Differential expression of the urokinase receptor (CD87) in arthritic and normal synovial tissues

Zoltan Szekanecz, G Kenneth Haines, Alisa E Koch

Abstract

Aim—To determine whether the urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (u-PAR; CD87) exhibits a possible pathogenic role in rheumatoid and osteoarthritis.

Methods—A semiquantitative, indirect immunoperoxidase histochemical analysis was performed on frozen synovial tissue sections. The recently characterised monoclonal antibody 10G7 recognising transfectants bearing u-PAR was used. Synovial tissue was obtained from 10 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, 10 patients with osteoarthritis, and four normal subjects.

Results—u-PAR was expressed on 70–90% of synovial tissue lining cells and subsynovial, interstitial macrophages from the arthritis patients, but only on a few myeloid cells from the normal subjects. It was also present on more endothelial cells from the rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients, than from normal synovial tissue.

Conclusions—Plasminogen activators are important in joint destruction underlying arthritis. The up-regulated expression of u-PAR in diseased versus normal synovial tissue suggests a role for this antigen in the inflammatory and angiogenic mechanisms underlying rheumatoid and osteoarthritis.

(J Clin Pathol 1997;50:314-319)

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis; osteoarthritis; urokinase receptor; CD87

Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic inflammatory disease characterised by the transendothelial ingress of leucocytes into synovial tissue that is rich in newly formed blood vessels.¹⁻⁵ A regulatory network of inflammatory cells and mediators, as well as cellular adhesion molecules (CAMs) play an important role in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis.^{3 4} Angiogenesis is crucial for the perpetuation of leucocyte extravasation into the synovial tissue in rheumatoid arthritis.⁵ These elements have also been implicated, although to a lesser extent, in osteoarthritis, an essentially degenerative joint disease with inflammatory features.^{4 6}

There is substantial data suggesting that the urokinase plasminogen activator (u-PA), which is highly involved in the proteolytic joint destruction underlying arthritis, interacts with a number of participants in the regulatory network described above. u-PA, a serine protease, activates a cascade of proteolysis, leading to extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, and thus it is involved in cell adhesion, migration, and proteolysis underlying tumour invasion, angiogenesis, and inflammation, tissue remodelling.⁷⁻¹⁰ The 50 to 65 kD u-PA receptor (u-PAR), which binds to the N-terminal end of u-PA and localises u-PA at the cell surface, has recently been clustered as CD87.11-14 u-PAR is a monocyte activation antigen¹³¹⁵ that also plays a role during macrophage differentiation.16 There are some data available on the roles of u-PA and u-PAR in inflammatory conditions, including arthritis. Little u-PA is produced by resting monocytes/macrophages, T lymphocytes, endothelial cells, chondrocytes, and synoviocytes. However, the secretion of u-PA by these cells and the expression of u-PAR on monocytes and endothelia is highly up-regulated on the activation of these cells by a number of inflammatory and angiogenic mediators.¹⁷⁻²⁶ u-PAR is a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored protein, which forms a complex with the $\beta 2$ integrins on monocytes.^{27 28} It also interacts with other CAMs and ECM components, such as $\beta 1$ and $\beta 3$ integrins, and vitronectin on the cell surface.26 29-31 The co-capping of u-PAR with other CAMs on the cell surface, which involves cyclic AMP dependent and protein kinase C dependent signalling, results in the induction of leucocyte adhesion and chemotaxis.^{26 27 32 33} The increased production of u-PA by cytokine-stimulated endothelial cells and the up-regulated expression of u-PAR in association with integrins on leucocytes plays an important role in leucocyteendothelial interactions and angiogenesis underlying inflammation.

