 (m, 1H). **HRMS** (ESI+) C₉H₁₀N₂ – calculated: 146.0844, found: 146.0844. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) (1*S*,3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-1-methyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indole-3¹(2H)carbonitrile (5b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **5a** (234 mg, 1.46 mmol) to afford the title compound as a yellow-orange oil (98 mg, 42% yield, *d.r.* = 4:1). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.22 (dddd, *J* = 10.2, 6.1, 2.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (dddd, *J* = 10.2, 4.1, 3.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.89 – 2.77 (m, 2H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.43 – 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.13 – 1.95 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 134.6, 121.4, 119.2, 56.6, 51.9, 45.8, 42.8, 37.6, 28.4, 22.9. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₂N₂ – calculated: 160.1000, found: 160.1000.



(±) $(3^{1}R, 3aS, 6aS)$ -1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carbonitrile (6b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **6a** (200 mg, 1.25 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (58 mg, 29% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.22 (ddd, J = 10.1, 6.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (dtd, J = 10.1, 3.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (td, J = 11.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (ddd, J = 11.9, 9.8, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (dddd, J = 12.7, 11.1, 9.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.21 – 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.98 (dd, J = 17.6, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.3, 119.7, 119.4, 49.4, 48.9, 44.2, 43.3, 41.5, 37.2, 27.7. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₂N₂ – calculated: 160.1000, found: 160.1000.



(±) Ethyl (3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-4-acetyl-3a,5-dimethyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1-hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carboxylate (7b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **7a** (100 mg, 0.380 mmol) to afford the title compound as an orange oil (75 mg, 75% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.31 – 4.16 (m, 2H), 3.46 – 3.34 (m, 1H), 3.03 – 2.95 (m, 1H), 2.77 – 2.64 (m, 2H), 2.55 – 2.41 (m, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.90 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (ddt, *J* = 13.5, 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 203.3, 171.2, 144.1, 132.4, 61.3, 59.7, 51.6, 47.8, 38.8, 37.6, 36.9, 30.0, 21.8, 18.9, 14.5. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₅H₂₁NO₃ – calculated: 263.1521, found: 263.1526. Characterization data consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴



(±) Ethyl (1*S*,3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-4-acetyl-1,3a,5-trimethyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carboxylate (8b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **8a** (177 mg, 0.638 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (67 mg, 38% yield, *d.r.* > 10:1). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.22 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (ddd, *J* = 17.3, 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.49 – 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.95 – 1.83 (m, 5H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 203.4, 171.4, 143.7, 132.2, 61.3, 58.9, 58.7, 48.4, 47.3, 45.6, 36.8, 29.9, 23.0, 21.4, 18.9, 14.4. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₆H₂₃NO₃ – calculated: 277.1678, found: 277.1683.



(±) Ethyl (3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-4-acetyl-3a,5,6a-trimethyl-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carboxylate (9b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **9a** (151 mg, 0.544 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (62 mg, 41% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.31 – 4.16 (m, 2H), 3.39 (ddd, *J* = 12.7, 11.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.19 – 2.09 (m, 1H), 1.93 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.82 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (m, 1H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

203.4, 169.8, 145.2, 132.4, 62.8, 61.0, 50.2, 47.1, 46.8, 45.9, 42.7, 30.2, 26.0, 21.7, 20.3, 14.5. **HRMS** (ESI+) $C_{16}H_{23}NO_3$ – calculated: 277.1678, found: 277.1682.



(±) (3¹*R*,3a*S*,6a*S*)-N-(((3*S*)-adamantan-1-yl)methyl)-1,3a,6,6a-tetrahydroazirino[2,3,1hi]indole-3¹(2H)-carboxamide (10b)

General procedure C was followed using pyrrole **10a** (156 mg, 0.499 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oily solid (78 mg, 50% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.68 (br s, 1H), 6.23 (ddd, *J* = 10.1, 6.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (dt, *J* = 10.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.14 – 3.03 (m, 3H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.57 – 2.39 (m, 4H), 1.97 – 1.91 (m, 4H), 1.68 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 3H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 3H), 1.47 – 1.38 (m, 7H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 135.8, 120.0, 53.4, 50.4, 49.2, 44.8, 41.2, 40.4, 37.1, 34.2, 33.9, 30.2, 28.4. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₂₀H₂₈N₂O – calculated: 312.2202, found: 312.2207.



