

Table 3 (extended) - Tests of invariance for the proposed one-factor structure of the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire adapted to Internet-based interventions between sample 1 (N = 174) and sample 2 (N = 111): results of multigroup confirmatory factor analyses with MLR estimator.

Model	$\chi^2$	$df^a$	$\chi^2/df$	CFI <sup>b</sup>	RMSEA <sup>c</sup>	SRMR <sup>d</sup>	Comparison	$\Delta\chi^2 (df)$	<i>P</i>	$\Delta$ CFI	$\Delta$ RMSEA	$\Delta$ SRMR
M1 configural invariance	99.2	40	2.5	.964	.102	.031						
M2 weak invariance	104.5	47	2.2	.965	.093	.043	M1-M2	4.7 (7)	.70	-.001	.009	.012
M3 strong invariance	120.2	54	2.2	.959	.093	.048	M2-M3	15.7 (7)	.03	-.006	.001	.005
M4 partial strong invariance	111.3	53	2.1	.964	.088	.045	M2-M4	5.9 (6)	.43	-.001	.005	.002

<sup>a</sup>*df*: degrees of freedom.

<sup>b</sup>CFI: comparative fit index.

<sup>c</sup>RMSEA: root-mean-square error of approximation.

<sup>d</sup>SRMR: standardized root-mean-square residual.