



# Comparisons of regular and on-demand regimen of PED5-Is in the treatment of ED after nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy for Prostate Cancer


Shi.Qiu<sup>1#</sup>, Zhuang Tang<sup>1#</sup>, Linghui Deng<sup>2</sup>, Liangren Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ping Han<sup>1</sup>, Lu Yang<sup>1\*</sup>, Qiang Wei<sup>1\*</sup>




Supplementary figure S1. Funnel plot of included studies for placebo versus PDE5-Is efficacy. Circles indicate series with low risk of bias. Squares represent studies with higher risk of bias.



Supplementary figure S2. Summary forest plot table. H-H trials, head-to-head trials; NMA, network meta-analysis; CrI, credible interval; PI, prediction interval



Supplementary figure S3. Summary forest plot table for PDE5-Is safety versus placebo. H-H trials, head-to-head trials; NMA, network meta-analysis; CrI, credible interval; PI, prediction interval



Supplementary figure S4. Forest plot of regular regimen safety versus on-demand group: Relative risk (RR) of TEAEs rate