(±) 1-((3aS,7aR)-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indol-7a-yl)ethan-1-one (1c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **1b** (47 mg, 0.288 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (33 mg, 70% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (s, 1H), 6.03 (ddd, J = 10.1, 4.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dt, J = 10.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 – 2.64 (m, 2H), 2.36 (ddd, J = 16.7, 4.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.07 – 1.94 (m, 2H), 1.88 – 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.40 – 1.30 (m, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.6, 167.5, 131.9, 125.9, 86.7, 42.6, 35.1, 26.4, 24.9, 22.0. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₃NO – calculated: 163.0997, found: 163.0997.



(±) 1-((3S,3aS,7aS)-3-methyl-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indol-7a-yl)ethan-1-one (2c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **2b** (90 mg, 0.508 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (54 mg, 60% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (s, 1H), 5.94 (dt, *J* = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 5.82 – 5.77 (m, 1H), 2.76 – 2.67 (m, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.25 (dt, *J* = 9.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07 – 1.92 (m, 3H), 1.71 – 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.15 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.9, 172.4, 130.8, 125.7, 86.5, 47.3, 43.7, 26.5, 21.6, 21.1, 15.4. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1156.



(±) (3aS,7aS)-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-3H-indole (3c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **3b** (95 mg, 0.536 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (57 mg, 60% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68 (s, 1H), 5.90 (dt, *J* = 10.1, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (dt, *J* = 10.1, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.23 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.14 – 2.09 (m, 2H), 1.88 (dt, *J* = 13.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (dt, *J* = 13.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.6, 168.2, 128.6, 126.6, 86.6, 48.1, 42.5, 30.1, 29.7, 24.3, 21.6. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₁H₁₅NO – calculated: 177.1154, found: 177.1154.



(±) (3aS,7aR)-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indole-7a-carbonitrile (4c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **4b** (50 mg, 0.342 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (19 mg, 38% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (s, 1H), 6.09 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (ddd, *J* = 17.7, 8.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (tt, *J* = 8.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (ddd, *J* = 17.7, 4.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.09 – 2.03 (m, 2H), 1.88 (ddt, *J* = 13.7, 6.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (dddd, *J* = 14.0, 8.7, 7.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 132.5, 123.7, 120.6, 69.8, 42.5, 40.2, 23.4, 21.5. HRMS (ESI+) C₉H₁₀N₂ – calculated: 146.0844, found: 146.0843.



(±) (3S,3aS,7aS)-3-methyl-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indole-7a-carbonitrile (5c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **5b** (18 mg, 0.112 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (10 mg, 55% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (s, 1H), 6.00 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.84 – 2.74 (m, 1H), 2.45 – 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.10 – 1.98 (m, 3H), 1.75 – 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.26 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

174.8, 131.4, 123.8, 121.3, 69.8, 48.4, 47.3, 20.7, 20.7, 15.1. HRMS (ESI+) $C_{10}H_{12}N_2$ – calculated: 160.1000, found 160.1001.



(±) (3aS,7aS)-3a-methyl-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indole-7a-carbonitrile (6c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **6b** (21 mg, 0.131 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (14 mg, 67% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 5.99 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.14 – 2.06 (m, 2H), 1.73 – 1.62 (m, 2H), 1.33 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.6, 130.8, 124.3, 118.8, 74.4, 48.3, 42.3, 29.6, 24.8, 21.3. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₀H₁₂N₂ – calculated: 160.1000, found 160.1001.



(±) Ethyl (3aS,7aR)-6-acetyl-7-methyl-5-methylene-1,2,3,3a,4,5-hexahydro-7aH-indole-7acarboxylate (7c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **7b** (24 mg, 0.0911 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (18 mg, 75% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 4.21 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (dt, *J* = 10.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (ddd, *J* = 10.1, 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (ddt, *J* = 14.1, 4.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (br s, 1H), 2.44 – 2.33 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.88 (dtd, *J* = 12.6, 7.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.67 – 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.5, 175.0, 139.7, 137.3, 132.0, 112.5, 70.1, 61.8, 44.7, 42.6, 33.0, 31.1, 30.4, 15.6, 14.4. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₁₅H₂₁NO₃ – calculated: 263.1521, found 263.1526.



