







a



#### Supplementary Figure 1. The heart, skeletal muscle, and aorta in cKO mice.

(a) Cav1 protein expression in WT in *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup> lungs. Cav1 protein expression in *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup> lungs was not significantly different from that in WT lungs (n = 3 per group). (b) Murc protein expression was examined in the heart and skeletal muscle of WT, *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup>, and cKO mice. (c) Murc mRNA expression was examined in the heart and skeletal muscle of WT, *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup>, and cKO mice (n = 3 per group). \**P*<0.05 compared with WT mice, <sup>†</sup>*P*<0.05 compared with *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice, <sup>§</sup>*P*<0.05 compared with *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. (d) Representative immunostaining images of Murc and Cav3 in the heart of WT, *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup>, and cKO mice. Bar, 20 µm. (e) Representative H & E staining images of the aorta in WT, *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup>, and cKO mice. Bar, 200 µm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Uncropped images of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.



#### Supplementary Figure 2. Induction of *MURC* mRNA expression by TGF-β1 in hPASMCs.

hPASMCs were treated with TGF- $\beta$ 1 for 24 hrs, IL-1 $\beta$  for 24 hrs, or ET-1 for 16 hrs (n = 3 per group). \*\**P*<0.01 compared with hPASMCs without a stimulation. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.





#### Supplementary Figure 3. Attenuation of proliferation, migration, and RhoA activity in MURC-deficient SMCs.

(a) Lysates from hPASMCs transfected with control siRNA or MURC siRNA were immunoblotted with an anti-MURC antibody. (b) Left. representative images of a transwell migration assay using hPASMCs transfected with control siRNA or MURC siRNA. Bar, 200 µm. Right, guantification of migrated hPASMCs (n = 8 per group). \*P<0.05 compared with control siRNA. (c) Lysates from hPASMCs transduced with a retrovirus expressing LacZ or MURC-FLAG were immunoblotted with anti-MURC and anti-FLAG antibodies. (d) The proliferation capacities of WT and Murc-/- VSMCs treated with FBS were assessed using a WST-1 cell proliferation assay system (n = 3 per ggroup). \*P<0.05 compared with WT VSMCs. (e) The migration of WT and Murc<sup>-/-</sup> VSMCs was assessed by a wound healing assay (n = 4 per group). Wound closure was quantified by the percent change in the wound area. Bar, 100 µm. \*P<0.05 compared with WT VSMCs. (f) RhoA activity was measured in WT and  $Murc^{-/-}$  VSMCs (n = 3 per group). Starved cells were stimulated with 1% FBS for 1 h. \*P<0.05 compared with WT VSMCs. (g) The phosphorylation of MYPT1 and MLC2 was assessed in hPASMCs transfected with control siRNA or MURC siRNAs. Starved cells were stimulated with 1% FBS for 1 h. (h) The phosphorylation of MYPT1 and MLC2 was assessed in LacZ- and MURC-overexpressing hPASMCs. (i) Attenuation of MURC-induced proliferation in VSMCs by the ROCK inhibitor, hydroxyfasudil. The proliferation capacities of GFP- and MURC-overexpressing rat VSMCs were assessed using a WST-1 cell proliferation assay system (n = 3-4 per group). \*P<0.05 compared with GFP-overexpressing VSMCs. (i) Attenuation of MURC-induced migration in VSMCs by the ROCK inhibitor, hydroxyfasudil. The migration capacities of GFP- and MURC-overexpressing rat VSMCs were assessed by a wound healing assay (n = 3-4 per group). Wound closure was guantified by the percent change in the wound area. \*P<0.05 compared with GFP-overexpressing VSMCs. (k) RhoA activity was assessed in hPASMCs transduced with LacZ + LacZ, LacZ + p115RhoGEF(2A) [p115(2A)], MURC-FLAG + LacZ, and MURC-FLAG + p115(2A) (n = 7 per group). hPASMCs were infected with a puromycin-resistant retrovirus expressing LacZ and MURC-FLAG. After being selected using puromycin, hPASMCs were infected with a hygromycin-resistant retrovirus expressing LacZ and p115(2A), and subsequently selected using hygromycin. \*P<0.05 compared with LacZ + LacZ, <sup>†</sup>P<0.05 compared with MURC + LacZ. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Uncropped images of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.



37

37

100

20

50

p115RhoGEF-FLAG

T7-Cav1

Gα13

GDP GTPγS

N. . \*

**MURC-HA** 

**IP: anti-FLAG** 

IB: anti-Gα13

IB: anti-Gα13

**IB: anti-FLAG** 

IB: anti-T7

IB: anti-HA



(a) COS cells were transfected with plasmids expressing Ga13 and Ga13(Q226L). GST pulldown was performed with GST fusion Cav1 conjugated to glutathione-Sepharose beads and the COS cell lysates. Precipitated proteins were blotted with anti-G $\alpha$ 13 and anti-GST antibodies. (b) GST fusion G $\alpha$ 13 conjugated to glutathione-Sepharose beads was preloaded with GDP or GTPyS. GST pulldown was performed with COS cell lysates transfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins. Precipitated proteins were blotted with anti-T7 and anti-GST antibodies. (c) COS cells were transfected with pCS2FLAG-hp115RhoGEF and/or pcDNA3.1-T7-hCav1, pcDNA3.1-hMURC-HA, pcDNA3.1-hGα13, lysates and and cell were immunoprecipitated with the anti-FLAG antibody. Uncropped images of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.



