SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

DOT1L-mediated H3K79me2 modification critically regulates gene expression during cardiomyocyte differentiation

Paola Cattaneo^{1,2,6}, Paolo Kunderfranco¹, Carolina Greco¹, Alessandro Guffanti³, Giuliano Giuseppe Stirparo^{2,6}, Francesca Rusconi¹, Roberto Rizzi^{4,5}, Elisa Di Pasquale^{1,2}, Silvia Laura Locatelli^{2,6}, Michael V.G. Latronico¹, Claudia Bearzi^{4,5}, Roberto Papait^{1,2*} and Gianluigi Condorelli^{1,2,6*}

¹Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, 20089 Rozzano (MI), Italy

²Institute of Genetics and Biomedical Research, National Research Council of Italy (CNR),

UO of Milan, 20089 Rozzano (MI), Italy

³GenOmnia, via Nerviano 31/b, 20020 Lainate (MI), Italy

⁴Casa di Cura Multimedica Istituto di Ricovero Cura Carattere Scientifico, 20138 Milan, Italy

⁵Institute of Cell Biology and Neurobiology, National Research Council of Italy (CNR), 00100 Rome, Italy;

⁶University of Milan, 20133 Milan, Italy

*Corresponding authors: Gianluigi Condorelli (gianluigi.condorelli@humanitasresearch.it) and Roberto Papait (roberto.papait@humanitasresearch.it), Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Via Manzoni 56, 20089 Rozzano (MI), Italy.

Detailed Materials and Methods

Purification of cardiomyocytes. All experiments were performed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication no. 85–23, revised 1996) and approved by the local ethical committee. The study was performed on embryos (E8.5, E10.5, E12.5, and E14.5), neonatal (1 day after birth) and adult (2-month-old) mice. Mice were housed in a controlled environment on a 12h light/dark illumination schedule and fed with a chow diet. Mice were sacrificed according to the protocol of the internal ethics committee, the hearts excised, and primary embryonic, neonatal, and adult cardiac cells were isolated as described elsewhere.^{1, 2, 3}

mES cell culture and differentiation protocol. The TBV2 mouse embryonic stem (mES) cell line was used throughout this study. Cells were cultured on a feeder layer of mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEFs) in order to keep them undifferentiated in a pluripotent state. The propagation medium was high glucose DMEM supplemented with sodium pyruvate, L-glutamine, penicillin-streptomycin, 2-mercaptoethanol, 15% ESscreened FBS (Hyclone), and 10³ U/mL LIF (Millipore). mES cells were passed twice on 0.1% gelatin-coated tissue culture dishes without MEFs before starting experiments. Differentiation of mES cells into the cardiac lineage was carried out in feeder-free conditions using standard techniques.⁴ In differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM supplemented with sodium pyruvate, L-glutamine. penicillin-streptomycin, 2mercaptoethanol, and 15% FBS (GIBCO) without LIF), embryoid bodies (EBs) were aggregated using the "hanging drop" method (300cells/drop): cells were cultured for 2 days (d0-d2) as hanging drops and then for 3 days (d2-d5) in suspension in lowattachment Petri dishes (Falcon).⁴ 5-day-old EBs were plated onto 0.1% gelatin-coated tissue culture dishes in differentiation medium and harvested at different time points for mRNA analysis, ChIP, immunoblotting, and FACS.

Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR gene expression analysis. RNA was extracted from mES cells and cardiac cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the Super Script VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) and amplified by real-time quantitative PCR with SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystem) and specific primers for pluripotency and cardiac markers. Primer sequences are available upon request. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate using an ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). 18s or GAPDH were used as the housekeeping gene for expression normalization.

Epigenetic enzyme TaqMan assay cards. RNA was extracted from mES cells and cardiac cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using Super Script VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen). 1.2µg of cDNA of each sample was used to analyze the expression of 85 genes encoding important epigenetic enzymes. Custom microfluidic gene expression cards were drawn using TaqMan probes (Applied Biosystems). TaqMan probe information and card design is available upon request. Each sample was analyzed using an ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). Data were analyzed with DataAssist software using the median normalization method.

