# **Science** Advances

#### AAAS

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2/8/e1600633/DC1

### Supplementary Materials for

## Genomic analysis reveals hidden biodiversity within colugos, the sister group to primates

Victor C. Mason, Gang Li, Patrick Minx, Jürgen Schmitz, Gennady Churakov, Liliya Doronina, Amanda D. Melin, Nathaniel J. Dominy, Norman T.-L. Lim, Mark S. Springer, Richard K. Wilson, Wesley C. Warren, Kristofer M. Helgen, William J. Murphy

Published 10 August 2016, *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1600633 (2016) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1600633

#### The PDF file includes:

- fig. S1. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Euarchontoglires based on an alignment of 631 orthologous protein-coding sequences.
- fig. S2. Insertion-deletion evidence for colugo phylogenetic relationships based on transposons.
- fig. S3. Description of the transposon screening strategy.
- fig. S4. Phylogenetically informative protein-coding gene indels supporting Primatomorpha (P1 to P15), Sundatheria (S1 to S5), and Primates + Scandentia (PS1 to PS5).
- fig. S5. Maximum likelihood timetree showing seven nodes with external fossil calibrations.
- fig. S6. Maximum likelihood timetree showing seven nodes with external fossil calibrations, with euarchontan monophyly enforced.
- fig. S7. Maximum likelihood mtDNA tree based on a matrix of 53 colugos with  $\geq$ 90% mitogenome coverage.
- fig. S8. Maximum likelihood mtDNA tree based on a matrix of 65 colugos with  $\geq$ 30% mitogenome coverage.
- fig. S9. Maximum likelihood tree based on a combined nuclear (biparental + Y) matrix of 17 taxa.
- fig. S10. Maximum likelihood tree based on biparental data set (18 taxa).
- fig. S11. Maximum likelihood Y chromosome tree (depth 2) (15 taxa).
- fig. S12. Maximum likelihood Y chromosome tree (depth 3) (14 taxa).
- fig. S13. Biplot of PC1 and PC2 from PCA of colugo X chromosome variants.
- fig. S14. Biplot of PC2 and PC3 from PCA of colugo X chromosome variants.

- fig. S15. Biplot of PC3 and PC4 from PCA of colugo X chromosome variants.
- fig. S16. Biplot of PC4 and PC5 from PCA of colugo X chromosome variants.
- fig. S17. Biplot of PC5 and PC6 from PCA of colugo X chromosome variants.
- fig. S18. Biplot of morphometric PCA with males and females, and no dwarf specimens removed.
- fig. S19. Biplot of colugo morphometric PCA, with male, female, and dwarf specimens, where all measurements are normalized by body size.
- table S1. Mammals used in phylogenetic analyses.
- table S2. Presence/absence of phylogenetically informative retroposon markers and species distribution.
- table S3. Location of diagnostic retroposon markers in the human genome.
- table S4.  $\chi^2$  calculation for phylogenetically informative indels.
- table S5. Colugo and select mammal *V1R* and *OR* gene annotation summaries.
- table S6. Nuclear capture efficiency.
- table S7. Adapter blocking oligos.
- table S8. Off-target mtDNA assembly statistics.
- table S9. External fossil calibrations used to calculate divergence time between the two colugo genera.
- table S10. Principal component loadings for morphometric PCA using males and females with no dwarf individuals.
- table S11. Principal component loadings for morphometric PCA using males, females, and dwarf individuals, and all measurements were normalized for body size.
- table S12. mtDNA maximum composite likelihood +  $\Gamma$  distance matrix calculated between seven Sunda colugo groups and five Philippine groups.
- table S13. X chromosome maximum composite likelihood +  $\Gamma$  distance matrix calculated between seven Sunda colugo groups and three Philippine groups.
- table S14. Between-group mtDNA composite likelihood + Γ distance matrix for Sunda colugos from Thailand, Peninsular Malaysia, and Sumatra, and their satellite islands.
- table S15. Within-group mtDNA maximum composite likelihood +  $\Gamma$  distance matrix.
- table S16. Between-group mtDNA composite likelihood + Γ distance matrix for 10 Sunda colugo groups and 5 Philippine groups.
- table S17. BPP species estimation results for Sunda colugos.
- table S18. BPP species estimation results for Philippine colugos.
- table S19. Principal component loadings for X chromosome genetic variants.
- Legends for database S1 to S7
- References (75–80)

#### Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:

(available at advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2/8/e1600633/DC1)

- database S1 (Microsoft Excel format). Dermopteran positively selected genes.
- database S2 (Microsoft Excel format). Dermopteran WebGestalt results.

- database S3 (Microsoft Excel format). Ancestral primate positively selected genes.
- database S4 (Microsoft Excel format). Ancestral primate WebGestalt results.
- database S5 (Microsoft Excel format). Museum specimen information.
- database S6 (Microsoft Excel format). Primers used to amplify nuclear DNA capture probes.
- database S7 (Microsoft Excel format). Craniodental morphometric measurements for 125 Sunda colugos.







