

Cargo proteins for adaptor protein complexes AP-3 and AP-4 identified by differential (phospho-)protein distribution in sucrose gradients

Heidi Pertl-Obermeyer^{1,4}, Xu Na Wu¹, Jens Schrodtr¹, Christina Müdsam³, Gerhard Obermeyer², Waltraud X. Schulze^{1*}

¹ Department of Plant Systems Biology, University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

² Molecular Plant Biophysics and Biochemistry, Department of Molecular Biology, University of Salzburg, Billrothstraße 11, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

³ Molecular Plant Physiology, University of Erlangen, Staudtstraße 5, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

⁴ present address: Plant Biochemistry and Physiology, Department Biology I - Botany, Ludwig-Maximilians-University München, Grosshadernerstrasse 2-4, D-82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: Assignment of ¹⁵N-labeled partners to unlabelled peptide species identified by MaxQuant. **(A)** Workflow of linking data from “evidence.txt” and “matched features.txt”. **(B)** Mass spectrum of an unlabelled and ¹⁵N-labeled peptide ion with highlighted sampling window to identify the ¹⁵N-form within a 1:1 mixture of labeled and unlabeled membrane protein. Sampling window and sampled ¹⁵N ion peak is indicated in red. Sampled ¹⁴N peak is indicated in blue.

Supplementary Figure 2: K-means clustering of wild type and mutant protein abundance profiles. **(A)** overview of the six clusters. **(B)** Distribution of wild type and mutant proteins across the different clusters. **(C)** Overlap of the identified candidates based on individual altered distribution profiles or the clustering method (“clustering”).

Supplementary Figure 3: Localization of GFP-PIP2A in transiently transformed mesophyll protoplasts. **(A)** Single sections (top row) and maximum projections (bottom row) of representative protoplasts for each genotype. GFP fluorescence is shown in green, autofluorescence of chlorophyll is shown in red. Scale bars represent 10 µm. **(B)** Distribution of the GFP-PIP2A locations to different subcellular compartments in individual transformation events to plasma membrane (PM, as represented by col-0 in panel A) or “other” cell internal compartments (e.g. as represented by *ap-4β* in panel A). The numbers of images scored with at least 10 fluorescent protoplasts each were 18 for *ap-3β*, 22 for col-0 and 24 for *ap-4β*. Mean values indicate the location counts per image and are shown with standard error.

Supplementary Figure 4: Distribution across fractions for proteins with known mis-targeting in the *ap3-β* mutant. **(A)** PIN7, **(B)** PIN3, **(C)** BRI1 **(D)** VSP1. Values describe the mean with standard deviation of four independent gradient preparations for col-0 and two gradients for *ap-3β* and *ap-4β* mutants.

Supplementary Figure 5: Spectra of identified phosphopeptides. Spectra were plotted based on the MaxQuant results.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: Reproducibility of the step gradient preparations regarding sucrose concentration at the interphase, protein concentration and the numbers of identified peptide sequences and proteins.

Supplementary Table 2: List of marker proteins and their predicted and confirmed sub-cellular localizations used across the gradient to assign the interphases to organelle-enriched fractions.

Supplementary Table 3: List of proteins with altered distribution profiles in replicated experiments.

Supplementary Table 4: List of phosphopeptides and pairwise comparison between wild type and AP-complex mutants.

Supplementary Table 5: List of all identified protein groups including numbers of peptides, proteins per protein group and sequence coverage of each protein.