Some data are available on the abundant production of u-PA and u-PAR in rheumatoid arthritis. Increased levels of u-PA and u-PAR were detected in synovial tissue extracts from rheumatoid versus osteoarthritis patients.³⁴ The concentration of u-PA was also increased in synovial fluids from rheumatoid versus osteoarthritis patients.^{35 36} In a preliminary study, most myeloid, and some endothelial cells in the synovial tissue from rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients showed immunoreactivity with the 10G7 monoclonal antibody (MoAb).37 This MoAb was later found to recognise transfectants bearing u-PAR. Descriptive immunohistochemistry performed by others revealed strong expression of u-PA and u-PAR in myeloid cells in the rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue.34 38 However, semiquantitative immunohistochemical analysis on synovial u-PAR has not yet been carried out, nor has the expression of u-PAR in normal synovial tissue

Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, Illinois, USA A E Koch

Department of Pathology G K Haines

Third Department of Medicine, University Medical School, Debrecen, Hungary Z Szekanecz

Correspondence to: Dr AE Koch, Northwestern University Medical School, Department of Medicine, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Ward Building 3–315, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

Accepted for publication 11 December 1996

been investigated. The present study used detailed semiquantitative immunohistochemistry to determine the distribution of u-PAR synovia from rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients and normal subjects.

Methods

Synovial tissue was obtained from 10 rheumatoid and 10 osteoarthritis patients undergoing arthroplasty. The diagnosis of arthritis met the American College of Rheumatology criteria.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Normal synovial tissue was dissected from fresh pathological specimens or at necropsy. Synovial tissue was snap frozen in OCT compound (Miles, Elkhart, Indiana, USA). All samples were obtained with Institutional Review Board approval.

An MoAb, 10G7, produced in our laboratory, was submitted to the myeloid panel of the Sixth International Workshop and Conference on Leukocyte Differentiation Antigens. Studies carried out for this workshop determined that this antibody reacted with transfectants bearing CD87, u-PAR (Sanna M Goyert, Robert F Todd III, personal communication). Another MoAb, L21, raised against the same antigen was submitted to the previous, fifth international workshop by Dr Boyle (Melbourne, Australia) and this was also used in a pilot study on synovial tissue from three rheumatoid and three osteoarthritis patients for comparison. Anti-factor VIII related antigen (MoAb FVIII; Dakopatts, Carpinteria, California, USA) was used to detect endothelial cells, and MoAb LeuM5 (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, California, USA) recognising CD11c was used to identify macrophages.42 43 Purified mouse IgG1 supplied by the fifth workshop was used as an isotype specific negative control. Indirect immunoperoxidase staining was performed as described previously44 using a Vector ABC assay system (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California, USA) and diaminobenzidine (Kirkegaard & Perry, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) as a chromogen.

Cell types present in synovial tissue were identified by their morphology after haematoxylin counterstaining, and by using the aforementioned positive control MoAb. Synovial tissue samples were assigned scores for the number of macrophages, degree of inflammation, and the number of blood vessels on a relative scale of 1 to 3, with 3 being the highest score (for example, a macrophage score of 1, 2, and 3 represented < 25, 25-100, and > 100 macrophages per ×400 microscopic field, respectively). Inflammatory and vessel scores were obtained similarly. The specific cell types evaluated in the synovial tissue were lining cells, subsynovial macrophages, fibroblasts, vascular endothelium, vascular smooth muscle, and lymphocytes. All immunostaining of synovial tissue components was graded by frequency on a scale of 0% to 100%, where 0% indicated no staining and 100% showed that all cells were immunoreactive. Five ×400 fields were examined per section. Data were pooled and the mean (SEM) was calculated for each data group. In addition, presence ("positive tissues" with > 10% immunoreactive cells) or

absence ("negative tissues" with < 10% immunoreactive cells) of cellular immunoreactivity with MoAb 10G7 was also noted in each tissue. Specimens were analysed by one investigator (GKH) as a blinded observer and, in the case of selected synovial tissue samples, also by the other two investigators. In general, no major differences were found between the analysis of the three observers.

Corresponding data groups were compared using an independent t test. In addition, all inflammatory, macrophage, and vessel scores, as well as synovial tissue lining, macrophage, and endothelial immunoreactivity values were pooled, regardless of whether they were obtained from rheumatoid arthritic, osteoarthritic or normal synovial tissue, resulting in a total of 24 values in each data group. A multiway Pearson correlation analysis was performed between any two of these data groups. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Synovial tissue from rheumatoid arthritis patients exhibited a significantly higher inflammatory score $(2.1 \ (0.3))$, macrophage score (2.3 (0.3)), and vessel score (2.6 (0.2)) than tissue from patients with osteoarthritis (1.3 (0.1), 1.4 (0.2), 1.9 (0.1), respectively) or normal synovial tissue (1 (0), 1 (0), 2 (0), respectively) (p < 0.05, rheumatoid arthritis versus osteoarthritis or normals). Significant correlations were found between inflammatory and macrophage scores (r = 0.76; p < 0.05), between inflammatory and vessel scores (r = 0.44; p < 0.05), and between macrophage and vessel scores (r = 0.57; p < 0.05) in rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis and normal synovial tissue (data not shown).