**fig. S2. Phylogenetic tree of Euarchonta.** 1) The monophyly of Euarchonta (Scandentia, Dermoptera, and Primates) is supported by one MLT1A and four MSTD elements (*11*), and 2) the monophyly of primates is confirmed by synapomorphic retrotransposon insertions (*6*). The close relationship of primates and colugo is supported by 12 MLT1A (red) and 4 L1MA5/6 (green) elements. One MLT1A insertion supports a sister-group relationship of colugo and tree shrew (at the dotted line between the two). This conflicting pattern is likely the result of incomplete lineage sorting.



**fig. S3. Description of the retroposon insertion screening strategy.** The three possible phylogenetic scenarios for the relatedness of colugos are presented at the top. Scenario 1 describes a close relationship between the colugo and primates, the second places the treeshrew and colugo on one branch, and scenario 3 proposes a close relationship between the treeshrew and human. MLT1A (red), L1MA5/6 (green).

**fig. S4.** Phylogenetically informative indels supporting (P1-P15) Primatomorpha, (S1-S5) Sundatheria, and (PS1-PS5) Primates + Scandentia. (*Following pages*).



**fig. S5.** Time-tree showing seven external fossil calibration ranges as green boxes (table S9). Topology was based on the maximum likelihood 'best-tree'. The human/chimpanzee bifurcation (blue) node was left uncalibrated to serve as a control for terminal node age estimates that lack calibrations. Blue boxes are calculated 95% confidence intervals.



**fig. S6.** Time-tree with showing seven external fossil calibrations as green boxes (table S9). Euarchontan monophyly was enforced on the maximum likelihood 'best-tree' topology. The human/chimpanzee node (blue) was left to serve as a control for terminal node age estimates that lack calibrations. Blue boxes are calculated 95% confidence intervals.

#### a) mtDNA d3 >90% Genome Coverage



fig. S7. Maximum likelihood mtDNA tree with 1000 bootstrap iterations, 53 taxa, and greater than 90% mitogenome coverage. Labels for geographic area are next to sample numbers. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN (GVA\_71), Basilan=BAS (CVO\_21), Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

a) mtDNA d3 >30% Genome Coverage



fig. S8. Maximum likelihood mtDNA tree with 1000 bootstrap iterations 65 taxa, and greater than 30% mitogenome coverage. Labels for geographic area are next to sample numbers. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN (GVA\_71), Basilan=BAS (CVO\_21), Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

a) All Nuclear d3 > 40% Target Probe Coverage



**fig. S9. Maximum likelihood all-nuclear (biparental+Chr Y) tree with 1000 bootstrap iterations with sequence depth ≥3.** Labels for geographic area are next to sample numbers. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Mindanao=MIN, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

a) Biparental d3 > 40% Target Probe Coverage



**fig. S10. Maximum likelihood biparental locus tree with 1000 bootstrap iterations with minimum sequence depth** <u>>2</u>. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Mindanao=MIN, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

a) Y-Chromosome d2 >15% Probe Coverage



fig. S11. Maximum likelihood Y chromosome tree (minimum depth ≥2) with 1000 bootstrap iterations. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN, Basilan=BAS, Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.



fig. S12. Maximum likelihood Y chromosome tree (minimum depth  $\geq$  3) with 1000 bootstrap iterations. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Mindanao=MIN.



**fig. S13. Principal component analysis (PC1 versus PC2) of X chromosome variation in Sunda colugos.** Colors follow geographic clusters in Fig. 3.



**fig. S14. Principal component analysis (PC2 versus PC3) of X chromosome variation in Sunda colugos.** Colors follow geographic clusters in Fig. 3.



**fig. S15. Principal component analysis (PC3 versus PC4) of X chromosome variation in Sunda colugos.** Colors follow geographic clusters in Fig. 3.



**fig. S16. Principal component analysis (PC4 versus PC5) of X chromosome variation in Sunda colugos.** Colors follow geographic clusters in Fig. 3.



**fig. S17. Principal component analysis (PC5 versus PC6) of X chromosome variation in Sunda colugos.** Colors follow geographic clusters in Fig. 3. West Borneo (green) is well separated in this comparison.



**fig. S18. PCA analysis (singular value decomposition) of 19 craniodental measurements for male and female Sunda colugos.** Dwarf individuals were not included. Top: Red arrows are the 19 variable vectors. Bottom: same plot as above except the red arrows have been removed for clarity. Ellipses encircle colugos from different regions. Each point represents one individual. 45% of the variation is explained by PC1 and 29% by PC2.



fig. S19. PCA analysis (singular value decomposition) of 19 craniodental measurements for male and female Sunda colugos, with dwarf individuals included for comparison to fig. S13. Top: Red arrows are variable vectors. Bottom: same plot as above except the red arrows have been removed and the image enlarged for clarity. Each point is an individual. Red ellipse encircles East Bornean individuals. All of the craniodental measurements are normalized for body size. 44% of the variation is explained by PC1 and 20% by PC2.