(±) Ethyl (3S,3aS,7aR)-6-acetyl-3,7-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,2,3,3a,4,5-hexahydro-7aHindole-7a-carboxylate (8c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **8b** (50 mg, 0.180 mmol) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil (22 mg, 44% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.92 (d, *J* = 2.1

Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.03 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (ddt, J = 14.6, 5.0, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (br s, 1H), 2.36 (dd, J = 14.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.98 – 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.77 (ddd, J = 10.4, 5.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C** NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.5, 175.1, 138.6, 136.9, 132.8, 112.2, 70.1, 61.8, 53.2, 49.8, 36.6, 31.1, 31.0, 16.2, 15.3, 14.4. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₆H₂₃NO₃ – calculated: 277.1678, found 277.1682.



(±) Ethyl (3aS,7aR)-6-acetyl-3a,7-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,2,3,3a,4,5-hexahydro-7aH-indole-7a-carboxylate (9c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **9b** (30 mg, 0.108 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (17 mg, 57% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.93 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (ddd, *J* = 10.3, 9.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dt, *J* = 14.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (br s, 1H), 2.34 – 2.28 (m, 4H), 1.89 (dt, *J* = 12.6, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.46 (ddd, *J* = 12.6, 8.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.8, 173.1, 139.1, 137.8, 133.3, 112.3, 74.0, 61.6, 44.0, 42.8, 40.1, 36.7, 31.1, 24.8, 15.6, 14.4. HRMS (ESI+) C₁₆H₂₃NO₃ – calculated: 277.1678, found 277.1682.



(±) (3aS,7aR)-N-(((3R,5R,7R)-adamantan-1-yl)methyl)-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-7aH-indole-7acarboxamide (10c)

General procedure D was followed using aziridine **10b** (35 mg, 0.112 mmol) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil (29 mg, 83% yield). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (s, 1H), 6.72 (br s, 1H), 5.98 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.75 – 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.48 – 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.22 – 2.13 (m, 1H), 2.09 – 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.69 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 3H), 1.53 – 1.44 (m, 7H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 168.0, 131.0, 127.1, 80.7, 50.8, 41.9, 40.4, 37.3, 37.1, 34.0, 28.3, 24.0, 21.0. **HRMS** (ESI+) C₂₀H₂₈N₂O – calculated: 312.2202, found 312.2205.



¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)





























¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 101 MHz)



S25

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz)





¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



 ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3, 101 MHz)







S30



¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 101 MHz)







S32



4b





^{210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10} fl (ppm)



¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 101 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)





¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 101 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹H COSY NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz)



DEPT-135 NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)







¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR (CDCl₃, 400/101 MHz)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)





¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)









¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



4c



¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)



¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz)











¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 f1 (ppm)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)







¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)

210 200 190 180

170 160 150



70 60 50 40 30

80

20 10 0

-10

140 130 120 110 100 90 f1 (ppm)





DEPT-135 NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)





¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)







¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz)



X-Ray Crystallography Data







Figure S1. Summary of X-ray diffraction data of amides 5b' and 5b"

Data deposited into the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC 1472245)

0.1183

1.129

Rw(F²):

S:

3. Biological Annotation Methods

Multiplexed cellular morphology ("Cell Painting") imaging assay protocol

We adapted our protocol from the one outlined by Gustafsdottir et al.⁶ and Wawer et al.⁷ 1,000-2,000 U-2 OS cells (ATCC, #HTB-96) were seeded in 50 μ L media per well in 384-well clear-bottom imaging plates. After incubating for 24 hours at 37 °C, compounds (6-point dose, 2-fold dilution, range: 3.125-100 μ M assay concentration) were pin-transferred to the assay plates via a CyBi-Well robot. Treatments were performed in quadruplicate. Following transfer, the cells were allowed to incubate at 37 °C for an additional 24 hours.

A 1 mM DMSO solution of MitoTracker Deep Red (Thermo Fisher, #M22426) and a 1 mg/mL dH₂O solution of Wheat Germ Agglutinin (WGA), Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #W11262) were prepared and combined in pre-warmed media to afford a staining solution (SS1) of 500 nM MitoTracker and 60 µg/mL WGA. After 40 µL of media were removed from each well of the assay plates (~10 µL remaining), 30 µL of SS1 were added to each well (~12 mL/plate). After incubating for 30 minutes at 37 °C, cells were fixed for 20 minutes at room temperature with 16% aqueous paraformaldehyde (10 µL/well). Wells were then washed with 70 µL 1X HBSS (Thermo Fisher, #14065-056 [as 10X]).

A 0.1% HBSS solution of Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, #T8787) was added to each well (30 μ L/well) to permeabilize the cells. After incubating for 15 minutes at room temperature, wells were washed with 70 μ L 1X HBSS.