u-PAR, recognised by the 10G7 MoAb, was present on the majority of synovial tissue lining cells and subsynovial macrophages from rheumatoid arthritis patients (91% and 78% immunoreactive cells, respectively) and osteoarthritis patients (86% and 68%, respectively). In contrast, significantly fewer lining cells (8%) and macrophages (23%) expressed u-PAR in normal synovia (p < 0.05) (figs 1 and 2).

When analysing the number of "positive" tissues, synovial tissue lining cells and macrophages showed immunoreactivity with MoAb 10G7 in almost all (90–100%) samples from rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients. In normal synovial tissue, lining and macrophages expressed u-PAR in only one of three (33%) and one of four tissues (25%), respectively (table 1).

u-PAR was also present on some endothelial cells from rheumatoid arthritis patients (35% immunoreactive cells), osteoarthritis patients (55%), and normals (25%) (figs 1 and 2). However, while some endothelial staining was observed in a number of synovial tissue sections from rheumatoid arthritis patients (six of 10) and osteoarthritis patients (eight of 10), endothelia from three of four normal synovial tissue sections expressed no u-PAR (an outlier 100% endothelial immunoreactivity was found in one of the normal sections) (table 1).



Figure 1 Indirect immunoperoxidase staining of frozen synovial tissue sections using MoAb 10G7 recognising the urokinase receptor (original magnification ×1056). (Top) Rheumatoid arthritis, synovial tissue lining layer (arrow), interstitial macrophages (single arrowhead), and endothelial cells (insert, double arrowhead) showing u-PAR immunoreactivity. (Middle) Osteoarthritis, synovial tissue lining layer (arrow), macrophage (arrowhead), and endothelial (insert, double arrowhead) reactivity. (Bottom) Normal synovial tissue showing very low immunostaining of lining layer (arrow). Macrophages (arrowhead) and endothelial cells (double arrowhead) are not reactive.

Table 1 Immunoreactivity of synovial tissue in patients with rheumatoid or osteoarthritis, and normal subjects with MoAb 10G7 recognising urokinase plasminogen activator receptor

	Lining	Macrophages	Endothelium
Rheumatoid arthritis			
(n=10)	9*	9	6
Osteoarthritis (n=10)	10	10	8
Normal subjects (n=4)	1*	1	1

Values indicate the number of "positive" tissues. Synovial tissues were considered "positive" if 10% or more cells showed immunoreactivity with MoAb 10G7.

*No synovial tissue lining was available in one rheumatoid arthritis and one normal sample.

In a pilot experiment, another MoAb (L21) was used to detect u-PAR in synovial tissue from three rheumatoid arthritis and three osteoarthritis patients. This MoAb reacted with 93–98% of lining cells, and 92–98% of subsynovial macrophages in both groups (data not shown).

When using MoAb 10G7, we found a positive correlation between u-PAR reactivity in the synovial tissue lining layer versus subsynovial macrophages in samples from patients with rheumatoid and osteoarthritis, as well as in normal controls (r = 0.63, p < 0.05; fig 3). u-PAR expression on synovial tissue lining cells also significantly correlated with inflammatory scores (r = 0.44; p < 0.05). These results suggest that u-PAR is predominantly expressed by cells of myeloid origin, such as lining cells and macrophages from inflamed synovia.