Common Name	Species Name	Sequence Origin
Human	Homo sapiens	Ensembl v.79
Chimpanzee	Pan troglodytes	Ensembl v.79
Gorilla	Gorilla gorilla	Ensembl v.79
Orangutan	Pongo abelii	Ensembl v.79
Macaque	Macaca fascicularis	Ensembl v.79
Marmoset	Callithrix jacchus	Ensembl v.79
Bushbaby	Otolemur garnetti	Ensembl v.79
Sunda colugo	Galeopterus variegatus	G_variegatus-3.0.2
Philippine colugo	Cynocephalus volans	14x ref. assembly-this study
Chinese treeshrew	Tupaia belangeri chinensis	(53)
<b>Pen-tailed treeshrew</b>	Ptilocercus lowii	5x ref. assembly-this study
Rabbit	Oryctolagus cuniculus	Ensembl v.79
13-lined ground squirrel	Ictidomys tridecemlineatus	Ensembl v.79
Guinea Pig	Cavia porcellus	Ensembl v.79
Rat	Rattus rattus	Ensembl v.79
Mouse	Mus musculus	Ensembl v.79
Cow	Bos taurus	Ensembl v.79
Cat	Felis catus	Ensembl v.79
Dog	Canis familiaris	Ensembl v.79
African elephant	Loxodonta africana	Ensembl v.79

table S1. Eutherian mammals used in phylogenetic comparisons.

	1	2	3	4	56	61	74	280	288	346	348	398	408	450	576	582	252
		L11	MA							MI	LT1A/N	ASTD					
	A6	A6	A6	A6	D	1A0	D	D	1A0	D	D	D	D	D	D	1A0	D
Human	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+dup	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Chimp	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Baboon	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	n/s	+1/2	+	-
Rhesus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	n/s	+	+	n/a	+	+	-
Marmoset	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+I	n/a	+I	+	n/a	+	n/a	-
Tarsier	n/s	+	+	+I	+	+	+1/2	+	+1/2	+1/2	+	+1/2	+	+	+	+	n/a
Bushbaby	n/s	+	+	n/a	+	n/a	+1/2	+	n/a	+1/2	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-
Mouse lemur	+	+	+	+	n/a	+	+	+	+1/2	+	+	+	+1/2	+1/2	+	+1/2	-
Colugo	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Treeshrew	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+dup
Pika	n/a	d	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	-	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rabbit	-	-	d	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	n/a
Mouse	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	-	n/a	-	-	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
Kangaroo rat	-	n/s	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	-	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/s	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Guinea pig	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	-	d	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	d	n/a	-
Squirrel	n/s	n/s	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	n/s	-	-	n/s	n/a	n/s	n/s	n/a	n/a
Dog	n/a	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-
Cat	-	n/s	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/s	-	-	-	n/a	n/s	n/a	-	-	-	n/s	n/a
Megabat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/s	-	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-
Horse	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-
Elephant	n/a	n/a	-	-	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rock hyrax	-	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/s	-	-	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	n/s	-
Sloth	n/s	-	n/s	-	n/a	n/s	n/a	n/a	n/s	-	n/s	n/a	n/s	-	-	n/s	n/a
Armadillo	n/a	-	-	-	n/s	n/s	-	n/a	n/s	-	d	n/a	n/a	n/s	n/a	n/s	n/s
DRs	9 nt	11nt	11nt	-	7 nt	5 nt	4 nt	6 nt	5 nt	5 nt	-	-	5 nt				

table S2. Presence/absence of phylogenetically informative retroposon markers and species distribution.

n/s=sequence information not available (gaps, scaffold boundary), n/a=alignment not available, d= deletion in the region of insertion with part of flank (only for absence), +I=additional insertion, +1/2=only one flank is supported (only for presence), dup=duplicated loci.

Marker	Chr	Strand	From	То		Position	Gene	orthologous
1	4	+	169239940	169240432	L1MA6	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
2	2	-	157141497	157141918	L1MA6	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
3	8	+	121978322	121978810	L1MA6	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
4	3	-	194397033	194396619	L1MA6	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
56	1	+	57414697	57415317	MSTD	Intron	C8B	Gv+Hs
61	7	+	81125867	81126402	MLT1A0	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
74	8	+	78898876	78899419	MSTD	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
252c	5	+	17318796	17318992	MSTD	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Tb
280	3	+	85314313	85314946	MSTD	Intron	CADM2	Gv+Hs
288dup	2	+	112157798	112158410	MLT1A0	Intron	Loc541471	Gv+Hs
	2	-	87850105	87849493		Intron	RMND5A	
346	7	+	135186465	135187059	MSTD	Intron	CNOT4	Gv+Hs
348	10	+	20888530	20889206	MSTD	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
398	5	+	32721370	32722324	MSTD	Intron	NPR3	Gv+Hs
408	14	+	46562841	46563514	MSTD	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
450	1	+	176569974	176570619	MSTD	Intron	PAPPA2	Gv+Hs
576	1	+	215140155	215140814	MSTD	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs
582	5	+	27554295	27554924	MLT1A0	Intergenic	Not	Gv+Hs

table S3. Location of diagnostic retroposon markers in the human genome (GRCh37/hg19).