A 1 mg/mL solution in 0.1 M aqueous sodium bicarbonate of Concanavalin A, Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #C11252) and a 1.5 mL/vial methanol solution of Phalloidin, Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #A12381) were combined with a 10 mg/mL aqueous solution of Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher, #H3570) and a 5 mM DMSO solution of SYTO 14 Green Fluorescent Nucleic Acid Stain (Thermo Fisher, #S7576) in 1X HBSS supplemented with 1% bovine serum albumin to afford a staining solution (SS2) of 0.025 mL Phalloidin/mL, 100 µg/mL Concanavalin, 5 µg/mL Hoechst, and 3 µM SYTO 14. 30 µL of SS2 were then added to each of the wells and the fixed cells were allowed to incubate at room temperature for 30 minutes. Wells were then washed with 70 µL 1X HBSS (no final aspiration) and the plates were thermally sealed with foil at 171 °C (4 seconds) for imaging.

We captured images on an ImageXpress Micro epifluorescent microscope at 20x magnification over 5 fluorescent channels: DAPI (387/447 nm), GFP (472/520 nm), Cy3 (531/593 nm), TexasRed (562/642 nm), and Cy5 (628/692 nm). 9 sites were imaged per well. The first site of each well was used for lased-based auto-focus (DAPI channel).

 Stain	Channel Name	Excitation/Emission Wavelength (nm)	Cellular Compartment(s)	
 Hoechst	DAPI	387/447	Nucleus	
Concanavalin A	GFP	472/520	Endoplasmic reticulum	
SYTO 14	Cy3	531/593	Nucleolus	
WGA	TexasRed	562/642	Golgi/plasma membrane	
Phalloidin	TexasRed	562/642	F-actin	
MitoTracker DR	Cy5	628/692	Mitochondria	

 Table S2. Stains used in cell-painting experiment

Assay materials

- U-2 OS cells (ATCC, #HTB-96)
- Aurora 384-well black/clear bottom plates, imaging quality (Brooks, #1022-11330)
- DMEM (Fisher Scientific, #MT10017CV)
- FBS (Thermo Fisher, #10437028)
- Penicillin/streptomycin (Fisher Scientific, #MT30002CI)
- Hank's Balanced Salt Solution, HBSS (Thermo Fisher, #14065-056)
- MitoTracker Deep Red (Thermo Fisher, #M22426)
- Wheat Germ Agglutinin, Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #W11262)
- Concanavalin A, Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #C11252)
- Phalloidin, Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (Thermo Fisher, #A12381)
- Hoechst33342 (Thermo Fisher, #H3570)
- SYTO 14 green fluorescent nucleic acid stain (Thermo Fisher, #S7576)
- Paraformaldehyde 16%, methanol free (Electron Microscopy Sciences, #15710-S)
- Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, #T8787)
- Bovine serum albumin
- DMSO (Molecular Biology grade)
- Methanol
- Sodium bicarbonate
- ImageXpress Micro (Molecular Devices)

Assay timeline/overview

Day One	 Plate cells at 1,000-2,000 cells/50 µL per well (~30,000 cells/mL) in DMEM/10% FBS/1% PS Grow overnight at 37 °C
Day Two	 Prepare reagents and stock solutions Pin compounds Treat for 24 hours at 37 °C
Day Three	 Prepare SS1 and SS2 Remove media from assay plates and add SS1; incubate for 30 minutes at 37 °C Fix cells for 20 minutes at room temperature Wash; permeabilize cells for 15 minutes at room temperature Wash and add SS2; incubate for 30 minutes at room temperature Wash (no final aspiration) and seal plates Capture images (several hours per plate)

4. Data Processing and Analysis

Image analysis and data processing

We used the CellProfiler⁸ software pipelines⁹ provided by the Broad Institute Imaging Platform to process the raw images and obtain the morphological features used in subsequent analyses. First, the images were corrected for uneven illumination. Then, CellProfiler software (version 2.1.1) was used to locate and segment cells into nuclei and cytoplasm, after which the size, shape, texture, intensity, local density, and radial distribution were measured for nuclei, cytoplasm, and entire cells. To obtain profiles for each compound, these cell-morphology features of compound-treated cells were averaged per well and then normalized by calculating robust z-scores based on the population of individual DMSO-treated cells found on the same plate. That is, the feature values were subtracted by the median value of the DMSO-treated cells, and then divided by the median absolute deviation of the DMSO-treated population.