Discussion

Leucocyte ingress into synovial tissue plays an important role in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis and, to a lesser extent, of osteoarthritis.^{1-3 6} Previous, mainly descriptive, immunohistochemical studies carried out by us and by others suggested that u-PAR is expressed by cells of myeloid origin, such as synovial tissue lining cells and interstitial macrophages in the synovial tissue from rheumatoid arthritis patients.^{34 37} Higher levels of u-PA were detected in the peripheral blood, synovial fluid samples, and synovial tissue extracts of rheumatoid versus osteoarthritis patients.34-36 45 The expression of u-PAR on peripheral blood monocytes was also increased in rheumatoid versus osteoarthritis and normal subjects.46 The present, semiquantitative immunohistochemical analysis with MoAb 10G7 found that most synovial tissue lining cells (86-91%) and subsynovial macrophages (68-78%) expressed u-PAR in synovial tissue from both rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients without major differences between the two diseases. In contrast, u-PAR was present on significantly fewer synovial tissue lining cells (8%) and macrophages (23%) in normal synovia. MoAb L21 also detected u-PAR on more than 90% of myeloid cells in both diseases. Ronday et al reported strong u-PAR expression in the synovial tissue lining layer from rheumatoid arthritis patients; however, it was barely detectable in the synovial tissue from osteoarthritis patients.³⁴ This study did not evaluate the percentage of immunoreactive cells in any synovial





tissue sample.³⁴ We analysed the number of cells expressing u-PAR, but not the density of u-PAR expression on the cell surface. The number of u-PARs is increased in peripheral blood monocytes form rheumatoid arthritis patients compared with osteoarthritis patients and normal subjects.⁴⁶ Therefore, although most myeloid cells express u-PAR in tissues from both rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients, the number of receptors on these cells may be much lower in synovial tissue from osteoarthritis patients resulting in possible differences in sensitivity between that study and ours. Alternatively, tissue from osteoarthritis patients included in our study may be more inflamed that the ones used by the other group. However, we have also reported that another GPI linked myeloid antigen, CD66, was also up-regulated on synovial tissue lining cells and macrophages in rheumatoid and osteoarthritis versus normal synovia.47



Figure 3 Correlation between u-PAR expression in synovial tissue lining cells versus subsynovial macrophages determined using MoAb 10G7 from 23 patients. (r=0.63; P<0.05).

Plasminogen activators, such as u-PA, may modulate synovial inflammation, angiogenesis, and joint destruction in a number of ways. u-PA may be involved in the recruitment of inflammatory leucocytes into the synovial tissue, as it is chemotactic for neutrophils⁴⁸ and it stimulates cytokine dependent monocyte adhesion.³³ u-PAR has been identified as the monocyte activation antigen Mo-3,¹⁵ and its soluble form has been detected in the conditioned media of activated monocytes.¹³ u-PAR is involved in macrophage differentiation, monocyte derived pericellular proteolysis, adhesion to the ECM, and the stimulation of metalloproteinase production.¹⁶

u-PA is also a potent mediator of angiogenesis.¹⁰ Cytokine stimulated endothelia produce high amounts of u-PA that, in turn, enables ECM degradation, endothelial cell migration, and vessel formation.^{20 49 50} u-PA acts by inducing plasmin dependent release of the angiogenic basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) from the ECM.¹⁸ u-PAR antagonists block neovascularisation in vitro.⁴⁹

u-PA and its receptor interact with other inflammatory mediators in arthritic synovial tissue. Unstimulated monocytes/macrophages, T cells, endothelial cells, chondrocytes, and synoviocytes produce low amounts of u-PA.^{21 23 26} Inflammatory mediators, such as interleukin (IL)-1β, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α , transforming growth factor- β , and granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor, enhance u-PA secretion by most of these cell types.¹⁷⁻²⁴ TNF- α , interferon (IFN)- γ and bFGF induce u-PAR expression on monocytes.25 u-PA itself can increase the number of u-PARs on monocytes, resulting in the perpetuation of inflammation, proteolysis and angiogenesis.²⁵ Most of these cytokines as well as u-PA are present in high quantities, and play an important role in the synovial tissue of rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients.^{4 6 34} In the present study, we also detected strong u-PAR expression on cells of myeloid origin, as well as on some endothelial cells in the majority of the inflamed, but not in normal, synovial tissue.