Immunoblotting. Immunoblotting was carried out using standard protocols. The following primary antibodies were used overnight at 4°C: anti-KMT4 DOT1L (abcam ab64077), anti-

H3 dimethyl Lys79 (abcam ab3594), anti-H3 (abcam ab1791), anti-POU domain, class 5, transcription factor 1 (Pou5F1) (abcam ab19857), anti-myosin heavy chain (MYH) (Chemicon MAB 1552), and anti-lamin B (Santa Cruz sc6216).

Viral particle production and transduction. Two distinct short hairpin (sh)RNAs against *Dot1L* and a non-target shRNA were cloned into the plK0.1 lentiviral vector (OpenBiosystems) and tested. Viral particles were produced in 293T cells by co-transfection with pCMV-VSVG and psPAX2 plasmids.

FACS analysis. FACS was carried out using standard protocols. Cells fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde were stained with anti-troponin I (TNNI) (Millipore MAB3150) antibody. Samples acquisition was performed on FACS Canto Cell Analyzer (Becton Dickinson) and data analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. Genome-wide localization of histone modifications (H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K79me2, and H3K9me3) for each stage was determined via chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing with SoLiD 5500 (Life Technologies) carried out according to a standard procedure. Briefly, 5x10⁶ cells were used for each immunoprecipitation. Cells were cross-linked for 10 min at room temperature using 1% formaldehyde. Cross-linking was guenched by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125M. The cells were then collected, resuspended in lysis buffer (5mM PIPES pH8, 85mM KCl, 0.5% NP40, and protease inhibitors), and incubated on ice for 15 minutes before proceeding with sonication to generate 200-400bp fragments. The efficiency of sonication was assessed with agarose gel electrophoresis. Chromatin samples were pre-cleared for 1 hour with protein-G beads and then immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with specific antibodies: anti-H3 dimethyl Lys79 (abcam ab3594), anti-H3 trimethyl Lys4 (Active Motif 39159), anti-H3 trimethyl Lys 27 (Millipore-Upstate 07-449), anti-H3 trimethyl Lys9 (Millipore-Upstate 07-442), anti-H3 (abcam ab1791) and rabbit IgG (Millipore-Upstate 12-370). After incubation, the immunocomplexes were bound to protein-G beads for 2 hours and subsequently washed with low-salt wash buffer (0.1% SDS, 2mM EDTA, 20mM Tris HCI pH8, 1% Triton X-100, 150mM NaCl and protease inhibitors), highsalt wash buffer (0.1% SDS, 2 mM EDTA, 20mM Tris HCl pH8, 1% Triton x-100, 500mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors), and TE buffer. Immunocomplexes were eluted in elution buffer (1% SDS, 100mM NaHCO₃ and protease inhibitors) and the cross-linking was reverted overnight at 65°C. Samples were treated with proteinase K, extracted with phenol/chloroform, and precipitated with ethanol. Purified DNA was evaluated by qPCR on an ABI 7900HT with SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystem) using specific primers designed close to the promoter region and the gene transcription start site (TSS): A = -1000bp/-500bp from TSS; B = +500bp/+1000bp from TSS; C = +3500bp/+4000bpfrom TSS. Values obtained were normalized to the input and to the H3 content. The sequences of the primers used for ChIP-qPCR are available upon request.