	Observed	Expected	chi-square	
Primatomorpha	19	9	11.1	
Sundatheria	4	9	2.8	
Primates+Tupaia	4	9	2.8	
Sum(values)	27	27	16.7	
<i>P</i> -value			0.000045	df = 1

table S4. Chi-square calculation for phylogenetically informative indels (43).

table S5. Size of Olfactory receptor (OR) and vomeronasal class 1 receptor (VIR) gene families found in colugo and 16 select mammalian genomes.

Node		OR gene far	nilies	V1R gene families			
	intact genes	partial/ pseudo genes	Repertoire size	intact genes	Partial/ pseudo genes	<b>Repertoire size</b>	
human	396	425	821	5	115	120	
orangutan	296	525	821	5	114	119	
rhesus	319	321	640	0	51	51	
marmoset	366	258	624	8	42	50	
galago	356	585	941	60	49	109	
mouse lemur	361	619	980	82	109	191	
colugo	518	1480	1998	46	107	153	
Chinese treeshrew	969	1396	2365	23	55	78	
mouse	1127	202	1329	187	121	308	
rat	1194	438	1632	106	66	172	
rabbit	751	278	1029	160	132	292	
cat	679	330	1009	21	47	68	
dog	811	246	1057	8	29	37	
horse	1063	1511	2574	36	47	83	
pig	1254	782	2036	8	28	36	
cow	1055	926	1981	40	24	64	
opossum	1157	300	1457	100	26	126	

**table S6. Nuclear capture efficiency and comparison of individual versus multiplex capture results.** Multiplex and Individual nuclear capture columns report the percentage of reads mapped to reference probe sequences after removal of single end and paired end duplicates and merging of overlapping read pairs. Indiv. / Multiplex column reports the relative fold-increase in efficiency when performing single individual capture compared to multiple individual capture.

Specimen	Multiplex	Individual	Indiv. /
	Nuclear	Nuclear	Multiplex
	Capture	Capture	
CVO_06	0.14	0.14	1.0
CVO_07	0.01	0.02	2.0
CVO_08	0.20	0.75	3.8
CVO_15	0.14	0.14	1.0
CVO_22	0.05	0.16	3.2
CVO_24	0.02	0.09	4.5
GVA_12	0.04	0.09	2.6
GVA_17	0.02	0.05	2.5
GVA_22	0.07	0.08	1.1
GVA_26	0.15	0.22	1.5
GVA_27	0.09	0.17	1.9
GVA_32	0.08	0.17	2.1
GVA_40	0.13	0.21	1.6
GVA_49	0.08	0.15	1.9
GVA_61	0.04	0.07	1.8
GVA_64	0.01	0.04	4.0
GVA_75	0.03	0.07	2.3
GVA_76	0.03	0.09	3.0
GVA_129	0.10	0.10	1.0
Average:	0.08	0.15	2.2

Adapter Blocked	Blocking Oligo Sequence
#1 Blocks P5:	5' TGTAGATCTCGGTGGTCGCCGTATCATT-P 3'
#2 Blocks Rd1 SP:	5' AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT-P 3'
#3 Blocks Rd2 SP:	5' GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATC-P 3'
#4 Blocks P7:	5' CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGAT-P 3'
#5 Blocks P5	5' AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACAC-P 3'
(Complement)	
#6 Blocks Rd1 SP	5' ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-P 3'
(Complement)	
<b>#7 Blocks Rd2 SP</b>	5' GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC-P 3'
(Complement)	
#8 Blocks P7	5' ATCTCGTATGCCGTCTTCTGCTTG-P 3'
(Complement)	

table S7. Adapter blocking oligos, modified slightly from (48).