### Supplementary Figure 5. Association of p115RhoGEF with MURC.

COS cells were transfected with pCS2FLAG-hp115RhoGEF, pcDNA3.1-hMURC-HA, and/or pcDNA3.1-T7-hCav1. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG and anti-HA antibodies. Uncropped images of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.







IB: anti-MYPT1



Fig. 3c





## Fig. 3e



IB: anti-MYPT1



# Fig. 4a



IB: anti-GAPDH



IB: anti-Cav1



AoSMCs





IB: anti-MLC2



## Fig. 6a



50-

IB: anti-Gα13

37

50

37

25<sup>-</sup>





GST-pulldown IB: anti-Gα13 IB: anti-GST



Input

IB: anti-HA 75-50-37-25-

## Fig. 6c

IP: anti-IgG, anti-Cav1 IB: anti-Gα13



IP: anti-IgG, anti-Cav1 IB: anti-Cav1

75 -	
50-	
37-	
25-	
23	
20-	
15-	
-	

IB: anti-Gα13



IB: anti-Cav1









75-

50 -37 -

25 20 75

**50** 

37

25 -20 -



IB: anti-HA



Full immunoblot images with the corresponding figure and panel numbers are shown.

	WT (n=10)	<i>Murc</i> <sup>_/_</sup> (n=11)
sBP (mmHg)	$93.5 \pm 1.2$	$94.1 \pm 1.3$
dBP (mmHg)	$62.8 \pm 1.7$	$62.0 \pm 1.6$
HR (bpm)	$676.0 \pm 9.3$	$665.5 \pm 16.5$
LVDd (mm)	$4.41 \pm 0.30$	$4.07 \pm 0.06$
LVDs (mm)	$2.90 \pm 0.04$	$2.84 \pm 0.03$
IVSTd (mm)	$0.60 \pm 0.03$	$0.53 \pm 0.02$
PWTd (mm)	$0.59 \pm 0.18$	$0.56 \pm 0.01$
FS (%)	$29.3 \pm 0.4$	$30.1 \pm 0.7$
EF (%)	$56.7 \pm 0.7$	$57.8 \pm 0.9$

Supplementary Table 1. Blood pressure, heart rate, and echocardiographic analyses of WT and *Murc*<sup>-/-</sup> mice under normoxia

sBP, systolic blood pressure; dBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; LVDd, left ventricular dimension at end-diastole; LVDs, left ventricular dimension in systole; IVSTd, interventricular septum thickness at end-diastole; PWTd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-diastole; FS, fractional shortening; EF, ejection fraction. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM.

	<i>Murc</i> <sup>fl/fl</sup> (n=3)	cKO (n=3)
BW (g)	$29.2 \pm 0.3$	$27.6 \pm 0.8$
HW (mg)	$120.6 \pm 1.8$	$122.7 \pm 3.3$
TL (mm)	$17.2 \pm 0.17$	$16.7 \pm 0.19$
HW/BW (mg/g)	$4.13 \pm 0.02$	$4.44 \pm 0.01$
HW/TL (mg/mm)	$7.01 \pm 0.04$	$7.34 \pm 0.12$
LVDd (mm)	$3.81 \pm 0.03$	$3.79 \pm 0.13$
LVDs (mm)	$2.65 \pm 0.03$	$2.56 \pm 0.05$
IVSTd (mm)	$0.62 \pm 0.02$	$0.64 \pm 0.02$
PWTd (mm)	$0.61 \pm 0.01$	$0.63 \pm 0.03$
FS (%)	$30.4 \pm 1.1$	$32.3 \pm 2.1$
EF (%)	$58.6 \pm 1.6$	$61.2 \pm 2.8$

Supplementary Table 2. Morphometric and echocardiographic analyses of *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and cKO mice under normoxia

BW, body weight; HW, heart weight; TL, tibial length; LVDd, left ventricular dimension at end-diastole; LVDs, left ventricular dimension in systole; IVSTd, interventricular septum thickness at end-diastole; PWTd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-diastole; FS, fractional shortening; EF, ejection fraction. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM.

	<i>Murc</i> <sup>fl/fl</sup> (n=3)	cKO (n=3)
BW (g)	$21.9 \pm 1.5$	$24.0 \pm 0.8$
HW (mg)	$111.4 \pm 4.0$	$128.2 \pm 2.6$
TL (mm)	$17.7 \pm 0.18$	$18.3 \pm 0.15$
HW/BW (mg/g)	$5.11 \pm 0.26$	$5.36 \pm 0.12$
HW/TL (mg/mm)	$6.30 \pm 0.16$	$7.01 \pm 0.09$
LVDd (mm)	$3.41 \pm 0.22$	$3.51 \pm 0.11$
LVDs (mm)	$2.26 \pm 0.13$	$2.37 \pm 0.12$
IVSTd (mm)	$0.58 \pm 0.02$	$0.59 \pm 0.02$
PWTd (mm)	$0.59 \pm 0.01$	$0.61 \pm 0.02$
FS (%)	$34.0 \pm 1.0$	$32.6 \pm 1.4$
EF (%)	$64.1 \pm 1.3$	$61.9 \pm 2.0$

Supplementary Table 3. Morphometric and echocardiographic analyses of *Murc*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and cKO mice exposed to hypoxia

BW, body weight; HW, heart weight; TL, tibial length; LVDd, left ventricular dimension at end-diastole; LVDs, left ventricular dimension in systole; IVSTd, interventricular septum thickness at end-diastole; PWTd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-diastole; FS, fractional shortening; EF, ejection fraction. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM.