## **Supporting Information**

### for

# Isocyanide or Nitrosyl Complexation to Hemes with Varying Tethered Axial Base Ligand Donors: Synthesis and Characterization

Savita K. Sharma • Hyun Kim • Patrick J. Rogler • Maxime Siegler • Kenneth D. Karlin\*

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218.

'To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: karlin@jhu.edu (K.D.K)

### **Table of Contents**

Fig. S1. ESI-MS of the porphyrin ligand  $P^{ImTr}$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 293 K.

Fig. S2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of covalently linked histamine containing porphyrin P<sup>ImTr</sup> in CD<sub>3</sub>CN at 293 K.

**Fig. S3**. UV-Vis spectra of heme iron(III) porphyrin, [(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] complex in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 293 K.

**Fig. S4**. ESI-MS of  $[(P^{ImH})Fe^{III}-CI]$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 293 K.

Fig. S5. <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(III) complex [(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] at 293 K and at 183 K in THF.

**Fig. S6**. <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(II) complex [(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>II</sup>] at 293 K and at 183 K in THF.

Fig. S7. Crystal structure of (3)-DIMPI, showing weak intramolecular CH...F interaction.

Fig. S8. Crystal structure of (4)-DIMPI, showing weak intramolecular CH...F interaction.

**Fig. S9.** Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of  $(P^{Py})Fe^{II}$  and DIMPI.

**Fig. S10.** Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of (P<sup>Im</sup>)Fe<sup>II</sup> and DIMPI.

Fig. S11. Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of (P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>II</sup> and DIMPI.

Fig. S12. X-band EPR spectroscopy of ferrous heme-NO complexes recorded at 8K in frozen THF and fit of the spectrum using the program Easy Spin.



Fig. S1. ESI-MS of the porphyrin ligand  $P^{ImTr}$ , corresponding to  $M+H^+$  and  $M+Na^+$  (1258.35) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 293 K.



**Fig. S2**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of covalently linked histamine containing porphyrin  $P^{ImTr}$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN at 293 K. (\*) corresponds to solvent molecules, residual NMR solvent CH<sub>3</sub>CN and ethyl acetate (used as an eluent for column chromatography.



Fig. S3. UV-Vis spectra of heme iron(III) porphyrin, [(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] complex in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 293 K.



Fig. S4. ESI-MS of [(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 293 K; the peak at 1047.01 corresponds to M-Cl<sup>-</sup>.



**Fig. S5.** (top) <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(III) complex  $d_{\delta}$ -[(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] in THF ( $\delta_{pyrrole} = 82.0$  ppm) at 293 K. (bottom) <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(III) complex  $d_{\delta}$ -[(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>III</sup>-Cl] in THF ( $\delta_{pyrrole} = 126$  ppm) at 183 K. (\*) corresponds to solvent molecule THF.



**Fig. S6.** (top) <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(II) complex  $d_{\delta}$ -[(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>II</sup>] in THF ( $\delta_{\text{pyrrole}} = 57.0, 49.0, 19.0, 15.7, 8.30$  ppm) at 293 K, (ratio of pyrrole-H is 1:1:2:4). (bottom) <sup>2</sup>H-NMR spectra of heme iron(II) complex  $d_{\delta}$ -[(P<sup>ImH</sup>)Fe<sup>II</sup>] in THF ( $\delta_{\text{pyrrole}} = 9.80$  ppm) at 183 K. See main text for detail. (\*) corresponds to solvent molecule THF.



**Fig. S7**. Crystal structure of (**3**)-DIMPI, showing weak intramolecular CH...F interactions identified by the dotted green lines. See main text for detailed discussion.



**Fig. S8**. Crystal structure of (4)-DIMPI, showing weak intramolecular CH...F interaction identified by the dotted green lines. See main text for detailed discussion.