Individual	Reads Mapped	Total Reads	Average Depth	% of Reference Bases Covered
CVO_06	1683	3315220	11.81	95.15
CVO 07	5683	9655652	36.07	96.64
 CVO_08	3758	6397053	30.13	95.93
CVO 10	4643	10610200	23.89	95.81
CVO 13	146	1740932	0.75	43.55
CVO 15	4587	8669257	24.08	96.85
CVO 21	2096	4369074	8 24	95.44
CVO_21	12524	10240636	64.23	99.40
CVO_22	647	9673217	4.67	80.58
$CVO_24$	5202	6220128	4.07	09.58
GVA_07	1050	0220128	39.03	98.83
GVA_09	1059	29808549	4.79	66.96
GVA_12	12411	14150951	81.37	99.95
GVA_16	6509	12224335	23.31	99.73
GVA_17	8125	6930959	54.78	99.97
GVA_18	6171	14485997	30.26	99.85
GVA_22	8263	3584858	21.87	99.70
GVA_27	364	1493420	1.41	73.37
GVA_28	650	7673989	2.12	82.41
GVA_32	2863	5050725	13.53	98.73
GVA_35s	2669	11384773	11.71	99.18
GVA_39s	13891	6333908	63.6	99.98
GVA_40	7273	8461912	41.68	99.55
GVA_45	16923	13081551	94.3	100.00
GVA_49	11390	5959804	91.28	27.50
GVA_55	/103	15265857	26.69	99.75
GVA_55	1392	1551730	9.12	97.92
GVA_62	4794	16809740	25.36	96.46
GVA_63	273	7911650	0.92	48.95
GVA_64	17615	11341089	79.11	99.99
GVA_69	2137	17168303	6.77	96.57
GVA_71	142	3077004	0.35	25.05
GVA_75	337	2478753	1.11	60.87
GVA_76	2314	9911491	7.18	99.05
GVA_78	36	5498695	0.12	10.72
GVA_106	64	4035807	0.25	18.01
GVA_115	1002	5719343	3.58	92.03
GVA_121	20	3982512	0.06	6.06
GVA_129	20842	12079124	162.86	99.99
GVA_133	570	5284258	2.36	76.68
GVA_134	3530	13585155	22.13	99.92
Mean:	4873	8617672	28.89	84.77
Median:	2863	7673989	21.87	96.85

table S8. mtDNA reference assembly statistics from off-target nuclear capture reads.

table S9. External fossil calibrations used to calculate the divergence time between colugo genera. In some cases original calibrations have been updated to correspond to revisions in the Stratigraphic Code.

Pair of Taxa	Lower Bound (mya)	Upper Bound (mya)	Reference
Dog-Cat (Canis-Felis)	38	66	75
Rabbit-Pika (Oryctolagus- Ochotona)	53.7	61.6	Minimum based on Ypresian tarsals of crown lagomorph (76) with age of 53.7 Ma (77); maximum following (75)
Macaque-Marmoset ( <i>Macaca-Callithrix</i> )	28.1	56	75
Macaque-Human ( <i>Macaca- Homo</i> )	20.55	38	75
Primates	56	66	78
Primatomorpha	65.2	83.8	Minimum based on <i>Purgatorius</i> (oldest crown fossil, (79); maximum based on stratigraphic bounding as in Meredith et al. (9) with two stages
Euarchontoglires- Laurasiatheria	65.2	131.5	Minimum based on <i>Purgatorius</i> (79); maximum based on Benton et al., (80)

	PC1	PC2	PC3
GSL	0.18932	-0.09443	0.17312
CBL	0.18564	-0.10606	0.19291
ONL	0.20692	-0.14089	0.21679
zyg	0.18509	-0.07422	0.22832
min.w.temps	0.57311	0.797471	-0.06760
P4.M3	0.17849	-0.15021	-0.11203
bbc	0.16389	-0.01663	0.28649
hbc	0.11709	-0.02596	0.06355
P4W	0.21061	-0.24898	-0.13606
M1W	0.24827	-0.16391	-0.21752
M2W	0.26188	-0.18617	-0.20261
M3W	0.22163	-0.16353	-0.10616
max.pal.w	0.22208	-0.09415	0.32784
diam.orbit.d.V.	0.15018	-0.01950	0.11652
palate.across.T2s	0.16431	-0.14817	0.09456
p4.m3	0.18205	-0.13435	-0.01946
mand.condyle.w	0.18609	-0.23571	0.30417
t1longL	0.16841	-0.12435	-0.51270
t2longL	0.18179	-0.15644	-0.35573

table S10. Morphometric principal component loadings for males and females with no dwarfs.