ChIP-seq analysis pipeline. ChIP-seq experiments were performed as previously described.⁵ Library preparation and DNA sequencing was performed at Genomnia Srl. ChIP-seq data analysis was performed using distinct bioinformatics software. For H3K4me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 modifications in mES cells, bed files were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (H3K4me3: GSM307618; H3K27me3: GSM307619; H3K9me3: GSM307621). Genome coordinates were converted from mm8 to mm9 mouse reference genome using Batch Coordinate Conversion (LiftOver) created by

the UCSC Genome Bioinformatics Group. For the H3K79me2 modification in mES cells, raw sequencing reads were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GSM307150-GSM307151) and mapped to the mouse genome (version mm9) using BOWTIE (version 0.12.8).

To profile histone modifications in cardiomyocytes, sequencing reads were mapped to the mouse genome (version mm9) using BOWTIE (version 0.12.8). Uniquely mapped reads with no more than two mismatches were used for binding peak detection. To identify peaks, two peak-calling software were used: MACS (Model-based Analysis of ChIP-seg) and SICER (Spatial Clustering Approach for the Identification of ChIP-Enriched Regions)⁷ Both software detected binding peaks by comparing IP and input control. We used the following parameters: MACS: effective genome size = 1.87e+09, band width = 300, model fold = 5.30, p-value cutoff = 1.00e-05; SICER: windows size = 200, gap size = 600, redundancy threshold = 1, FDR = 0.05. Occupancy analysis and differential binding affinity analysis were assessed with the R Bioconductor package DiffBind (v. 1.2.0).⁸ The final set of binding peaks contained those that were called by both software. Genomic annotation of the peaks identified from the ChIP-seq data were performed using the R Bioconductor package ChIPpeakAnno (v. 2.4.0).9 A summary of the genes associated with H3K79me2-, H3K4me3-, H3K27me3-, and H3K9me3-occupied regions is provided in Table S1. The complete ChIP-seq datasets are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/geo/), under the accession number GSE45174.

Density profiles of chromatin marks at promoters and gene bodies were computed with HOMER v. 4.5 (Empirical Motif Optimizer).¹⁰ Functional annotation of differentially enriched modifications was performed using GREAT.¹¹ Genome browser representations of H3K79me2-, H3K4me3-, H3K27me3-, and H3K9me3-enrichment profiles were obtained with IGV 2.1 visualization software.¹²

Gene expression microarray analysis pipeline. BeadChips were scanned with the Illumina iScan system. Raw data were background-subtracted and normalized using the quantile normalization method of the R Bioconductor package lumi.¹³ Normalized data were filtered for genes with significant expression levels compared with negative control beads. Selection for differentially expressed genes was performed on statistical significance (adjusted p-value< 0,001) according to the Illumina t-test error model (limma R Bioconductor package).¹⁴ The transcript with the highest median expression was selected to represent the expression of the gene if it was represented with several transcripts. Functional annotation of significant genes identified by microarray analysis was searched by the web-accessible program named Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) version 2009, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH) (david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov).¹⁵ The complete Illumina gene expression datasets are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/geo/), under the accession number GSE44829.

Correlation of ChIP-seq and gene expression data. Genes were ordered by magnitude of differential mark occupancy, and heatmaps of relative gene expression generated. Genes with higher than average expression were marked in red and those with lower than average expression were marked in green (scale in standard deviations). Scatter plots were produced to see how the expression level agreed with degree of histone modification. The Pearson correlation coefficients between histone modifications and the expression level of genes were calculated using R. Network analysis considering direct and indirect

relationship among genes was performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (v. 8.5, Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com).

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. *P*-values were determined by two-tailed t-test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplemental References

