

1 **Supplemental Tables.**

2 **Table S1.** Comparison of regression modeling for outcomes at 30 days after diagnosis of  
 3 *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia, stratified by methicillin resistance, comparing those  
 4 participants with MIC < 2 mcg/mL versus MIC = 2 mcg/mL.

Model	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=188)		Methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=228)	
	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)	P-value	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)	P-value
<b>Mortality, all-cause</b>				
	(N=17)		(N=27)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 0.69 (0.20, 2.39)	0.55	HR 1.78 (0.75, 4.21)	0.19
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 0.71 (0.20, 2.62)	0.61	***HR 1.16 (0.48, 2.81)	0.75
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 0.58 (0.16, 2.11)	0.41	**HR 1.14 (0.45, 2.88)	0.78
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, vancomycin use, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class), immunosuppressive medication</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class)</p> <p>***adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, cefazolin use</p>				
<b>Readmission, all-cause</b>				
	(N=19)		(N=28)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 1.16 (0.42, 3.22)	0.78	HR 0.80 (0.28, 2.32)	0.69
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 1.68 (0.56, 5.09)	0.36	+HR 0.77 (0.26, 2.24)	0.63

Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 1.36 (0.46, 4.02)	0.58	***HR 0.79 (0.27, 2.30)	0.66
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, immunosuppressive medication, vancomycin use</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, immunosuppressive medication</p> <p>***adjustment covariates included: propensity score</p> <p>+adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index</p>				
<b>Recurrence of bacteremia</b>				
	(N=0)		(N=1)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Cox regression, adjusted	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Too few outcome events for regression estimation.				
<b>Composite</b>				
	(N=36)		(N=55)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 0.90 (0.41, 1.97)	0.79	HR 1.28 (0.66, 2.47)	0.47
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 0.93 (0.41, 2.12)	0.86	+HR 1.13 (0.58, 2.23)	0.72
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 0.94 (0.41, 2.15)	0.88	***HR 1.22 (0.62, 2.40)	0.56
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, immunosuppressive medication</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, recent longterm care facility stay</p>				

\*\*\*adjustment covariates included: propensity score, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftazoline, cefepime or carbapenem class)

†adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, recent longterm care facility stay

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20

- 1 **Table S2.** Comparison of regression modeling for outcomes at 90 days after diagnosis of
- 2 *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia, stratified by methicillin resistance, comparing those
- 3 participants with MIC < 2 mcg/mL versus MIC = 2 mcg/mL.

Model	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=188)		Methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=230)	
	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)	P-value	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)	P-value
<b>Mortality, all-cause</b>				
	(N=28)		(N=35)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 0.69 (0.26, 1.82)	0.46	HR 2.06 (0.99, 4.30)	0.05
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 0.47 (0.16, 1.34)	0.16	+HR 1.38 (0.64, 3.00)	0.42
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 0.46 (0.16, 1.31)	0.14	***HR 1.56 (0.72, 3.36)	0.26
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class), ceftazolin use, immunosuppressive medication, <i>S. aureus</i> infection in the prior 12 months</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class), immunosuppressive medication, <i>S. aureus</i> infection in the prior 12 months</p> <p>***adjustment covariates included: propensity score, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class), aminopenicillin use</p> <p>+adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, advanced beta-lactam use (ceftaroline, cefepime or carbapenem class)</p>				
<b>Readmission, all-cause</b>				

	(N=57)		(N=68)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 0.58 (0.29, 1.19)	0.14	HR 0.62 (0.30, 1.29)	0.20
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 0.58 (0.27, 1.23)	0.16	+HR 0.69 (0.33, 1.45)	0.33
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 0.56 (0.27, 1.18)	0.13	***HR 0.61 (0.29, 1.28)	0.66
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, hospital length-of-stay prior to onset of bacteremia, treatment with penicillin or oral agents, cefazolin use, vancomycin use, daptomycin use, <i>S. aureus</i> infection in the prior 12 months, recent longterm care facility stay</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, hospital length-of-stay prior to onset of bacteremia, immunosuppressive medication, <i>S. aureus</i> infection in the prior 12 months, treatment with penicillin or oral agents</p> <p>***adjustment covariates included: propensity score</p> <p>+adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, cefazolin use, recent longterm care facility stay</p>				
<b>Recurrence of bacteremia</b>				
	(N=6)		(N=4)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Cox regression, adjusted	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	HR - (-, -)	-	HR - (-, -)	-
Too few outcome events for regression estimation.				
<b>Composite</b>				
	(N=82)		(N=98)	
Cox regression, unadjusted	HR 0.56 (0.31, 1.02)	0.06	HR 1.28 (0.66, 2.47)	0.47
Cox regression, adjusted	*HR 0.55 (0.30, 1.01)	0.06	+HR 1.13 (0.58, 2.23)	0.72

Cox regression with propensity score and covariate adjustment	**HR 0.57 (0.31, 1.05)	0.07	***HR 0.91 (0.53, 1.57)	0.74
<p>*adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, immunosuppressive medication, vancomycin use</p> <p>**adjustment covariates included: propensity score, immunosuppressive medication, <i>S. aureus</i> infection in the prior 12 months</p> <p>*** adjustment covariates included: propensity score</p> <p>†adjustment covariates included: age, race, gender, hospital onset, Charlson comorbidity index, recent longterm care facility stay</p>				