Biological profiles

The "spectra" provided below are graphical representations of the biological profiles (i.e., vector of z-scores corresponding to the 1,140 cellular features) for each compound treatment condition (e.g. **5c** at 50 μ M) compared to DMSO. Each point on the spectrum represents a single cellular feature and its associated z-score (depicted by its y-value). Adjacent points are connected by a line for ease of viewing. Each replicate (4 per treatment condition) is represented by a different color (orange, blue, green, and gray).









cellular feature



cellular feature




cellular feature





cellular feature





cellular feature



cellular feature





cellular feature



S81

Compound activity calculation

To determine the compound activities, we calculated the Mahalanobis distances of each compound profile from the associated populations of vehicle-treated well profiles. The profiles for all replicates of a compound were first combined with the corresponding DMSO-control wells into a matrix of dimensions $m \ge n$, where the rows m represent the individual wells and the columns n represent the profiling features. Principal component analysis was performed on this matrix to obtain a new matrix P with the principal components as the columns. For each of these matrices, the first q principal components were taken that were capable of explaining at least 90% of the variance. This matrix P was separated into treatment and control matrices and for each part a covariance matrix was calculated. Each of the two covariance matrices (treatment and control) was weighted by the number of samples in each matrix, and the sum of the resulting matrices was used to calculate the Mahalanobis distance.

Principal moment-of-inertia (PMI) calculations

To calculate the principal moments of inertia, we first used Pipeline Pilot (version 8.5)¹⁰ to determine the most stable conformations (up to 5 each) for each of the 30 compounds using Dreiding force field for conformer calculation (ChemAxon 3D Conformers plugin).¹¹ The PMIs were then calculated using the R package "Rcpi" (version 1.4.0)¹² and the values were ordered from smallest to greatest as I₁, I₂, and I₃. The ratios I₁/I₃ and I₂/I₃ were taken as the coordinates of the PMI plot.

Heat map generation and clustering

The heat map was generated using the pairwise Pearson correlations between each compound profile-compound profile pair. The profile for each compound was taken to be the average of each of its doses for all replicates. The correlation distance between each pair of compounds was taken to be (1 – Pearson coefficient). These distances were used to hierarchically cluster the compounds (using complete linkage) to provide the dendrograms shown on the correlation heat map.

Supplementary References

- (1) Heaps, N. A.; Poulter, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1838.
- (2) Shaw, M. H.; McCreanor, N. G.; Whittingham, W. G.; Bower, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 463.
- (3) Ghosh, A. K.; Nicponski, D. R. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4328.
- (4) Maskill, K. G.; Knowles, J. P.; Elliott, L. D.; Alder, R. W.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1499.
- (5) Watson, M. P.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12594.
- Gustafsdottir, S. M.; Ljosa, V.; Sokolnicki, K. L.; Wilson, J. A.; Walpita, D.; Kemp, M. M.; Seiler, K. P.; Carrel, H. A.; Golu, T. R.; Schreiber, S. L.; Clemons, P. A.; Carpenter, A. E.; Shamji, A. F. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, e80999.
- (7) Wawer, M. J.; Li, K.; Gustafsdottir, S. M.; Ljosa, V.; Bodycombe, N. E.; Marton, M. A.;

Sokolnicki, K. L.; Bray, M.-A.; Kemp, M. M.; Winchester, E.; Taylor, B.; Grant, G. B.; Hon, C. S.-Y.; Duvall, J. R.; Wilson, J. A.; Bittker, J. A.; Dančík, V.; Narayan, R.; Subramanian, A.; Winckler, W.; Golub, T. R.; Carpenter, A. E.; Shamji, A. F.; Schreiber, S. L.; Clemons, P. A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**, *111*, 10911.

- (8) Kamentsky, L.; Jones, T. R.; Fraser, A.; Bray, M. A.; Logan, D. J.; Madden, K. L.; Ljosa, V.; Rueden, C.; Eliceiri, K. W.; Carpenter, A. E. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 1179.
- (9) Bray, M.-A.; Singh, S.; Han, H.; Davis, C. T.; Borgeson, B.; Hartland, C.; Kost-Alimova, M.; Gustafsdottir, S. M.; Gibson, C. C.; Carpenter, A. E. *Nat. Protoc.* (accepted)
- (10) http://accelrys.com/products/collaborative-science.
- (11) https://www.chemaxon.com/products/calculator-plugins/molecular-modelling/.
- (12) Cao, D. S.; Xiao, N.; Xu, Q. S.; Chen, A. F. *Bioinformatics* **2015**, *31*, 279.