	PC1	PC2	PC3
GSL	-0.01663	0.017175	-0.00442
ONL	0.023339	-0.02825	-0.12767
zyg	-0.05148	0.062697	0.183351
min.w.temps	-0.96799	-0.15036	-0.07986
P4.M3	-0.04110	0.290691	0.060051
bbc	-0.11283	0.083246	0.14261
hbc	-0.10536	0.167393	0.146996
P4W	0.012156	0.372447	0.076299
M1W	-0.07394	0.385925	0.085834
M2W	-0.07768	0.382301	0.140197
M3W	-0.07258	0.381536	0.134362
max.pal.w	-0.04104	0.006042	0.125325
diam.orbitd.V.	-0.10677	0.127659	0.206659
palate.across.T2s	0.002943	0.120638	-0.04047
p4.m3	-0.04006	0.221703	0.073767
mand.condyle.w	0.04722	0.122476	0.325222
t1longL	-0.01239	0.285843	-0.73167
t2longL	-0.00268	0.311116	-0.37502

table S11. Morphometric principal component loadings for males and females (dwarfs included) after normalizing by body size.

table S12. Maximum mtDNA genetic divergence between seven Sunda colugo groups and five Philippine colugo groups, based on the composite likelihood + Γ genetic distance. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN, Basilan=BAS, Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

	PM THA SUM	W.BOR	JAVA	LTE/SAM	BOL	E.BOR	NE.BOR	E.MIN	W.MIN	LAOS	VNM
THA/PM/SU	Μ										
W.BOR	0.058										
JAVA	0.153	0.149									
LTE/SAM	0.216	0.210	0.220								
BOL	0.216	0.210	0.222	0.050							
E.BOR	0.150	0.146	0.121	0.216	0.216						
NE.BOR	0.144	0.141	0.121	0.211	0.212	0.070					
E.MIN	0.212	0.211	0.216	0.048	0.052	0.215	0.209				
W.MIN	0.211	0.209	0.216	0.050	0.053	0.214	0.207	0.035			
LAOS	0.080	0.076	0.151	0.210	0.209	0.145	0.141	0.210	0.207		
VNM	0.070	0.069	0.144	0.196	0.197	0.136	0.133	0.197	0.196	0.064	
DIN	0.209	0.201	0.215	0.032	0.041	0.212	0.208	0.041	0.040	0.202	0.190
					mean	stdev	min	max	median		
Av	erage Sund	aic Betwee	en Group	Divergence	0.117238	0.035714	0.058	0.153	0.136		
Aver	age Philipp	ine Betwee	en Group	Divergence	0.0442	0.007391	0.032	0.053	0.0445		
Avera	age Philipp	ine Betwee	en Group	Divergence	0.0442	0.007391	0.032	0.053	0.0445		

table S13. X chromosome sequence divergence between eight Sunda colugo groups and three Philippine colugo groups, based on maximum composite likelihood +  $\Gamma$  genetic distance. Includes all individuals with >40% probe base coverage. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN, Basilan=BAS, Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

	E.BOR	THA/P M/SUM	VNM	NW. BOR	S.	LTE/ SAM	NE. BOP	E. MIN	JAVA	DIN	SE. BOR
FBOR		NI/SUNI		DOK	SUM	SAN	DOK	IVIIIN			DOK
	0.0070										
	0.0070										
SUNI	0.0057	0.0056									
VINIVI	0.0057	0.0056									
NW.BOR	0.0051	0.0052	0.0039								
S.SUM	0.0051	0.0039	0.0043	0.0034							
LTE/SAM	0.0276	0.0291	0.0288	0.0289	0.0274						
NE.BOR	0.0041	0.0073	0.0059	0.0059	0.0057	0.0285					
E.MIN	0.0267	0.0285	0.0282	0.0280	0.0270	0.0014	0.0276				
JAVA	0.0062	0.0062	0.0052	0.0051	0.0047	0.0299	0.0069	0.0291			
DIN	0.0285	0.0301	0.0299	0.0302	0.0281	0.0010	0.0294	0.0012	0.0312		
SE.BOR	0.0038	0.0096	0.0080	0.0073	0.0071	0.0311	0.0053	0.0296	0.0089	0.0315	
LAOS	0.0060	0.0058	0.0027	0.0047	0.0047	0.0294	0.0066	0.0286	0.0056	0.0302	0.0086
					Mean	Stdev	Min	Max	Media		
									n		
Av	erage Sund	laic Between	n Group Di	ivergence	0.0058	0.0016	0.0027	0.0096	0.0057		
Avera	age Philipp	oine Between	n Group Di	ivergence	0.0012	0.0002	0.0010	0.0014	0.0012		

	THA	P.Bakong	P.Tanahbala	P.Aur	P.Siantan	PM	P.Langkawi	P.Bintang	KoAdang	P.Pini	SUM	Р.
												Penuba
THA												
P.Bakong	0.0109											
P.Tanahbala	0.0110	0.0102										
P.Aur	0.0106	0.0109	0.0104									
P.Siantan	0.0097	0.0101	0.0096	0.0078								
PM	0.0113	0.0109	0.0112	0.0107	0.0103							
P.Langkawi	0.0104	0.0106	0.0112	0.0101	0.0094	0.0102						
P.Bintang	0.0099	0.0102	0.0102	0.0032	0.0070	0.0097	0.0094					
KoAdang	0.0084	0.0103	0.0097	0.0114	0.0114	0.0099	0.0112	0.0108				
P.Pini	0.0104	0.0097	0.0030	0.0103	0.0094	0.0100	0.0104	0.0098	0.0105			
SUM	0.0111	0.0102	0.0101	0.0114	0.0106	0.0111	0.0112	0.0106	0.0109	0.0095		
P.Penuba	0.0110	0.0025	0.0103	0.0112	0.0103	0.0117	0.0107	0.0104	0.0105	0.0094	0.0103	
P.Rupat	0.0111	0.0097	0.0111	0.0111	0.0108	0.0121	0.0107	0.0115	0.0118	0.0095	0.0105	0.0100
					Mean	Stdev	Min	Max	Median			
THA/PM/SUM	THA/PM/SUM to island sequence divergence			0.0105	0.0007	0.0084	0.0121	0.0106				