u-PAR acts in close association with CAMs and ECM components during the adhesion of monocytes and endothelial cells. It forms a complex with the CDllb/CD18 β 2 integrin, which facilitates integrin dependent adhesive functions.²⁸ However, co-clustering of the antigen receptor complex with $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ integrins induce u-PAR expression on T cells.²⁶ u-PAR serves as a receptor for vitronectin on endothelia, and adhesion to this ECM molecule results in clustering of u-PAR on the endothelial surface, as well as increased u-PA production.^{30 31} Blocking the vitronectin receptor $\alpha V/\beta 3$ integrin results in a decrease in u-PAR mRNA expression suggesting that $\alpha V/\beta 3$ and u-PAR act in concert during adhesion to vitronectin.29 The co-capping of u-PAR with other CAMs on the cell surface results in antigen clustering, which regulates focal adhesion, signalling, and pericellular u-PA activities.⁹ ^{16 31-33 51}

u-PAR and u-PA may have significant clinical, both diagnostic (prognostic) and therapeutic, relevance. For example, the expression of u-PA correlates with survival in breast cancer.⁵² u-PAR expression is upregulated on brain microvascular endothelia in multiple sclerosis compared with healthy subjects.53 u-PAR antagonists have been shown to inhibit angiogenesis and tumour growth in syngeneic mice in vivo,⁸ as well as endothelial cell migration and deformability in vitro.49 Anti-angiogenic factors, such as IFN-a, angiostatic steroids, and suramin down regulate u-PA production and activities.⁵

Both u-PA and u-PAR deficient mice have been generated and characterised. These animals showed impaired plasminogen activating potential and inflammatory responses.55 56 Tenoxicam, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug used in the treatment of arthritis, down-regulated u-PAR expression on monocytes.57 Urinary trypsin inhibitor, which blocks u-PA production, also relieved arthritic symptoms in rheumatoid arthritis patients.³⁶ Thus, the suppression of u-PA production and u-PAR expression using antagonists, antibodies, or antisense oligonucleotides may be useful for the management of inflammatory diseases, including rn rheumatoid and osteo-

Addendum: Additional data obtained from the Sixth International Workshop and Conference on Leukocyte Differentiation Antigens indicated that on the basis of its selective binding to a u-PAR transfectant, MoAb 10G7 may recognise a CD87 epitope, but its reactivity remains to be confirmed by alternate methodology (Todd RF, personal communication).

We thank our colleagues Drs G Rayan, SD Stulberg, C Schwartz, J Galante, B Briggs, N Rana, LA Pottenger, A Rosen-berg, and J Lessard for supplying the synovial tissues. Preliminary results were presented as part of the Fifth International Workshop on Human Leukocyte Differentiation Antigens, Kobe, Japan, 1996. This work was supported by NIH grants AR30692 and AR41492 (AEK), funds from the Veterans' Administration Research Service (AEK), the Dr Ralph and Marion Falk Challenge Prize of the Arthritis Foun-dation, Illinois Chapter (AEK), Hungarian Scientific Founda-tion grant No T013239 (ZS), and Hungarian Medical Research Council grant No 156/93 (ZS).

- 1 Jalkanen S. Leukocyte-endothelial cell interaction and the
- Jakaneri S. Leukocyte endourena cen interaction and the control of leukocyte migration into inflamed synovium. Springer Semin Immunopathol 1989;11:187-98.
 Haynes BF, Hale LP, Denning SM, Le PT, Singer KH. The role of leukocyte adhesion molecules in cellular interactions: implications for the pathogenesis of inflamma-tory synovitis. Springer Semin Immunopathol 1989;11:163-95
- 3 Szekanecz Z, Szegedi G, Koch AK. Cellular adhesion mol-Szekalicz 2, Szegeli G, Koch AK. Centuar adhesion mol-ecules in rheumatoid arthritis: regulation by cytokines and possible clinical importance. *J Invest Med* 1996;44:124–35.
 Koch AK, Kunkel SL, Strieter RM. Cytokines in rheuma-toid arthritis. *J Invest Med* 1995;43:28–38.
 Colville-Nash PR, Scott DL. Angiogenesis and rheumatoid explored and the second seco
- arthritis: pathogenic and therapeutic implications. Ann Rheum Dis 1992;51:919-25. Westacott CI, Sharif M. Cytokines in osteoarthritis: media-
- tors or markers of joint destruction. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1996;25:254-72.
- 1996;25:254-72.
 Schmitt M, Wilhelm O, Janicke F, Magdolen V, Reuning U, Ohi H, et al. Urokinase type plasminogen activator (uPA) and its receptor (CD87): a new target in tumor invasion and metastasis. J Obstet Gynecol 1995;21:151-65.
 Min HY, Doyle LV, Vitt CR, Zandonella CL, Stratton-Thomas JR, Shuman MA, et al. Urokinase receptor antagonists inhibit angiogenesis and primary tumor growth in syngeneic mice. Cancer Res 1996;56:2428-33.
 Blasi F. Urokinase and urokinase receptor: a paracrine/ autocrine system regulating cell migration and invasive-
- autocrine system regulating cell migration and invasive-ness. *Bioessays* 1993;15:105-11.

- 10 Goldfarb RH, Ziche M, Murano G, Liotta LA. Plasminogen activators (urokinase) mediate neovascularization: possible role in tumor angiogenesis. Semin Thromb Hemost 1986;12:
- 337-8.
 11 Todd RF III, Barnathan ES, Bohuslav J, Chapman HA, Cohen RL, Felez J, et al. CD87 cluster workshop report. In: Schlossman SF, Boumsell L, Gilks W, Harlan JM, Kishimoto T, Morimoto C, et al, eds. Leukocyte typing V: White cell differentiation antigens, Vol 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995:932-9.
 12 Mordolan V, Battaphererar P, Koppitz M, Goratzki L
- 12 Magdolen V, Rettenberger P, Koppitz M, Goretzki L, Kessler H, Weidle UH, et al. Systematic mutational analysis of the receptor-binding region of the human urokinase-type plasminogen activator. Eur J Biochem 1996;237:743-
- 13 Mizukami IF, Faulkner NE, Gvetko MR, Sitrin RG, Todd RF III. Enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay detection of a soluble form of urokinase plasminogen activator recep-tor in vivo. Blood 1995;86:203–11.
- 14 Roldan AL, Cubellis MV, Masucci MT, Behrendt N, Lund LR, Dano, K, et al. Cloning and expression of the receptor for human urokinase plasminogen activator, a central molcule in cell surface, plasmin-dependent proteolysis. EMBO J 1990;9:467-74. Min HY, Semnani R, Mizukami IF, Watt K, Todd RF, Liu
- 15 DY. cDNA for Mo3, a monocyte activation antigen, encodes the human receptor for urokinase plasminogen activator. *J Immunol* 1992;148:3632–42.
 Rao NK, Shi GP, Chapman HA. Urokinase receptor is a
- on macrophage gene expression. J Clin Invest 1995;96:465-74
- 74. Hamilton JA, Piccoli DS, Leizer T, Butler DM, Croatto M, Royston AK. Transforming growth factor beta stimulates urokinase-type plasminogen activator and DNA synthesis, but not prostaglandin E2 production, in human synovial fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991;88:7180-4. Falcone DJ, McCaffrey TA, Haimovitz-Friedman A, Garcia M. Transforming growth foctore hard. Letimulates generative for the synthesis of the synthes 17
- M. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 stimulates macro-phage urokinase expression and release of matrix-bound basic fibroblast growth factor. J Cell Physiol 1993;155:595-605
- 19 Mignatti P, Mazzieri R, Rifkin DB. Expression of the uroki-nase receptor in vascular endothelial cells is stimulated by basic fibroblast growth factor. *J Cell Biol* 1991;113:1193-201
- Niedbala MJ, Stein M. Tumor necrosis factor induction of 20
- Niedbala MJ, Stein M. Tumor necrosis factor induction of urokinase-type plasminogen activator in human endothelial cells. *Biomed Biochim Acta* 1991;50:427-36.
 Hamilton JA, Hart PH, Leizer T, Vitti GF, Campbell IK. Regulation of plasminogen activator activity in arthritic joints. *J Rheumatol* 1991;27(suppl):106-9.
 Pepper MS, Belin D, Montesano R, Orci L, Vassalli JD. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 modulates basic fibrob-last growth factor-induced proteolytic and angiogenic properties of endothelial cells in vitro. *J Cell Biol* 1990;111: 743-55.
 yan Hinsbergh VW van den Berg FA. Eiers W Dooiiewaard
- 23 van Hinsbergh VW, van den Berg EA, Fiers W, Dooijewaard Tumor necrosis factor induces the production of urokinase-type plasminogen activator by human endothe-lial cells. *Blood* 1990;75:1991-8.
- Campbell IK, Piccoli DS, Roberts MI, Muirden KD, Ham-ilton JA. Effects of tumor necrosis factor alpha and beta on resorption of human articular cartilage and production of
- plasminogen activator by human articular chondrocytes. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33:542-52.
 25 Kirchheimer JC, Nong YH, Remold HG. IFN-gamma, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and urokinase regulate the everyprise of urokinese resenters on human memory of the second expression of urokinase receptors on human monocytes. 3 Immunol 1988;141:4229–34. Bianchi E, Ferrero E, Fazioli F, Mangli F, Wang J, Bender
- 26 IR, et al. Integrin-dependent induction of functional uroki-nase receptors in primary T lymphocytes. J Clin Invest 1996;98:1133-41.
- 27 Bohuslav J, Horejsi V, Hansmann C, Stockl J, Weidle UH, Majdic O, *et al.* Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, beta 2-integrins, and Src-kinases within a single receptor complex of human monocytes. *J Exp Med* 1995;**181**:1381– 90.
- 28 Sitrin RG, Todd RF III, Petty HR, Brock TG, Shollenberger Shihi KG, Hodi KF III, Felty HK, Brock TG, Shohenberger SB, Albrecht E, et al. The urokinase receptor (CD87) facilitates CD11b/CD18-mediated adhesion of human monocytes. J Clin Invest 1996;97:1942–51.
 Nip J, Rabbani SA, Shibata HR, Brodt P. Coordinated adhesion of human in the provide of the pr
- Nip J, Kabbani SA, Shibata HK, Brodt P. Coordinated expression of the vitronectin receptor and the urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor in metastatic melanoma cells. J Clin Invest 1995;95:2096-103.
 Wei Y, Waltz DA, Rao N, Drummond RJ, Rosenberg S, Chapman HA. Identification of the urokinase receptor as an adhesion receptor for vitronectin. J Biol Chem 1994;269:32380-8.
- Ciambrone GJ, McKeown-Longo PJ. Vitronectin regulates the synthesis and localization of urokinase-type plasmino-gen activator in HT-1080 cells. J Biol Chem 1992;267: 13617-22.
- 32 Langer DJ, Kuo A, Kariko K, Ahuja M, Klugherz BD, Ivan-ics KM, et al. Regulation of the endothelial cell urokinasetype plasminogen activator receptor. Evidence for cyclic AMP-dependent and protein kinase C-dependent path-ways. *Circ Res* 1993;72:330–40.
- Waltz DA, Sailor LZ, Chapman HA. Cytokines induce urokinase-dependent adhesion of human myeloid cells. A regulatory role for plasminogen activator inhibitors. J Clin Invest 1993;91:1541-52.