- 1. Rodgers LS, Schnurr DC, Broka D, Camenisch TD. An improved protocol for the isolation and cultivation of embryonic mouse myocytes. *Cytotechnology* 2009, **59**(2): 93-102.
- 2. Rizzi R, Di Pasquale E, Portararo P, Papait R, Cattaneo P, Latronico MV, *et al.* Postnatal cardiomyocytes can generate iPS cells with an enhanced capacity toward cardiomyogenic re-differentation. *Cell Death Differ* 2012, **19**(7): 1162-1174.
- 3. Catalucci D, Zhang DH, DeSantiago J, Aimond F, Barbara G, Chemin J, *et al.* Akt regulates L-type Ca2+ channel activity by modulating Cavalpha1 protein stability. *J Cell Biol* 2009, **184**(6): 923-933.
- 4. Keller GM. In vitro differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1995, **7**(6): 862-869.
- 5. Papait R, Cattaneo P, Kunderfranco P, Greco C, Carullo P, Guffanti A, *et al.* Genomewide analysis of histone marks identifying an epigenetic signature of promoters and enhancers underlying cardiac hypertrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, **110**(50): 20164-20169.
- 6. Feng J, Liu T, Qin B, Zhang Y, Liu XS. Identifying ChIP-seq enrichment using MACS. *Nat Protoc* 2012, **7**(9): 1728-1740.
- 7. Zang C, Schones DE, Zeng C, Cui K, Zhao K, Peng W. A clustering approach for identification of enriched domains from histone modification ChIP-Seq data. *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(15): 1952-1958.
- 8. Ross-Innes CS, Stark R, Teschendorff AE, Holmes KA, Ali HR, Dunning MJ, *et al.* Differential oestrogen receptor binding is associated with clinical outcome in breast cancer. *Nature* 2012, **481**(7381): 389-393.
- 9. Zhu LJ, Gazin C, Lawson ND, Pages H, Lin SM, Lapointe DS, et al. ChIPpeakAnno: a Bioconductor package to annotate ChIP-seq and ChIP-chip data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010, **11:** 237.

- Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell* 2010, **38**(4): 576-589.
- 11. McLean CY, Bristor D, Hiller M, Clarke SL, Schaar BT, Lowe CB, et al. GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat Biotechnol* 2010, **28**(5): 495-501.
- 12. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011, **29**(1): 24-26.
- 13. Du P, Kibbe WA, Lin SM. lumi: a pipeline for processing Illumina microarray. *Bioinformatics* 2008, **24**(13): 1547-1548.
- 14. Smyth GK, Michaud J, Scott HS. Use of within-array replicate spots for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Bioinformatics* 2005, **21**(9): 2067-2075.
- 15. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* 2009, **4**(1): 44-57.

S1









Е

с

Fig. S1. A, Cardiomyogenic differentiation of mES cells. Time-course scheme and phase-contrast microscopy images of differentiation of mES cells via the hanging-drop method. B, Relative qRT-PCRs of differentially expressed genes during differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells towards the cardiac lineage. Pou5F1 and Nanog (pluripotency markers), Eomes, Mesp1 and Brachyury (mesodermal markers), Nkx2-5, Isl1 and Tbx5 (cardiac progenitor markers) and Myh7 and Mhy6 (cardiomyocyte markers). mRNA levels are normalized to 18s, expressed as mean±SD of experimental replicates and plotted as relative mRNA expression. Three independent experiments were performed. C, Western blot guantification and normalization of protein expression levels in mES cells at 0, 1, 2, 5 and 9 days of differentiation. The expression levels are shown as relative to d0 and normalized to that of lamin B (LAM b) for POU5F1, α/β MYH and DOT1L, and to that of unmodified H3 for H3K79me2. Results are presented as mean±SD of three biological replicates. **D**, Gene expression profile of CM markers confirming the developmental stage used. gRT-PCR was used to assess relative mRNA expression of markers of pluripotency (Pou5F1, Nanog) and of early (Nkx2-5, Gata4, and Myh7) and late (Myh6) stages of heart development in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES) and cardiomyocytes from E10.5, E12.5, E14.5 embryos, 1-day-old pups (P1) and 2-month-old mice (Adult). Bars represent the mean±SD of three biological replicates. E, Western blot quantification and normalization of protein expression levels in mES and CMs from E14.5 (CMe), P1 (CMp) and adult mice (CMa). The expression levels are shown relative to mES and normalized to that of lamin B (LAM b) for DOT1L, and to that of unmodified H3 for H3K79me2. Results are presented as mean±SD of three biological replicates.