table S14. Pairwise sequence divergence between colugos from Peninsular Malaysia (PM), Sumatra (SUM) and Thailand (THA), and their satellite islands (blue).

**table S15. Within-group mtDNA maximum composite likelihood** + **Γ** genetic distance matrix for nine Sunda colugo groups and five Philippine colugo groups. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN, Basilan=BAS, Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN, nc=not calculated (single individuals).

Thailand/Pen.Malaysia/Sumatra	0.010
SW Borneo	0.007
Java	0.007
NW Borneo	0.003
SAM/LTE	0.003
Bohol	0.000
E. Borneo	0.011
N.E. Borneo	0.006
E.MIN	0.000
W. MIN	0.002
S.SUM	0.006
LAOS	nc
VNM	nc
DIN	nc

table S16. mtDNA genetic distance between nine Sundaic colugo groups and five Philippine colugo groups, based on the maximum composite likelihood + Γ distance matrix. Abbreviations: Vietnam=VNM, Thailand=THA, Peninsular Malaysia=PM, Sumatra=SUM, Borneo=BOR, Bunguran=BUN, Basilan=BAS, Mindanao=MIN, Bohol=BOL, Leyte=LTE, Samar=SAM, Dinagat=DIN.

	PM THA SUM	SW.BOR	JAVA	NW.BOR	LTE/SAM	BOL	E.BOR	NE.BOR	E. MIN	W.MIN	S.SUM	LAOS	VNM
PM/THA/SUM													
SW.BOR	0.059												
JAVA	0.154	0.149											
NW.BOR	0.058	0.037	0.149										
LTE/SAM	0.217	0.208	0.22	0.210									
BOL	0.217	0.209	0.222	0.210	0.050								
E.BOR	0.151	0.145	0.121	0.146	0.216	0.216							
NE.BOR	0.146	0.140	0.121	0.141	0.211	0.212	0.07						
E.MIN	0.213	0.208	0.216	0.212	0.048	0.052	0.215	0.209					
W.MIN	0.213	0.206	0.216	0.210	0.050	0.053	0.214	0.207	0.035				
S.SUM	0.034	0.057	0.146	0.057	0.204	0.205	0.138	0.136	0.200	0.199			
LAOS	0.081	0.075	0.151	0.076	0.210	0.209	0.145	0.141	0.210	0.207	0.074		
VNM	0.070	0.067	0.144	0.070	0.196	0.197	0.136	0.133	0.197	0.196	0.070	0.064	
DIN	0.210	0.202	0.215	0.201	0.032	0.041	0.212	0.208	0.041	0.040	0.193	0.202	0.190
					mean	stdev	min	max	median				
Ave	erage Suno	laic Betwee	n Group	Divergence	0.107	0.040	0.034	0.154	0.127				
Avera	age Philipp	pine Betwee	n Group	Divergence	0.044	0.007	0.032	0.053	0.045				

Model	Nodes <sup>a</sup>	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability	Posterior Brobability
		Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability
1	000000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
2	100000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
3	101000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
4	101010	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
5	101011	0.00028	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
6	101100	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
7	101110	0.00000	0.00004	0.00000	0.00000	0.00211
8	101111	0.00007	0.00001	0.00006	0.00000	0.00145
9	110000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00575	0.04417
10	111000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00989	0.00496
11	111010	0.00595	0.00199	0.00099	0.15714	0.06777
12	111011	0.42029	0.17070	0.16314	0.82608	0.64262
13	111100	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00092
14	111110	0.01321	0.00720	0.00590	0.00006	0.02099
15	111111	0.56021	0.82007	0.82993	0.00109	0.21502
Parameters		Parameters	Sensitivity	Sensitivity	Sensitivity	Sensitivity
		estimated from data	analysis	analysis	analysis	analysis
theta $(\alpha, \beta)$		2,408	2, 1000	2, 1000	2, 10	2, 10
tau ( $\alpha$ , $\beta$ )		2, 344	2, 10	2, 1000	2, 10	2, 1000

table S17. BPP species estimation (70) results for the *Galeopterus* biparental dataset (53 partitions, with 9,628 sites after removal of sites with missing data, clean data=1).

<sup>a</sup>The ancestral nodes (left to right) for *Galeopterus*: **1**) East Borneo (EB) to Northeast Borneo (NEB), **2**) EB+NEB, **3**) Node 4 to Node 5, **4**) Laos (L) +Vietnam (V), **5**) Java (J) to node 6, **6**) West Borneo (W) to Pen.Malay./Sumatra (PS).

#### Guide tree with PP for each node (i.e., support for distinct species) in the five analyses shown above (left to right):

((EB, NEB) #0.999650,((V, L) #0.573490, (J, (W, PS) #0.980845) #1.000000) #1.000000) #1.000000;

((EB, NEB) #0.999955,((V, L) #0.827310, (J, (W, PS) #0.990770) #1.000000) #1.000000) #1.000000;

((EB, NEB) #0.999945,((V, L) #0.835875, (J, (W, PS) #0.993115) #1.000000) #1.000000) #1.000000;

((EB, NEB) #1.000000,((V, L) #0.001145, (J, (W, PS) #0.827160) #0.984355) #0.994245) #1.000000;

((EB, NEB) #0.996440,((V, L) #0.240490, (J, (W, PS) #0.859100) #0.949955) #0.955830) #1.000000;

table S18. BPP species estimation (70) results for the *Cynocephalus* biparental dataset (101 partitions, with 44,072 sites after removal of sites with missing data, clean data=1).

Model	Nodes <sup>a</sup>	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability	Posterior Probability
1	00	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
2	10	0.3913	0.2381	0.3365	0.3799
3	11	0.6087	0.7619	0.6635	0.6202
Parameters		Parameters estimated from data	Sensitivity analysis	Sensitivity analysis	Sensitivity analysis
theta $(\alpha, \beta)$		2, 2040	2, 1000	2, 2040	2, 2040
tau ( $\alpha$ , $\beta$ )		2, 771	2, 771	2, 10	2, 1000

<sup>a</sup>The ancestral nodes (left to right) for *Cynocephalus*: 1) Mindanao to Leyte+Dinagat, 2) Leyte+Dinagat.

#### Guide tree with PP for each node (i.e., support for distinct species) in the four analyses shown above (left to right):

(Mindanao, (Leyte, Dinagat) #0.608665) #1.000000;

(Mindanao, (Leyte, Dinagat) #0.761880) #1.000000;

(Mindanao, (Leyte, Dinagat) #0.663515) #1.000000;

(Mindanao, (Leyte, Dinagat) #0.620150) #1.000000;

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	<b>V7</b>	V8	V9	V10	V11	V12
GVA_62	0.266233	0.547404	-0.22344	0.318666	-0.12787	0.199536	-0.22883	-0.17031	0.491531	-0.11319	-0.05209	0.288675
GVA_55	0.106196	-0.07303	0.027003	-0.1837	-0.03574	0.083016	0.033093	-0.13947	-0.01564	-0.09455	0.90977	0.288675
GVA_35s	-0.417930	-0.36815	-0.59937	0.276448	-0.35334	0.000792	0.174165	-0.02659	0.021265	0.119834	-0.0103	0.288675
GVA_40	0.161232	-0.41209	0.569543	0.623993	0.013976	0.037057	-0.00685	-0.01491	0.043918	0.046969	-0.03364	0.288675
GVA_49	-0.108230	-0.19643	-0.20001	-0.10953	0.7248	0.034303	-0.42293	-0.00116	0.130662	0.300926	-0.03684	0.288675
GVA_45	-0.749030	0.418413	0.412216	-0.0457	0.004591	0.010241	0.0647	-0.02743	0.01838	0.055598	0.006742	0.288675
GVA_07	0.234199	0.185082	-0.07773	0.00447	0.290208	-0.3249	0.710371	0.291528	0.131815	0.155633	-0.02253	0.288675
GVA_63	0.189492	0.308727	-0.11744	0.165388	-0.03705	0.070891	-0.14557	0.061808	-0.81878	0.202542	-0.03823	0.288675
GVA_16	0.109996	-0.05044	0.094384	-0.24809	-0.35616	-0.73628	-0.37578	0.054117	0.088315	0.068156	-0.07762	0.288675
GVA_12	-0.06196	-0.09647	-0.08403	-0.03419	0.206989	-0.0607	-0.01122	0.091066	-0.16144	-0.88744	-0.16348	0.288675
GVA_129	0.160713	-0.13246	0.088955	-0.38649	-0.06008	0.171362	0.252997	-0.70848	-0.04948	0.071862	-0.33086	0.288675
GVA_28	0.109087	-0.13056	0.109916	-0.38127	-0.27032	0.514682	-0.04415	0.589822	0.119453	0.07366	-0.15091	0.288675

table S19. X chromosome genetic variation principal component loadings.