UV-vis Spectral Titrations for (P)Fe<sup>II</sup> + DIMPI. To a solution of (P)Fe<sup>II</sup> (12  $\mu$ M, THF; P = P<sup>Py</sup>, P<sup>Im</sup>, P<sup>ImH</sup>) was added 0.1 – 2.5 equiv of DIMPI in 0.1 equiv increments from a stock solution in THF. UV-vis spectrum was taken after each addition of DIMPI, showing isosbestic conversion of (P)Fe<sup>II</sup> to (P)Fe<sup>II</sup>-DIMPI. The reaction mixture was allowed to equilibrate fully until no further spectral change was observed prior to the next equivalent of DIMPI. A plot of the change in absorbance at 430 nm vs DIMPI resulted in the binding curve shown in Fig. S9 – S11 and could be well fit by a 1:1 binding model, eq 1–4. Using this equation, the best fit of the plot for P<sup>Py</sup>, P<sup>Im</sup> and P<sup>ImH</sup> system gives K<sub>a</sub> = 2.29 x 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>, 1.19 x 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> and 1.29 x 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>.

$$(P)Fe^{II} + DIMPI \implies (P)Fe^{II} - DIMPI \qquad (P) = P^{Py}, P^{Im}, P^{ImH} \qquad (1)$$

$$K_{a} = \frac{[(P)Fe^{II}-DIMPI]}{[(P)Fe^{II}][DIMPI]}$$
(2)

$$\frac{(A - A_0)}{\varepsilon_{\text{Fell-DIMPI}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Fell}}} = [(P)\text{Fe}^{II}\text{-DIMPI}]$$
(3)

$$[(P)Fe^{II}-DIMPI] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\{ [DIMPI] + [(P)Fe^{II}]_i + \left(\frac{1}{Ka}\right) \right\} - (4) \right]$$

$$\sqrt{\left(\left[\mathsf{DIMPI}\right] + \left[(\mathsf{P})\mathsf{Fe}^{\mathsf{II}}\right]_{\mathsf{i}} + \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{Ka}}\right)\right)^2} - 4 \left[\mathsf{DIMPI}\right]\left[(\mathsf{P})\mathsf{Fe}^{\mathsf{II}}\right]_{\mathsf{i}}}$$

(



**Fig. S9**. Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of  $(P^{Py})Fe^{II}$  (12  $\mu$ M in 2.5 mL Tetrahydrofuran, THF, black) and DIMPI (red,  $(P^{Py})Fe^{II}$ -DIMPI).  $K_a = 2.29 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$  See main text for detailed discussion.



**Fig. S10**. Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of  $(P^{Im})Fe^{II}$  (12  $\mu$ M in 2.5 mL Tetrahydrofuran, THF, black) and DIMPI (red,  $(P^{Im})Fe^{II}$ -DIMPI).  $K_a = 1.19 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$  See main text for detailed discussion.



**Fig. S11**. Binding isotherm at 430 nm resulting from the reaction of  $(P^{ImH})Fe^{II}$  (12  $\mu$ M in 2.5 mL Tetrahydrofuran, THF, black) and DIMPI (red,  $(P^{ImH})Fe^{II}$ -DIMPI).  $K_a = 1.29 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ . See main text for detailed discussion.



Fig. S12. X-band EPR spectroscopy of ferrous heme-NO complexes recorded at 8K in frozen THF (red) and fit of

the spectrum using the program Easy Spin [1] (blue). Fit parameters: (a) (1)–NO ( $g_1 = 2.0918$ ,  $g_2 = 2.0074$ ,  $g_3 = 2.0052$ ; N hyperfine: <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 48.78, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 67.63, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 35.68) (b) (2)–NO ( $g_1 = 2.0746$ ,  $g_2 = 2.0081$ ,  $g_3 = 1.9904$ ; N hyperfine: <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 4.0, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 60.4, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 35.8; <sup>Py</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 18.1, <sup>Py</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 20.1, <sup>Py</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 19.1)

(c) (3)–NO (g<sub>1</sub> = 2.0686, g<sub>2</sub> = 2.002, g<sub>3</sub> = 1.9662; N hyperfine: <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 44.10, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 63.18, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 47.45; <sup>Im</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 22.94, <sup>Im</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 1.29, <sup>Im</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 16.84) (d) (4)–NO (g<sub>1</sub> = 2.0690, g<sub>2</sub> = 2.0050, g<sub>3</sub> = 1.9643; N hyperfine: <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 14.68, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 23.97, <sup>NO</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 71.75; <sup>ImH</sup>A<sub>1</sub> = 2.0, <sup>ImH</sup>A<sub>2</sub> = 4.3, <sup>ImH</sup>A<sub>3</sub> = 10.2)

#### Reference

1. S. Stoll and A. Schweiger (2006) Journal of Magnetic Resonance 178:42-55; http://easyspin.org/