- 34 Ronday HK, Smits HH, Van Muijen GN, Pruszczynski MS, Dolhain RJ, Van Langelaan EJ, et al. Difference in expres-sion of the plasminogen activation system in synovial tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. Br
- J Rheumatol 1996;35:416-23. 35 Saxne T, Lecander I, Geborek P. Plasminogen activators and plasminogen activator inhibitors in synovial fluid. Dif-ference between inflammatory joint disorders and osteoar-thritis. *J Rheumatol* 1993;20:91-6.
- 36 Kikuchi H, Tanaka S, Matsuo O. Plasminogen activator in synovial fluid from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. \mathcal{J} Rheumatol 1987;14:439–45.
- Rheumatol 1987;14:439-45.
 37 Koch AE, Szekanecz Z, Haines GK, Harlow LA, Shah MR, Fong T, et al. Immunohistochemical characterization of myeloid antigens in arthritic and normal synovial tissues. In: Schlossman SF, Boumsell L, Gilks W, Harlan JM, Kishimoto T, Morimoto C, et al, eds. Leukocyte typing V: White cell differentiation antigens, Vol 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995:1010-12.
 38 Zacharski LR, Brown FE, Memoli VA, Kisiel W, Kudryk BJ, Rousseau SM, et al. Pathways of coagulation activation in situ in rheumatoid synovial tissue. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1992;63:155-62.
 39 Arnett FC, Edworthy SM. Bloch DA McShare DI Entry
- Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, *et al.* The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of 39 rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988;31:315–24. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt
- 40 K, *et al.* Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis: classification of osteoarthritis of
- reporting of osteoarthritis: classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29:1039-49.
 41 Altman R, Alarcón G, Appelrouth D, Bloch D, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. Arthritis Rheum 1991;34:505-14.
 42 Koch AE, Burrows JC, Haines GK, Carlos TM, Harlan JM, Leibovich SJ. Immunolocalization of endothelial and leukocyte adhesion molecules in human rheumatoid and concerthritis unpavil discussed. Let human 1001(40:312-20)
- osteoarthritic synovial tissues. Lab Invest 1991;64:313-20. 43 Szekanecz Z, Haines GK, Lin TR, Harlow LA, Goerdt S, Rayan G, et al. Differential distribution of ICAM-1, ICAM-2 and ICAM-3, and the MS-1 antigen in normal and diseased human synovia. Arthritis Rheum 1994;37:
- 44 Hsu SM, Raine L, Fanger H. Use of avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (ABC) in immunoperoxidase techniques: a comparison between ABC and unlabeled antibody (PAP) procedures. J Histochem Cytochem 1981;29: 577 (2017) 577-80
- 45 Brommer EI, Dooijewaard G, Dijkmans BA, Breedveld FC.