PCA: Condition [49% of total variance]

Fig. S2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for associations within different samples. Affinity data plotted for H3K79me2 (\Box), H3K4me3 (\bigcirc), H3K27me3 (\diamondsuit) and H3K9me3 (\triangle) in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES, blue), cardiomyocytes from 1-day-old pups (CMp, red) and cardiomyocytes from 2-month-old mice (CMa, green). The graph displays on the x and y axes the first two principal components identified by PCA, revealing potential associations between samples and modifications.

	GO Biological Process	mES vs CMp	mES vs CMa	CMp vs CMa
		-log10(Binomial p value)	-log10(Binomial p value)	-log10(Binomial p value)
H3K79me2	RNA processing ncRNA metabolic processing ncRNA processing ribbosomé tradislation actin filament-based po activité de la construction actin filament organization actin filament organization muscle cell qevelopment	5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 5 5 10 15 20 25 30 25 30	5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 response to DNA damage stimulus DNA metabolic process trabslation trabslation actin fliament organization acting i acting i	0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 noval sport alion 0 0.0 51.01.52.02.53.03.54.04.55.05.56.0 sport 0 0.00.51.01.52.02.53.03.54.04.55.05.56.0 sport or noval erring or older
H3K4me3	0 ncRNA metabolic process translation ncRNA processing peptidyl-lysine modification ribosome biogenesis	2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18	DNA metabolic process sterol biosynthetic pro ncRNA metabolic process cholesterol biosynthetic pro translation gliai cell mig gliai cell mig	0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 ocess ration
	o retinoic acid receptor signaling pathway head morphogenesis face morphogenesis fibroblast migration myofibril assembly	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 g	Tace morphogenesis 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 peptidyl-lysine modificence of the sector of the se	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ation fation fation enetic
H3K27me3	o actin filament-based process actin cytoskeleton organization muscle cell development actin liament organization regulation of lipid metabolic process regionalization pattern specification process	2 4 6 8 10 12 14 2 4 6 8 10 12 14	actin filament-based process actin ytoskeleton organization regionality negative regulation of kinase activity 6 Cerebeljar cortex development floor bite morphogeness	0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 ccess ration intern calion 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.1 daver
cen	anterior/posterior pattern specification tral nervous system neuron differentiation regulation of gene expression	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cerebellar granule cell précursor proliferation development cerebellum develop tissue remo metericephalon development cerebellum development tissue remo macromolecule catabolic process	mènt Jeling 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 cation
H3K9me3	peptidy-lysine modification induction of programmed cell death induction of apoptosis covalent chromatin modification regionalization anterior/posterior patter spacification neuron fate commitment	2 4 6 8 10 12 14	cellular macromolecule catabolic process protein catabolic process actin filament-based process actin rytoskieton organization had morphogeness had morphogeness formation of primary germ layer had morphogeness formation of primary germ layer had morphogeness formation of primary germ layer had morphogeness	Iation anetic Iation