Depression of tissue-type plasminogen activator and enhancement of urokinase-type plasminogen activator as an expression of local inflammation. Thrombosis Haemost 1992;68:180

- Kirchheimer JC, Remold HG, Wanivenhaus A, Binder BR. Increased proteolytic activity on the surface of monocytes 46 from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1991;34:1430-3.
- Szekanecz Z, Haines GK, Harlow LA, Shah MR, Fong TW, 47 Szekanecz Z, Haines GK, Harlow LA, Shah MR, Fong TW, Fu R, et al. Increased synovial expression of the adhesion molecules CD66a, CD66b and CD31 in rheumatoid and osteoarthritis. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1995;76:180-6.
 Boyle MD, Chiodo VA, Lawman MJ, Gee AP, Young M. Urokinase: a chemotactic factor for polymorphonuclear leukocytes in vivo. J Immunol 1987;139:169-74.
 Lu H, Mabilar C, Yeh P, Guitton JD, Li H, Pouchelet M, et al. Blockage of urokinase receptor reduces in vitro the motility and the deformability of endothelial cells. FEBS Lett 1966;380:21-4
- 48
- Lett 1996;380:21-4.
- Gerritsen ME, Niedbala MJ, Szczepanski A, Carley WW. Store and States and Sta
- the cellular receptor for urokinase plasminogen activator. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1994;71:96-104.
- 52 Hildenbrand R, Dilger I, Horlin A, Stutte HJ. Urokinase and macrophages in tumour angiogenesis. Br J Cancer 1995:72:818-23
- Dore-Duffy P, Washington R, Dragovic L. Expression of
- 53 Dore-Duffy P, Washington R, Dragovic L. Expression of endothelial cell activation antigens in microvessels from patients with multiple sclerosis. Adv Exp Med Biol 1993;331:243-8.
 54 Pepper MS, Vassalli JD, Wilks JW, Schweigerer L, Orci L, Montesano R. Modulation of bovine microvascular en-dothelial cell proteolytic properties by inhibitors of angiogenesis. J Cell Biochem 1994;55:419-34.
 55 Gyetko MR, Chen GH, McDonald RA, Goodman R, Huff-nagle GB, Wilkinson CC, et al. Urokinase is required for the pulmonary inflammatory response to Cryptococcus neoformans. A murine transgenic model. J Clin Invest 1996;97:1818-26.
 56 Dewerchin M, Van Nuffelen A, Wallays G, Bouché A, Moons L, Carmeliet P, et al. Generation and characteriza-tion of urokinase receptor-deficient mice. J Clin Invest
- tion of urokinase receptor-deficient mice. J Clin Invest 1996;**97:**870–8.
- Kirchheimer JC. Modulation of receptor bound urokinase-type plasminogen activator on human monocytes by non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Scand J Rheumatol 1993;22:53-7.