	GO Mouse Phenotype	mES vs CMp	mES vs CMa	CMp vs CMa
H3K79me2	embryonic lethality between implantation embryonic lethality between complete embryonic lethality between increased sensitivity to in abnormal muscle abnormal muscle abnormal muscle	-log10(Binomial p value) 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 mile formation mile formation mile formation mile formation mile formation formation provide dealth formation provide dealth formation formati	-log10(Binomial p value) embryonic lethality between implantation and placentation complete embryonic tethality betwee single to mation increased sensitivity to mudde cell and the sensitivity of mudde cell and the sensitivity of mudde cell and the sensitivity of the sensitity	-log10(Binomial p value) embryonic lethality before sonite formation decreased cafful perioardial effusion abnormal myocardial there morphology decreased vehicle multiple contractivity failure to gastrulate abnormal midbrain-bindbrain boundary development abarn caffallun abarn caffallun abarn caffallun abarn caffallun
H3K4me3	increased exectiving abno increased abnormary to in abnormal cellular replic abnormal chroit abnormal hair folicie outer noot sh increasi abnormal sarcon abnormal sarcon	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Used Cell (4=10) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Used Cell (4=10) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ath morphology ref pagtrulate 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ere morphology ref morphology 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	increased sensitivity to induced cell death 2 4 6 8 10 12 abnormation all DAA repair abnormation all DAA repair increased cellular sensitivity to induced repair abnormal chromosoffer umber failure to gastrulate altered response to myocardia infraction e embryconic tethality before turning of embryco decreased macrophage infric oxide production	embryonic lethality before somite formation embryonic lethality before somite formation anal hair folicies abnormal accel embryonicogy
H3K27me3	elezara la monda pe conse ta locara ta forma si ante de locara per surversa la monta ta forma de locara ta formanda ta formand	ber morphology Laddiał fruson de heart weight celf and restructure celf morphology elf morphology elf morphology memorphology memorphology elf morphology elf morpho	increased heart weight	aborrmal neuron differentiation 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101112 aborrmal academic methodogy aborrmal brain version and the morphology aborrmal brain version of the second seco
H3K9me3	embryonic lethality between implantation complete embryonic lethality between embryonic lethality between decreased erythro abromat vestibulation and the second abromat spana control abromat spana control abromat spana control	0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 mile formation mile formation mile formation ornal cell cycle malecollusion code 51 01 52 02 53 03 54 04 55 05 56 0 malecollusion code male for the formation male cycle and the formatio	increased lat cell size 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 increased lat cell size 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 increased heat lat we have a cell size 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 increased heat lat my certain a cell size 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 i	decreased white adipose tissue anount of 0.051.01.52.02.53.03.54.04.55.05.5 decreased susceptibility to deplic dealties and the second

Fig. S3. Functional and molecular characterization of histone methylation marks in cardiomyocytes during differentiation. Functional annotation of differentially enriched modifications was performed using GREAT. The top 5 over-represented categories belonging to Gene Ontology (GO) Biological Process and GO Mouse Phenotype are shown. The x axis (in logarithmic scale) gives the binomial raw (uncorrelated) P-values. Results from three different comparative analyses are shown for each mark (H3K79me2, H3K4me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me3): mES vs. CMp (left), mES vs. CMa (middle), and CMp vs. CMa (right). Blue histograms represent mES cells, red histograms represent CMp, and green histograms represent CMa.



Fig. S4. Histone methylation enrichment and mRNA expression at key cardiac differentiation genes. **A**, Relative mRNA expression was assessed through qRT-PCR in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES), post-natal cardiomyocytes (CMp) and adult cardiomyocytes (CMa). mRNA levels for *Nanog*, *Pou5F1*, *Gata4*, *Nkx2*-5, *Myh7*, *Myh6*, and *TnnI3* are normalized to 18s and expressed as the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. **, P<0.01 vs mES; ##, P<0.01 vs CMp. **B**, ChIP assay of H3K79me2, H3K4me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 binding to *Nanog*, *Pou5F1*, *Gata4*, Nkx2.5, *Myh7*, *Myh6* and *TnnI3*. Levels were determined by qPCR and are expressed as fold change to the input and relative to H3. Three different regions were analysed for each gene locus: -1000bp/-500bp from TSS (_A), +500bp/+1000bp from TSS (_B), and +3500bp/+4000bp from TSS (_C). Data are the result of three independent experiments. The enrichment levels for IgG (negative control) and the unmodified H3 relative to input are given as controls.



Fig. S5. Gene expression profile of cardiomyocytes at different stages of differentiation. Illumina mRNA gene expression datasets are presented for three biological replicates of mouse embryonic stem cells (mES), post-natal cardiomyocytes (CMp) and adult cardiomyocytes (CMa). Data were subjected to two-way hierarchical clustering (centred correlation distance, centroid linkage); the heatmap was created using the limma R Bioconductor package. Samples with higher than average expression are represented in red; samples with lower than average expression are represented in green.



Fig S6. Network analysis of direct relationships among genes acquiring H3K4me3 and indirect relationships among genes acquiring H3K27me3 with transcriptional potential. The analyses were performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (v. 8.5, Ingenuity® Systems, <u>www.ingenuity.com</u>). Networks are displayed graphically as nodes and edges. Distinct shapes indicate distinct gene functions. Results from three different comparative analyses are shown: mES vs. CMp (top), mES vs. CMa (middle) and CMp vs. CMa (bottom). Green indicates gene downregulation and red indicates gene upregulation in CMp (mES vs. CMp), CMa (mES vs. CMa) and CMa (CMp vs. CMa), with a more intense shade indicating a greater change.



Fig S7. mRNA levels of *Nestin, Gata6, Sox17, Isl1, Gata4, Nkx2-5, Mef2C, Myl4 and Myl7* in undifferentiated (day 0) mouse embryonic stem cells and after days 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9 of differentiation in control (sh-Ctrl, grey line) and *Dot1L*-knockdown (sh-Dot1L, black line) cells. Levels are normalized to 18s or GAPDH, expressed as the mean±SD of experimental replicates and plotted as relative mRNA expression. Three independent experiments were performed.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. S1. A, Cardiomyogenic differentiation of mES cells. Time-course scheme and phasecontrast microscopy images of differentiation of mES cells via the hanging-drop method. B, Relative gRT-PCRs of differentially expressed genes during differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells towards the cardiac lineage. Pou5F1 and Nanog (pluripotency markers), Eomes, Mesp1 and Brachvury (mesodermal markers), Nkx2-5, IsI1, and Tbx5 (cardiac progenitor markers), and *Myh7* and *Mhy6* (cardiomyocyte markers). mRNA levels are normalized to 18s, expressed as mean±SD of experimental replicates, and plotted as relative mRNA expression. Three independent experiments were performed. C, Western blot quantification and normalization of protein expression levels in mES cells at 0, 1, 2, 5, and 9 days of differentiation. The expression levels are shown as relative to day 0 and normalized to that of lamin B (LAM b) for POU5F1, α/β MYH, and DOT1L, and to that of unmodified H3 for H3K79me2. Results are presented as mean±SD of three biological replicates. **D**, Gene expression profile of CM markers confirming the developmental stage used. qRT-PCR was used to assess relative mRNA expression of markers of pluripotency (Pou5F1, Nanog) and of early (Nkx2-5, Gata4, and Myh7) and late (Myh6) stages of heart development in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES) and cardiomyocytes from E10.5, E12.5, and E14.5 embryos, 1-day-old pups (P1), and 2-month-old mice (Adult). Bars represent the mean±SD of three biological replicates. E, Western blot guantification and normalization of protein expression levels in mES and CMs from E14.5 (CMe), P1 (CMp), and adult (CMa) mice. The expression levels are shown relative to mES and normalized to that of lamin B (LAM b) for DOT1L, and to that of unmodified H3 for H3K79me2. Results are presented as mean±SD of three biological replicates.

Fig. S2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for associations within different samples. Affinity data plotted for H3K79me2 (\Box), H3K4me3 (O), H3K27me3 (\diamond), and H3K9me3 (\triangle) in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES, blue), cardiomyocytes from 1-day-old pups (CMp, red), and cardiomyocytes from 2-month-old mice (CMa, green). The graph displays on the x and y axes the first two principal components identified by PCA, revealing potential associations between samples and modifications.

Fig. S3. Functional and molecular characterization of histone methylation marks in cardiomyocytes during differentiation. Functional annotation of differentially enriched modifications was performed using GREAT. The top 5 over-represented categories belonging to Gene Ontology (GO) Biological Process and GO Mouse Phenotype are shown. The x axis (in logarithmic scale) gives the binomial raw (uncorrelated) P-values. Results from three different comparative analyses are shown for each mark (H3K79me2, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, and H3K9me3): mES vs. CMp (left), mES vs. CMa (middle), and CMp vs. CMa (right). Blue histograms represent mES cells, red histograms represent CMp, and green histograms represent CMa.

Fig. S4. Histone methylation enrichment and mRNA expression at key cardiac differentiation genes. **A**, Relative mRNA expression was assessed through qRT-PCR in mouse embryonic stem cells (mES), post-natal cardiomyocytes (CMp), and adult cardiomyocytes (CMa). mRNA levels for *Nanog, Pou5F1, Gata4, Nkx2-5, Myh7, Myh6*, and *TnnI3* are normalized to 18s and expressed as the mean±SD of three independent experiments. **, P <0.01 vs mES; ^{##}, P <0.01 vs CMp. **B**, ChIP assay of H3K79me2, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, and H3K9me3 binding to *Nanog, Pou5F1, Gata4*, Nkx2.5, *Myh7*,

Myh6, and *TnnI3*. Levels were determined by qPCR and are expressed as fold change to the input and relative to H3. Three different regions were analyzed for each gene locus: –1000bp/–500bp from TSS (_A), +500bp/+1000bp from TSS (_B), and +3500bp/+4000bp from TSS (_C). Data are the result of three independent experiments. The enrichment levels for IgG (negative control) and the unmodified H3 relative to input are given as controls.

Fig. S5. Gene expression profile of cardiomyocytes at different stages of differentiation. Illumina mRNA gene expression datasets are presented for three biological replicates of mouse embryonic stem cells (mES), post-natal cardiomyocytes (CMp), and adult cardiomyocytes (CMa). Data were subjected to two-way hierarchical clustering (centered correlation distance, centroid linkage); the heatmap was created using the limma R Bioconductor package. Samples with higher than average expression are represented in red; samples with lower than average expression are represented in green.

Fig S6. Network analysis of direct relationships among genes acquiring H3K4me3 and indirect relationships among genes acquiring H3K27me3 with transcriptional potential. The analyses were performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (v. 8.5, Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). Networks are displayed graphically as nodes and edges. Distinct shapes indicate distinct gene functions. Results from three different comparative analyses are shown: mES vs. CMp (top), mES vs. CMa (middle), and CMp vs. CMa (bottom). Green indicates gene downregulation and red indicates gene upregulation in CMp (mES vs. CMp), CMa (mES vs. CMa), and CMp vs. CMa), with a more intense shade indicating a greater change.

Fig S7. mRNA levels of *Nestin, Gata6, Sox17, IsI1, Gata4, Nkx2-5, Mef2C, Myl4*, and *Myl7* in undifferentiated (day 0) mouse embryonic stem cells and after days 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9 of differentiation in control (sh-Ctrl, grey line) and *Dot1L*-knockdown (sh-Dot1L, black line) cells. Levels are normalized to 18s or *Gapdh*, expressed as the mean±SD of experimental replicates, and plotted as relative mRNA expression. Three independent experiments were performed.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE LEGENDS

Table 1. Differential histone modification sites. Differential histone modification sites were found comparing mES vs. CMp, mES vs. CMa, and CMp vs. CMa. mES, mouse embryonic stem cells; CMp, cardiomyocytes from 1-day-old mouse pups; CMa, cardiomyocytes from 2-month-old adult mice.

Table 2. Significantly modulated genes. Illumina microarray was used to assess differential gene expression between mES, CMp and CMa. mES, mouse embryonic stem cells; CMp, cardiomyocytes from 1-day-old mouse pups; CMa, cardiomyocytes from 2-month-old adult mice.